

Original

VIRGINIA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development
of the State of Virginia, Petitioner

v.

Clifton Aylor and others, and thirty-seven thousand,
four hundred (37,400) acres of land, more or less, Defendants.

Your Undersigned, who were by an order of your Honor's Court, entered in the above styled proceeding on June 25, 1930, appointed Special Investigators and members of a Board of Appraisal Commissioners, beg leave to report that on June 25, 1930, they and each of them, duly qualified by taking and subscribing the oath prescribed by law; and that subsequently thereto, to-wit, on June 25, 1930, they organized themselves into a Board of Appraisal Commissioners by electing one of their number, Miller A. Price, as Chairman, and another member, George H. Levi, as Secretary:

That in obedience to the orders and directions of your Honor's Court they entered upon the performance of the duties imposed upon them as such Special Investigators and as such Board of Appraisal Commissioners, under the Provisions of the Public Park Condemnation Law, and having strictly complied with the provisions of that Act in the performance of the duties thus imposed upon them, they respectfully submit this their report of their proceedings and findings to the date hereof, specifically inviting attention at the same time and at the outset to the exceptions to the general statements and findings in the body of this report which are set forth in the concluding paragraphs hereof captioned as follows "EXCEPTIONS TO THE FOREGOING."

Your Undersigned caused a copy of the order of your Honor's Court entered on July 15, 1930, fixing the time and place of the hearings of claims and evidence in support thereof, to be posted at the front door of the Court House of Rappahannock County, and

likewise caused a copy of said order to be mailed to each claimant of any right, title, estate or interest in the lands described in the petition, or of incidental damages arising from the proposed condemnation thereof, whose claim was at that time filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, to the address of such claimant as set forth in his answer or claim; such posting and such mailing was all done for a period of more than ten days before the time set in the aforesaid order for the hearings: and, pursuant to the order of your Honor's Court entered herein, and after notice to petitioner, your Undersigned heard the evidence presented by the petitioner and the owners and claimants whose answers or claims had been filed with the record, as to the nature, character, location, extent, ownership, and value of the lands described in the petition, and of the various separate parcels or tracts included therein of diverse ownership, and of any and all right, title, estate or interest therein, and of any incidental damages, owned or claimed by such owners or claimants.

During the progress of the hearings, many persons appeared and presented claims in or to rights, title, estates, or interests in various parcels or tracts of land within the area sought to be condemned, who had not filed answers or claims with respect thereto within the time prescribed by the statute, and asked to be heard and to be permitted to offer evidence in support of such claims: In every case the petitioner waived its right to object to the presentation of such evidence on the ground that such claims had not been filed within the time prescribed by the statute, and asked that all such claims be permitted to be filed and evidence taken in support thereof, and that the evidence as to such claims be considered by your Undersigned as if said claims had been filed within the time fixed by law for the filing of the claim, and your Undersigned thereupon heard and considered the

evidence as to such claims presented by these claimants and the petitioner, and as to the fact or facts of value thereof and of the fee simple estate of the various tracts of parcels of land with reference to which such claims were set up.

Many of the claims could not be heard on the days fixed for the hearing of the same because of inability of parties to properly prepare for the presentation of the evidence; in all such cases, the hearings, and the taking of evidence were regularly adjourned from time to time, and from place to place to suit the convenience of the claimants and petitioner.

After the petitioner and all the owners and claimants who had filed answers or claims or had submitted claims as aforesaid had been thus given an opportunity to be heard and to submit evidence in support of their claims, and had been heard and had submitted their evidence, your Undersigned met and considered the evidence thus submitted at length, and went on all the various tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership, within the area sought to be condemned, as to which any such claims had been filed, and also went upon all the various tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership within the area as to which no answers or claims had been filed or submitted with the record, but which, by diligent search and inquiry, and upon evidence furnished by the petitioner and others your Undersigned found to be included within the said area; and viewed the same extensively for the purpose of aiding them in ascertaining and determining the fact or facts of value of such tracts or parcels of land and their identity with the lands described in the various answers or claims filed with the record; or their identity with parcels or tracts of land shown by the petitioner or appearing to the Undersigned, as a result of diligent search and inquiry, to belong to owners who had failed to file answers or claims; or their identity with

parcels or tracts of land in or with reference to which infants, insane persons, or persons under legal disability were found or appeared to have any claim of right, title, estate, or interest or of incidental damages.

In addition to the hearings aforesaid held after due notice as above set out, further hearings were had and further opportunity to be heard and to present evidence was given to the petitioner and to all owners and claimants within the area in strict compliance with the order of this court entered on February 16, 1931, providing for notice by publication of the time and place of such hearings; and at the time and place set out in said notice your Undersigned gave all owners and claimants an opportunity to be heard and to submit evidence in support of their claims and to submit such further and additional evidence as they desired to present as to claims on which they had already been heard, and as to the fact or facts of value thereof and of the fee simple estate of the various tracts or parcels of land with reference to which such claims were set up.

At the hearings above set out the petitioner submitted a map, prepared by its engineers, surveyors, and land examiners, purporting to be a correct and accurate map of the entire area described in the petition, and to show each and every parcel or tract of land within the area of diverse ownership, and the boundary lines thereof, including all parcels and tracts of land within the area of known and unknown ownership, or as to which claims of ownership or incidental damages arising out of the proposed condemnation thereof had been filed in the record, each of said tracts or parcels of land being given a separate number as shown on said map by reference to which it is and may be identified in this report and the attached exhibit sheets.

In the course of your Undersigned's personal view and

inspection of the lands within the area as above set out, they personally visited each and every tract or parcel of land shown on this map, (except only as hereinafter indicated) and carefully checked from personal observation and view the subdivisions into which it is divided and basing their findings upon such inspection and upon the evidence submitted at the hearings, your Undersigned find and report that the said map is a correct and accurate map of the entire area described in the petition; that said area contains approximately thirty-seven thousand three hundred and ninety nine (37,399) acres of land and that (with the corrections and amendments made thereon under the direction of your Undersigned, as required by the facts disclosed by the evidence adduced at the hearings and their own inspection and view of the lands within the area) said map correctly discloses all the separate tracts and parcels of diverse ownership within the area sought to be condemned:- and, examined together with the exhibit sheets hereinafter mentioned, it shows the location and boundary lines of each tract or parcel of land in and to or with reference to which claims or answers have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, and in and to or with reference to which your Undersigned have been able to discover by diligent search and inquiry that any infant, insane person, or person under legal disability has or is entitled to a real claim, of right, title, estate or interest, and in and to which any other person who has not actually filed a claim, has or may be entitled to a real claim; and correctly discloses also all tracts or parcels of land within the area described by the petition to which your Undersigned have found conflicting or overlapping claims, or in and to which claims of less than the fee simple estate have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office. The said map is submitted herewith and prayed to be taken, examined and filed as a part of this re-

port, and, for purposes of identification, bears the signature of the Secretary of your Board on its lower left hand corner.

Upon a full and thorough consideration of the matters set forth in the petition, and the respective claims or answers filed with the record, and of the evidence adduced and submitted with respect thereto, both by claimants and petitioner, and of the arguments of such counsel as appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the claimants, and of the information and evidence afforded by the aforesaid view of said lands, and of the said tracts and parcels of diverse ownership, contained therein, your Undersigned submit their findings as follows:-

(a) That the fact or facts of value of the fee simple estate in each and every separate parcel or tract of land of diverse ownership within the boundary lines set out in the petition, regardless of all and any conflicting claims of right, or title, or estate, or interest in or to the premises, are as shown by aforesaid map read together with the exhibit sheets filed herewith setting forth the value of each and every parcel or tract of land shown as aforesaid on the said map, the value thus found in each case being the fair market value.

The said separate tracts or parcels of land shown on said map include each and every tract or parcel of land of diverse ownership within the boundary lines set forth in the petition, and specifically, each and every separate tract or parcel of land to or in which any claim of right, title or estate, or interest has been filed with the record in Clerk's Office or set up in the course of the proceedings; or to or in which the Undersigned in the performance of their duties as Special Investigators, and as a Board of Appraisal Commissioners have heretofore found or reported or do herein find or report in said exhibit sheets, read together with said map, that any infant, insane per-

son, or person under other legal disability, or any other person who has not filed his claim with the record, has a real and well founded title or claim of right, title or interest; or to or in which it appears from the record or otherwise that any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability has any title, or claim of right, title, estate or interest.

Said exhibit sheets are prayed to be taken and read as a part of this report, all of said exhibit sheets being paged consecutively from one to 128, page one thereof setting forth a summary table of the contents, and bearing the signature of the Secretary of your Board of Appraisal Commissioners, in the lower left hand corner for the purpose of proper identification.

(b) That the amount of incidental damages which will result from the proposed condemnation or use of the lands described in the petition for a public park or park purposes to which any person whose claim is filed with the record in the Clerk's Office is entitled under such claim or to which your Undersigned have found any infants, insane person, or person under legal disability not represented by a guardian ad litem at the date of the filing of this report to be entitled, is the amount of such incidental damages found by the Undersigned and shown in the above described exhibit sheets filed herewith, read together with the aforesaid map.

(c) We further find the fact or facts of value as to all claims of right, title, or estate, or interest in or to the land described in the petition filed in the course of these proceedings by owners or claimants in their own behalf, or filed in behalf of infants, insane persons, or persons under legal disability to be shown by the accompanying map read together with the attached exhibits setting forth our findings as to the value of the fee simple estate in each of the parcels of land shown on

said map, the value of such claims being equal in amount to the value placed on the fee simple estate in the respective parcel or parcels to which as shown by said map and exhibits we find that these claims were respectively directed, it being understood, however, that wherever it appears from said map and exhibits that conflicting or overlapping claims, or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple estate refer to the same tract of land our finding as to such conflicting or separate claims is limited, unless otherwise expressly shown in said exhibit and work sheets filed herewith, to a finding that the total value of all such claims in each separate parcel equals the value of the fee simple estate in such parcel or tract, as shown in the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

(d) We further find the amount of the respective real claims in the proceeds of the lands described in the petition, to which the respective owners and claimants are entitled, to be the value of the fee simple estate in each parcel or tract of land as disclosed by the said map and the accompanying exhibit sheets to which they have laid claim or to which where no claim has been made, they may hereafter show that they are entitled, it being understood, however, that wherever it appears from said map and exhibits or otherwise that conflicting or overlapping claims, or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple title refer to the same parcel or parcels or tract or tracts of land, our finding as to such conflicting or separate claims is limited to a finding that the total of all the real claims in the proceeds of the lands described in the petition to which such conflicting or separate claimants are entitled equals the value of the fee simple estate in the respective parcel or parcels or tract or tracts, shown by said map, and accompanying exhibit sheets, with respect to which as shown by said map read together with the attached exhibit sheets, we find that such conflicting or overlap-

ping claims or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple estate have heretofore been asserted.

Your Undersigned further report that in the course of the proceedings and in the performance of their duties both as Special Investigators and members of a Board of Appraisal Commissioners they made diligent inquiry as to the existence of any land within the area described in the petition, or parcels or tracts of land, or right, or title, or interest, or estate therein, within the boundary line set forth in the petition, and as to the existence of any claim or claims in or to the proceeds of any of the lands sought to be condemned, which are owned or as to which any real claims could be asserted in behalf of any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability, or to which any person who has failed to appear and answer or file the necessary pleadings to entitle him to be heard at the above mentioned hearings upon the value of the land or estate, or interest sought to be condemned is entitled; and of any property or properties owned by such persons which will be damaged by the taking of the land sought to be condemned and the use of the same for a public park or for public park purposes; and that in the attached exhibit sheets read together with the said map there is set forth such information as your Undersigned have been able to secure as to the location and value of the parcels or tracts of land within the area sought to be condemned, in which such persons own or are entitled to any right, title, estate, or interest or by reference to which any claim of right, title, or estate, or interest has been set up, or as to which any real claim might be set up in behalf of such persons in the proceeds of the lands sought to be condemned; and that in all cases wherein your Undersigned have been informed or found that any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability has or appears to have any such claim of right,

title, estate or interest in or to the land sought to be condemned or in or to any parcel or tract contained thereon, or to incidental damages, a guardian ad litem has heretofore been appointed by this Court and such guardian ad litem, after accepting notice, has been given an opportunity to be heard and has been heard as to such claim, and as to the value of the parcel or parcels or tract or tracts of land with relation to which such claim has been or may be asserted.

Your Undersigned further report that they spent a great deal of time in the hearing of the evidence, and the arguments of counsel, and in viewing the various tracts included in the area described in the petition; and likewise spent much time in considering and exchanging view with each other as to the value of said lands and of said damages; the time so consumed aggregating at least *110* days.

In (*two*) separate envelopes or wrappers your Undersigned submit herewith the written or printed evidence submitted by the petitioner and the respective claimants in the course of the hearings and taken into the possession of the Undersigned, together with transcripts of the stenographic notes of so much of the oral testimony as was reduced to writing for the record, and separate maps of each of the tracts or parcels of diverse ownership as shown on the above mentioned map of the entire area sought to be condemned, which separate maps were submitted by the petitioner as a part of its evidence as to the nature, character, and elements of value to be taken into consideration in ascertaining the value of said tracts or parcels of diverse ownership within the area described in the petition, each of such envelopes and packages being suitably identified by the signature of the Secretary of the Board.

Your Undersigned further submit herewith in (*two*) separate envelopes or wrappers suitably identified by the signature

of the Secretary of your Board of Appraisal Commissioners, their "Work Sheets" setting forth in detail their findings as to the nature, character, location and extent of each of the said tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership shown on the above mentioned map, and of the improvements thereon, and of claims of right, title, estate, or interest therein filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, or found by the Undersigned where no such claims have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office:- the data set forth in said work sheets including the principal elements of value upon which your Undersigned have based their findings as to the fact or facts of value set out in this report read together with the said map and "exhibit sheets" filed herewith.

EXCEPTIONS TO THE FOREGOING

No. 1. Since the date of the filing of the petition in these proceedings, the United States of America appears to have acquired title to the fee simple estate in a strip of land one hundred feet wide, running through a portion of the area described in the petition, upon which a road is now in course of construction by the Bureau of Public Roads of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, which said strip of land is not shown on said map, but runs through the tracts shown on the above mentioned map filed with this report numbered as follows :--No. 162, No. 168, No.199, No. 199-III, No. 167, No. 164, No. 166, No. 151-a.

Your Undersigned find the acreage and the value of the fee simple estate in the respective portions of said strip of land included in each of the above set out tracts of land (disregarding the improvements and road construction thereon) to be the acreage and amounts set out after the number on the said map designating the respective tracts as follows: Portion of said strip of land:- In Tract No. 162, Value \$15.00, Acreage six:- In Tract No. 168, Value \$15.00, Acreage six:- In Tract No. 199, Value \$34.50, Acre-

age eighteen:- In Tract No. 199-III, Value \$60.00, Acreage three:- In Tract No. 167, Value \$4.50, Acreage one and one-half:- In Tract No. 164, Value \$60.00, Acreage two:- In Tract No. 166, Value \$225.00, Acreage three:- In Tract No. 151-a, Value \$11.25, Acreage four and one-half.

In ascertaining and determining the value of each of the above mentioned tracts, through which said strip of land runs, which value is set forth in Table II of the above mentioned Exhibit Sheets, your Undersigned fixed this value upon each of said tracts in its entirety, without taking into consideration the said road construction and improvements, and without deducting therefrom the value of the strip which appears to have been acquired by the United States of America during the course of these proceedings as above set forth. Your Undersigned, therefore, here find and report the value of the residue of each of the above enumerated and numbered tracts of land after excluding therefrom the portion of the said strip of land included therein, and to which the United States of America appears to have a claim of ownership, to be the value of the tract in its entirety as shown in Table II. of the Exhibit Sheets filed herewith, less the value of the fee simple estate in the portion of the said strip of land included therein as above set out.

Subject to the further order of the Court, and at the request of the petitioner, your Undersigned have not sought to ascertain or determine the value of the entire fee simple estate in the above mentioned strip of land which appears to have been acquired by the United States, and on which large expenditures have been made and are being made in the construction of a road.

Nevertheless, the petitioner having advised your Undersigned that it is its present purpose and intention, under authority of Section 22 of the Public Park Law, to acquire the Fee Simple Estate in the said strip of land, but subject to any

easement of passage and right of way which has been or may hereafter be claimed or set up in behalf of the United States of America, your Undersigned find and report the value of the fee simple estate in the said strip of land, subject to such easement of passage and right of way, to be one dollar U. S. Currency.

Your Undersigned further report in this connection that under the terms of the various recorded deeds by virtue of which the above mentioned strip of land was conveyed to the Grantor from whom the United States of America appears to have acquired title thereto, it appears that depending on the amount of the award, the petitioner will have a claim to an interest in the proceeds of the condemnation of each of the above enumerated tracts or that the owners of the fee simple estate in such tracts will have a claim against the Petitioner, as and when such award is made; but your Undersigned do not undertake to ascertain or determine the amount of the value of such claims which appear to be dependent in each case upon the amount finally awarded by this court in the event that the said tracts or any of them are condemned in these proceedings.

No. 2 The South East Public Service Corporation of Virginia a corporation organized and operating under the laws of the State of Virginia, filed a claim with the record in the Clerk's Office "in a telephone line within the area sought to be condemned"--- "extending from the Page County line at Panorama to Sperryville, along the Lee Highway." Subject to the further order of the court, and at the request of the Petitioner, your Undersigned have not undertaken to ascertain or determine the value of the said claim or of the property rights included therein, because the petitioner has advised your Undersigned that it is not its present purpose to seek the condemnation of any of the property rights included therein to which the said Corporation

may be entitled, and that under authority of the provisions of Section 22 of the Public Park Act it is the present purpose of the Petitioner to seek only the condemnation of the Fee Simple Estate of the lands described in the petition, subject to the easement and all rights to which the said Corporation or its successors in interest now are entitled or at the time of condemnation may be entitled to conserve, maintain, and conduct its said telephone line and telephone poles on and over the lands sought to be condemned.

The part of telephone line claimed by the South East Public Service Corporation within the area described in the petition runs for a distance of approximately two and three-quarter miles on or over the lands described in the petition and begins at a point on the Lee Highway at the boundary line of tract 262 as shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, and runs along the said highway where said highway abuts on tracts 262, 164, 163, 162-a, 161, 208, 171, 126-a, 174, and crosses over or on tracts 153, 181, 155 and 200, as shown on said map. The telephone lines claimed by the said Corporation are not shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, nor is their claim shown on Table I of the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

No. 3. The Page Power Company which appears to be a corporation organized and operating under the laws of the State of Virginia, filed a claim with the record in the Clerk's Office in "a going and operating electric, transmission and distributing system," a part of the lines and equipment of which are located in or on the lands described in the petition, and damages to the "system that will be taken, occasioned and accrued" should "the lines and equipment in the Shenandoah National Park area be taken and condemned."

Subject to the further order of the Court and at the

request of the petitioner, your Undersigned have not undertaken to ascertain and determine the value of the said claim or of the property rights included therein, or the amount of incidental damages arising out of the proposed condemnation:- because the petitioner has advised your Undersigned that it is not its present purpose to seek the condemnation of any of the property rights included therein to which the said Page Power Company may be entitled, and that under authority of the provisions of Section 22 of the Public Park Act, it is the present purpose of the petitioner to seek only the condemnation of the fee simple estate in the lands described in the petition, subject to the easement and all rights to which the said Corporation, or its successors in interest now are entitled, or at the time of condemnation may be entitled, to conserve, maintain and conduct its said electric, transmission and distributing system including lines and poles on and over the lands sought to be condemned.

The part of the Electric transmission system claimed by the said Page Power Company within the lands described in the petition, begins at the boundary line of Tract 173 and crosses over or on tracts 173, 171, 161, 162, 164, and 166, as shown on the said map filed with this report, a distance of approximately two and three-quarter miles. The lines claimed by the said Page Power Company are not shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, nor is the claim shown on Table I of the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

No. 4 Your Undersigned find that the lands described in the following answers and claims of ownership filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, are located outside the area described in the petition:- the claimants having filed their answers or claims under the mistaken belief that the lands claimed by them lie within the boundary lines set forth in the petition:

Claim of H. F. Keyser to eighty acres of land located about nine miles from Washington, Virginia, in Hampton Magisterial District of Rappahannock County, adjoining the lands of Richard Partlow on the North; Lula W. Keyser on the South; Richard Partlow and Lula W. Keyser on the East, and Lula W. Keyser on the West, and acquired by purchase in 1918 by deed from Philip Rudacille and wife.

Claim of D. Lyle Miller to three acres of land located about five miles from Washington, Virginia, in Hampton Magisterial District of Rappahannock County, adjoining the lands of D. Lyle Miller on the North; B. B. Miller, Frank Beckwith, and C. W. Coates on the South; B. B. Miller and D. Lyle Miller on the East, and B. Butler and Hillary Mundy on the West, and acquired in 1893 by inheritance and purchase.

Subject to the further order of the Court, and at the request of the petitioner, your Undersigned after giving these claimants an opportunity to be heard as to the location and value of the lands described in their respective claims, have not undertaken to make definite findings as to the value thereof, and these claims, not being to lands within the area described in the petition, are not shown on Tables one or two of the said exhibit sheets filed herewith.

Respectfully submitted this 17 day of May, 1932.

M. L. Rice

Geo. H. Pennington

M. Lohr Capper

VIRGINIA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, PETITIONER

vs.

CLIFTON AYLOR AND OTHERS, AND THIRTY SEVEN THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED
(37,400) ACRES MORE OR LESS OF LAND IN RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
DEFENDANTS.

"Exhibit Sheets" filed with the report of the Special Investiga-
tors and Board of Appraisal Commissioners, dated May 17, 1932.

TABLE I

P. 2 to 106: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the
area described in the petition filed with the report, and with relation
to each tract or parcel of land shown thereon: - the name of the
claimant filed with the record in the Clerk's Office; and the names
of persons, including infants, insane persons, or persons under other
legal disability, and persons who have filed no claim in the Clerk's
Office, who appear to have any right, title, estate or interest in
the tracts opposite their names from such information as was furnished
to or discovered by the Commissioners and Special Investigators in the
course of the proceedings (where such persons are infants, insane
persons, or persons under legal disability the fact is indicated in
bracket after the name); and the right, title, estate or interest
claimed where claims have been filed with the record in the Clerk's
Office, or to which persons who have not filed such claims, or infants,
insane persons, and other persons under legal disability appear to
have a claim.

TABLE II

P. 107 to 114: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the area
described in the petition, filed with the report, the value of the
fee simple estate in each tract or parcel of land of diverse ownership
within the area described in the petition.

TABLE III

P. 115 to 116: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the
area described in the petition, filed with the report, the names of
claimants of incidental damages, the amount allowed, and the specific
tracts with reference to which such damages are allowed.

TABLE IV

P. 117 : Table setting forth particulars with reference to infants,
insane persons, and persons under other legal disability, not repre-
sented by guardians ad litem, having claims for incidental damages
arising out of the proposed condemnation.

TABLE V

P. 118 to 128: Table setting forth under the appropriate letter of the
alphabet, the names of all owners and claimants set opposite the
number of the tract or parcel as shown on the map filed with the
report, with reference to which their claims of ownership appear to
be set up.

Geo. N. Pen.
Secretary, Board of Appraisal
Commissioners.

likewise caused a copy of said order to be mailed to each claimant of any right, title, estate or interest in the lands described in the petition, or of incidental damages arising from the proposed condemnation thereof, whose claim was at that time filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, to the address of such claimant as set forth in his answer or claim; such posting and such mailing was all done for a period of more than ten days before the time set in the aforesaid order for the hearings: and, pursuant to the order of your Honor's Court entered herein, and after notice to petitioner, your Undersigned heard the evidence presented by the petitioner and the owners and claimants whose answers or claims had been filed with the record, as to the nature, character, location, extent, ownership, and value of the lands described in the petition, and of the various separate parcels or tracts included therein of diverse ownership, and of any and all right, title, estate or interest therein, and of any incidental damages, owned or claimed by such owners or claimants.

During the progress of the hearings, many persons appeared and presented claims in or to rights, title, estates, or interests in various parcels or tracts of land within the area sought to be condemned, who had not filed answers or claims with respect thereto within the time prescribed by the statute, and asked to be heard and to be permitted to offer evidence in support of such claims: In every case the petitioner waived its right to object to the presentation of such evidence on the ground that such claims had not been filed within the time prescribed by the statute, and asked that all such claims be permitted to be filed and evidence taken in support thereof, and that the evidence as to such claims be considered by your Undersigned as if said claims had been filed within the time fixed by law for the filing of the claim, and your Undersigned thereupon heard and considered the

evidence as to such claims presented by these claimants and the petitioner, and as to the fact or facts of value thereof and of the fee simple estate of the various tracts of parcels of land with reference to which such claims were set up.

Many of the claims could not be heard on the days fixed for the hearing of the same because of inability of parties to properly prepare for the presentation of the evidence; in all such cases, the hearings, and the taking of evidence were regularly adjourned from time to time, and from place to place to suit the convenience of the claimants and petitioner.

After the petitioner and all the owners and claimants who had filed answers or claims or had submitted claims as aforesaid had been thus given an opportunity to be heard and to submit evidence in support of their claims, and had been heard and had submitted their evidence, your Undersigned met and considered the evidence thus submitted at length, and went on all the various tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership, within the area sought to be condemned, as to which any such claims had been filed, and also went upon all the various tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership within the area as to which no answers or claims had been filed or submitted with the record, but which, by diligent search and inquiry, and upon evidence furnished by the petitioner and others your Undersigned found to be included within the said area; and viewed the same extensively for the purpose of aiding them in ascertaining and determining the fact or facts of value of such tracts or parcels of land and their identity with the lands described in the various answers or claims filed with the record; or their identity with parcels or tracts of land shown by the petitioner or appearing to the Undersigned, as a result of diligent search and inquiry, to belong to owners who had failed to file answers or claims; or their identity with

parcels or tracts of land in or with reference to which infants, insane persons, or persons under legal disability were found or appeared to have any claim of right, title, estate, or interest or of incidental damages.

In addition to the hearings aforesaid held after due notice as above set out, further hearings were had and further opportunity to be heard and to present evidence was given to the petitioner and to all owners and claimants within the area in strict compliance with the order of this court entered on February 16, 1931, providing for notice by publication of the time and place of such hearings; and at the time and place set out in said notice your Undersigned gave all owners and claimants an opportunity to be heard and to submit evidence in support of their claims and to submit such further and additional evidence as they desired to present as to claims on which they had already been heard, and as to the fact or facts of value thereof and of the fee simple estate of the various tracts or parcels of land with reference to which such claims were set up.

At the hearings above set out the petitioner submitted a map, prepared by its engineers, surveyors, and land examiners, purporting to be a correct and accurate map of the entire area described in the petition, and to show each and every parcel or tract of land within the area of diverse ownership, and the boundary lines thereof, including all parcels and tracts of land within the area of known and unknown ownership, or as to which claims of ownership or incidental damages arising out of the proposed condemnation thereof had been filed in the record, each of said tracts or parcels of land being given a separate number as shown on said map by reference to which it is and may be identified in this report and the attached exhibit sheets.

In the course of your Undersigned's personal view and

inspection of the lands within the area as above set out, they personally visited each and every tract or parcel of land shown on this map, (except only as hereinafter indicated) and carefully checked from personal observation and view the subdivisions into which it is divided and basing their findings upon such inspection and upon the evidence submitted at the hearings, your Undersigned find and report that the said map is a correct and accurate map of the entire area described in the petition; that said area contains approximately thirty-seven thousand three hundred and ninety nine (37,399) acres of land and that (with the corrections and amendments made thereon under the direction of your Undersigned, as required by the facts disclosed by the evidence adduced at the hearings and their own inspection and view of the lands within the area) said map correctly discloses all the separate tracts and parcels of diverse ownership within the area sought to be condemned:- and, examined together with the exhibit sheets hereinafter mentioned, it shows the location and boundary lines of each tract or parcel of land in and to or with reference to which claims or answers have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, and in and to or with reference to which your Undersigned have been able to discover by diligent search and inquiry that any infant, insane person, or person under legal disability has or is entitled to a real claim, of right, title, estate or interest, and in and to which any other person who has not actually filed a claim, has or may be entitled to a real claim; and correctly discloses also all tracts or parcels of land within the area described by the petition to which your Undersigned have found conflicting or overlapping claims, or in and to which claims of less than the fee simple estate have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office. The said map is submitted herewith and prayed to be taken, examined and filed as a part of this re-

port, and, for purposes of identification, bears the signature of the Secretary of your Board on its lower left hand corner.

Upon a full and thorough consideration of the matters set forth in the petition, and the respective claims or answers filed with the record, and of the evidence adduced and submitted with respect thereto, both by claimants and petitioner, and of the arguments of such counsel as appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the claimants, and of the information and evidence afforded by the aforesaid view of said lands, and of the said tracts and parcels of diverse ownership, contained therein, your Undersigned submit their findings as follows:-

(a) That the fact or facts of value of the fee simple estate in each and every separate parcel or tract of land of diverse ownership within the boundary lines set out in the petition, regardless of all and any conflicting claims of right, or title, or estate, or interest in or to the premises, are as shown by aforesaid map read together with the exhibit sheets filed herewith setting forth the value of each and every parcel or tract of land shown as aforesaid on the said map, the value thus found in each case being the fair market value.

The said separate tracts or parcels of land shown on said map include each and every tract or parcel of land of diverse ownership within the boundary lines set forth in the petition, and specifically, each and every separate tract or parcel of land to or in which any claim of right, title or estate, or interest has been filed with the record in Clerk's Office or set up in the course of the proceedings; or to or in which the Undersigned in the performance of their duties as Special Investigators, and as a Board of Appraisal Commissioners have heretofore found or reported or do herein find or report in said exhibit sheets, read together with said map, that any infant, insane per-

son, or person under other legal disability, or any other person who has not filed his claim with the record, has a real and well founded title or claim of right, title or interest; or to or in which it appears from the record or otherwise that any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability has any title, or claim of right, title, estate or interest.

Said exhibit sheets are prayed to be taken and read as a part of this report, all of said exhibit sheets being paged consecutively from one to 128, page one thereof setting forth a summary table of the contents, and bearing the signature of the Secretary of your Board of Appraisal Commissioners, in the lower left hand corner for the purpose of proper identification.

(b) That the amount of incidental damages which will result from the proposed condemnation or use of the lands described in the petition for a public park or park purposes to which any person whose claim is filed with the record in the Clerk's Office is entitled under such claim or to which your Undersigned have found any infants, insane person, or person under legal disability not represented by a guardian ad litem at the date of the filing of this report to be entitled, is the amount of such incidental damages found by the Undersigned and shown in the above described exhibit sheets filed herewith, read together with the aforesaid map.

(c) We further find the fact or facts of value as to all claims of right, title, or estate, or interest in or to the land described in the petition filed in the course of these proceedings by owners or claimants in their own behalf, or filed in behalf of infants, insane persons, or persons under legal disability to be shown by the accompanying map read together with the attached exhibits setting forth our findings as to the value of the fee simple estate in each of the parcels of land shown on

said map, the value of such claims being equal in amount to the value placed on the fee simple estate in the respective parcel or parcels to which as shown by said map and exhibits we find that these claims were respectively directed, it being understood, however, that wherever it appears from said map and exhibits that conflicting or overlapping claims, or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple estate refer to the same tract of land our finding as to such conflicting or separate claims is limited, unless otherwise expressly shown in said exhibit and work sheets filed herewith, to a finding that the total value of all such claims in each separate parcel equals the value of the fee simple estate in such parcel or tract, as shown in the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

(d) We further find the amount of the respective real claims in the proceeds of the lands described in the petition, to which the respective owners and claimants are entitled, to be the value of the fee simple estate in each parcel or tract of land as disclosed by the said map and the accompanying exhibit sheets to which they have laid claim or to which where no claim has been made, they may hereafter show that they are entitled, it being understood, however, that wherever it appears from said map and exhibits or otherwise that conflicting or overlapping claims, or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple title refer to the same parcel or parcels or tract or tracts of land, our finding as to such conflicting or separate claims is limited to a finding that the total of all the real claims in the proceeds of the lands described in the petition to which such conflicting or separate claimants are entitled equals the value of the fee simple estate in the respective parcel or parcels or tract or tracts, shown by said map, and accompanying exhibit sheets, with respect to which as shown by said map read together with the attached exhibit sheets, we find that such conflicting or overlap-

ping claims or separate claims to a less estate than the fee simple estate have heretofore been asserted.

Your Undersigned further report that in the course of the proceedings and in the performance of their duties both as Special Investigators and members of a Board of Appraisal Commissioners they made diligent inquiry as to the existence of any land within the area described in the petition, or parcels or tracts of land, or right, or title, or interest, or estate therein, within the boundary line set forth in the petition, and as to the existence of any claim or claims in or to the proceeds of any of the lands sought to be condemned, which are owned or as to which any real claims could be asserted in behalf of any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability, or to which any person who has failed to appear and answer or file the necessary pleadings to entitle him to be heard at the above mentioned hearings upon the value of the land or estate, or interest sought to be condemned is entitled; and of any property or properties owned by such persons which will be damaged by the taking of the land sought to be condemned and the use of the same for a public park or for public park purposes; and that in the attached exhibit sheets read together with the said map there is set forth such information as your Undersigned have been able to secure as to the location and value of the parcels or tracts of land within the area sought to be condemned, in which such persons own or are entitled to any right, title, estate, or interest or by reference to which any claim of right, title, or estate, or interest has been set up, or as to which any real claim might be set up in behalf of such persons in the proceeds of the lands sought to be condemned; and that in all cases wherein your Undersigned have been informed or found that any infant, insane person, or person under other legal disability has or appears to have any such claim of right,

title, estate or interest in or to the land sought to be condemned or in or to any parcel or tract contained thereon, or to incidental damages, a guardian ad litem has heretofore been appointed by this Court and such guardian ad litem, after accepting notice, has been given an opportunity to be heard and has been heard as to such claim, and as to the value of the parcel or parcels or tract or tracts of land with relation to which such claim has been or may be asserted.

Your Undersigned further report that they spent a great deal of time in the hearing of the evidence, and the arguments of counsel, and in viewing the various tracts included in the area described in the petition; and likewise spent much time in considering and exchanging view with each other as to the value of said lands and of said damages; the time so consumed aggregating at least *110* days.

In (*two*) separate envelopes or wrappers your Undersigned submit herewith the written or printed evidence submitted by the petitioner and the respective claimants in the course of the hearings and taken into the possession of the Undersigned, together with transcripts of the stenographic notes of so much of the oral testimony as was reduced to writing for the record, and separate maps of each of the tracts or parcels of diverse ownership as shown on the above mentioned map of the entire area sought to be condemned, which separate maps were submitted by the petitioner as a part of its evidence as to the nature, character, and elements of value to be taken into consideration in ascertaining the value of said tracts or parcels of diverse ownership within the area described in the petition, each of such envelopes and packages being suitably identified by the signature of the Secretary of the Board.

Your Undersigned further submit herewith in (*two*) separate envelopes or wrappers suitably identified by the signature

of the Secretary of your Board of Appraisal Commissioners, their "Work Sheets" setting forth in detail their findings as to the nature, character, location and extent of each of the said tracts or parcels of land of diverse ownership shown on the above mentioned map, and of the improvements thereon, and of claims of right, title, estate, or interest therein filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, or found by the Undersigned where no such claims have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office:- the data set forth in said work sheets including the principal elements of value upon which your Undersigned have based their findings as to the fact or facts of value set out in this report read together with the said map and "exhibit sheets" filed herewith.

EXCEPTIONS TO THE FOREGOING

No. 1. Since the date of the filing of the petition in these proceedings, the United States of America appears to have acquired title to the fee simple estate in a strip of land one hundred feet wide, running through a portion of the area described in the petition, upon which a road is now in course of construction by the Bureau of Public Roads of the U. S. Department of Agriculture, which said strip of land is not shown on said map, but runs through the tracts shown on the above mentioned map filed with this report numbered as follows :--No. 162, No. 168, No. 199, No. 199-III, No. 167, No. 164, No. 166, No. 151-a.

Your Undersigned find the acreage and the value of the fee simple estate in the respective portions of said strip of land included in each of the above set out tracts of land (disregarding the improvements and road construction thereon) to be the acreage and amounts set out after the number on the said map designating the respective tracts as follows: Portion of said strip of land:- In Tract No. 162, Value \$15.00, Acreage six:- In Tract No. 168, Value \$15.00, Acreage six:- In Tract No. 199, Value \$34.50, Acre-

age eighteen:- In Tract No. 199-III, Value \$60.00, Acreage three:- In Tract No. 167, Value \$4.50, Acreage one and one-half:- In Tract No. 164, Value \$60.00, Acreage two:- In Tract No. 166, Value \$225.00, Acreage three:- In Tract No. 151-a, Value \$11.25, Acreage four and one-half.

In ascertaining and determining the value of each of the above mentioned tracts, through which said strip of land runs, which value is set forth in Table II of the above mentioned Exhibit Sheets, your Undersigned fixed this value upon each of said tracts in its entirety, without taking into consideration the said road construction and improvements, and without deducting therefrom the value of the strip which appears to have been acquired by the United States of America during the course of these proceedings as above set forth. Your Undersigned, therefore, here find and report the value of the residue of each of the above enumerated and numbered tracts of land after excluding therefrom the portion of the said strip of land included therein, and to which the United States of America appears to have a claim of ownership, to be the value of the tract in its entirety as shown in Table II. of the Exhibit Sheets filed herewith, less the value of the fee simple estate in the portion of the said strip of land included therein as above set out.

Subject to the further order of the Court, and at the request of the petitioner, your Undersigned have not sought to ascertain or determine the value of the entire fee simple estate in the above mentioned strip of land which appears to have been acquired by the United States, and on which large expenditures have been made and are being made in the construction of a road.

Nevertheless, the petitioner having advised your Undersigned that it is its present purpose and intention, under authority of Section 22 of the Public Park Law, to acquire the Fee Simple Estate in the said strip of land, but subject to any

easement of passage and right of way which has been or may hereafter be claimed or set up in behalf of the United States of America, your Undersigned find and report the value of the fee simple estate in the said strip of land, subject to such easement of passage and right of way, to be one dollar U. S. Currency.

Your Undersigned further report in this connection that under the terms of the various recorded deeds by virtue of which the above mentioned strip of land was conveyed to the Grantor from whom the United States of America appears to have acquired title thereto, it appears that depending on the amount of the award, the petitioner will have a claim to an interest in the proceeds of the condemnation of each of the above enumerated tracts or that the owners of the fee simple estate in such tracts will have a claim against the Petitioner, as and when such award is made; but your Undersigned do not undertake to ascertain or determine the amount of the value of such claims which appear to be dependent in each case upon the amount finally awarded by this court in the event that the said tracts or any of them are condemned in these proceedings.

No. 2 The South East Public Service Corporation of Virginia a corporation organized and operating under the laws of the State of Virginia, filed a claim with the record in the Clerk's Office "in a telephone line within the area sought to be condemned"--- "extending from the Page County line at Panorama to Sperryville, along the Lee Highway." Subject to the further order of the court, and at the request of the Petitioner, your Undersigned have not undertaken to ascertain or determine the value of the said claim or of the property rights included therein, because the petitioner has advised your Undersigned that it is not its present purpose to seek the condemnation of any of the property rights included therein to which the said Corporation

may be entitled, and that under authority of the provisions of Section 22 of the Public Park Act it is the present purpose of the Petitioner to seek only the condemnation of the Fee Simple Estate of the lands described in the petition, subject to the easement and all rights to which the said Corporation or its successors in interest now are entitled or at the time of condemnation may be entitled to conserve, maintain, and conduct its said telephone line and telephone poles on and over the lands sought to be condemned.

The part of telephone line claimed by the South East Public Service Corporation within the area described in the petition runs for a distance of approximately two and three-quarter miles on or over the lands described in the petition and begins at a point on the Lee Highway at the boundary line of tract 262 as shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, and runs along the said highway where said highway abuts on tracts 262, 164, 163, 162-a, 161, 208, 171, 126-a, 174, and crosses over or on tracts 153, 181, 155 and 200, as shown on said map. The telephone lines claimed by the said Corporation are not shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, nor is their claim shown on Table I of the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

No. 3. The Page Power Company which appears to be a corporation organized and operating under the laws of the State of Virginia, filed a claim with the record in the Clerk's Office in "a going and operating electric, transmission and distributing system," a part of the lines and equipment of which are located in or on the lands described in the petition, and damages to the "system that will be taken, occasioned and accrued" should "the lines and equipment in the Shenandoah National Park area be taken and condemned."

Subject to the further order of the Court and at the

request of the petitioner, your Undersigned have not undertaken to ascertain and determine the value of the said claim or of the property rights included therein, or the amount of incidental damages arising out of the proposed condemnation:- because the petitioner has advised your Undersigned that it is not its present purpose to seek the condemnation of any of the property rights included therein to which the said Page Power Company may be entitled, and that under authority of the provisions of Section 22 of the Public Park Act, it is the present purpose of the petitioner to seek only the condemnation of the fee simple estate in the lands described in the petition, subject to the easement and all rights to which the said Corporation, or its successors in interest now are entitled, or at the time of condemnation may be entitled, to conserve, maintain and conduct its said electric, transmission and distributing system including lines and poles on and over the lands sought to be condemned.

The part of the Electric transmission system claimed by the said Page Power Company within the lands described in the petition, begins at the boundary line of Tract 173 and crosses over or on tracts 173, 171, 161, 162, 164, and 166, as shown on the said map filed with this report, a distance of approximately two and three-quarter miles. The lines claimed by the said Page Power Company are not shown on the aforementioned map filed with this report, nor is the claim shown on Table I of the above mentioned exhibit sheets.

No. 4 Your Undersigned find that the lands described in the following answers and claims of ownership filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, are located outside the area described in the petition:- the claimants having filed their answers or claims under the mistaken belief that the lands claimed by them lie within the boundary lines set forth in the petition:

Claim of H. F. Keyser to eighty acres of land located about nine miles from Washington, Virginia, in Hampton Magisterial District of Rappahannock County, adjoining the lands of Richard Partlow on the North; Lula W. Keyser on the South; Richard Partlow and Lula W. Keyser on the East, and Lula W. Keyser on the West, and acquired by purchase in 1918 by deed from Philip Rudacille and wife.

Claim of D. Lyle Miller to three acres of land located about five miles from Washington, Virginia, in Hampton Magisterial District of Rappahannock County, adjoining the lands of D. Lyle Miller on the North; B. B. Miller, Frank Beckwith, and C. W. Coates on the South; B. B. Miller and D. Lyle Miller on the East, and B. Butler and Hillary Mundy on the West, and acquired in 1893 by inheritance and purchase.

Subject to the further order of the Court, and at the request of the petitioner, your Undersigned after giving these claimants an opportunity to be heard as to the location and value of the lands described in their respective claims, have not undertaken to make definite findings as to the value thereof, and these claims, not being to lands within the area described in the petition, are not shown on Tables one or two of the said exhibit sheets filed herewith.

Respectfully submitted this 17 day of May, 1932.

M. L. Rice

Geo. H. Pennington

M. Lohr Capper

VIRGINIA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, PETITIONER

vs.

CLIFTON AYLOR AND OTHERS, AND THIRTY SEVEN THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED
(37,400) ACRES MORE OR LESS OF LAND IN RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA,
DEFENDANTS.

"Exhibit Sheets" filed with the report of the Special Investiga-
tors and Board of Appraisal Commissioners, dated May 17, 1932.

TABLE I

P. 2 to 106: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the
area described in the petition filed with the report, and with relation
to each tract or parcel of land shown thereon: - the name of the
claimant filed with the record in the Clerk's Office; and the names
of persons, including infants, insane persons, or persons under other
legal disability, and persons who have filed no claim in the Clerk's
Office, who appear to have any right, title, estate or interest in
the tracts opposite their names from such information as was furnished
to or discovered by the Commissioners and Special Investigators in the
course of the proceedings (where such persons are infants, insane
persons, or persons under legal disability the fact is indicated in
bracket after the name); and the right, title, estate or interest
claimed where claims have been filed with the record in the Clerk's
Office, or to which persons who have not filed such claims, or infants,
insane persons, and other persons under legal disability appear to
have a claim.

TABLE II

P. 107 to 114: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the area
described in the petition, filed with the report, the value of the
fee simple estate in each tract or parcel of land of diverse ownership
within the area described in the petition.

TABLE III

P. 115 to 116: Table setting forth, with relation to the map of the
area described in the petition, filed with the report, the names of
claimants of incidental damages, the amount allowed, and the specific
tracts with reference to which such damages are allowed.

TABLE IV

P. 117 : Table setting forth particulars with reference to infants,
insane persons, and persons under other legal disability, not repre-
sented by guardians ad litem, having claims for incidental damages
arising out of the proposed condemnation.

TABLE V

P. 118 to 128: Table setting forth under the appropriate letter of the
alphabet, the names of all owners and claimants set opposite the
number of the tract or parcel as shown on the map filed with the
report, with reference to which their claims of ownership appear to
be set up.

Geo. N. Pen.
Secretary, Board of Appraisal
Commissioners.

NAME OF PERSONS INCLUDING INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS, OR PERSONS UNDER OTHER LEGAL DISABILITY, AND PERSONS WHO HAVE FILED NO CLAIM IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, WHO APPEAR TO HAVE ANY RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE TRACT OPPOSITE THEIR NAMES FROM SUCH INFORMATION AS WAS FURNISHED TO OR DISCOVERED BY THE COMMISSIONERS AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATORS IN THE COURSE OF THE PROCEEDINGS. WHERE SUCH PERSONS ARE INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS OR PERSONS UNDER LEGAL DISABILITY THE FACT IS INDICATED IN BRACKET AFTER THE NAME.

RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST CLAIMED, WHERE CLAIMS HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE RECORD IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE; OR TO WHICH PERSONS WHO HAVE NOT FILED SUCH CLAIMS, OR INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS AND OTHER PERSONS UNDER LEGAL DISABILITY APPEAR TO HAVE A CLAIM.

NO. OF TRACT

NAME OF CLAIMANT FILED WITH THE RECORD IN CLERK'S OFFICE.

NO. OF TRACT	NAME OF CLAIMANT FILED WITH THE RECORD IN CLERK'S OFFICE.	NAME OF PERSONS INCLUDING INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS, OR PERSONS UNDER OTHER LEGAL DISABILITY, AND PERSONS WHO HAVE FILED NO CLAIM IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, WHO APPEAR TO HAVE ANY RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE TRACT OPPOSITE THEIR NAMES FROM SUCH INFORMATION AS WAS FURNISHED TO OR DISCOVERED BY THE COMMISSIONERS AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATORS IN THE COURSE OF THE PROCEEDINGS. WHERE SUCH PERSONS ARE INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS OR PERSONS UNDER LEGAL DISABILITY THE FACT IS INDICATED IN BRACKET AFTER THE NAME.	RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST CLAIMED, WHERE CLAIMS HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE RECORD IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE; OR TO WHICH PERSONS WHO HAVE NOT FILED SUCH CLAIMS, OR INFANTS, INSANE PERSONS AND OTHER PERSONS UNDER LEGAL DISABILITY APPEAR TO HAVE A CLAIM.
1		Lucy E. Barbee	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Warren County by Lucy E. Barbee)
2	Luther North	--	Fee simple estate
3	George H. Shryock & E. H. Stokes (Joint claim filed by E. H. Stokes)	--	Fee simple estate
4	Lee Claigg	--	Fee simple estate

5	Welton Beaty		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
6	Chester Gap Orchard Company		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
7	J. B. Pomeroy Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of J. B. Pomeroy, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
8	Nish North	--	Fee simple estate
9	H. E. Boyer	--	Fee simple estate
10	Henry T. Compton	--	Fee simple estate
11	J. K. Marlowe	--	Fee simple estate
11-I-J.	K. Marlowe	--	Fee simple estate
	A. G. Bailey		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)		

12	Thomas H. Settle	--	Fee simple estate
13	Nish North	--	Fee simple estate
14	P. B. Eastham	--	Fee simple estate
15	Mrs. L. K. Sothoron (Claim filed by W. H. Sothoron)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	H. B. Haddox Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemna- tion of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of H. B. Haddox, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
16	W. Alfred Dulin (Claim filed by J. E. Dulin, agent)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Gabe Dulin		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Children of Gabe Dulin		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
17	W. P. Slaughter and Mary D. Slaughter (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate

18	M. R. Reid	--	Fee simple estate
19	Joseph Reid and J. P. Early		Appear to have joint claim to fee simple estate.
20	Julia M. Settle	--	Fee simple estate
20-a	Julia M. Settle	--	Fee simple estate
21	Harry R. Kern and James E. Robertson (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
22	G. W. Bowling	---	Fee simple estate
23	Edith G. Harper	--	Fee simple estate
	Edley M. Clark	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
24	G. Tyler Miller and Mrs. John J. Miller (Joint claim filed by G. Tyler Miller)	--	Fee simple estate
25	R. A. Jones		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
26	W. T. Riley	--	Fee simple estate

27	James H. Smoot	--	Fee simple estate
28	W. C. Gentry	--	Fee simple estate
29	W. L. Turner		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
30	Robert Presgraves	--	Fee simple estate, subject to lien in favor of W. T. Riley in whom title rests.
	W. T. Riley		Appears to have claim under vendor's lien.
31	Rappahannock County School Board		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
31-a	Rappahannock County School Board		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
31-b	Rappahannock County School Board		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
32	M. R. Bradford	--	Fee simple estate
33	Shirley Carter	--	Fee simple estate
33-I	Shirley Carter	--	Fee simple estate

(continued)

Estate of F. D. Wood,
deceased
(Claim filed by John
A. Keyser)

--

Fee simple estate

F. D. Wood Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of F. D. Wood,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

34 Johnson & Wimsatt Inc.
(Claim filed by H. M.
Grim, Treasurer)

--

Fee simple estate

35 Eugenia P. Dearing &
Eva Galloway
(Claim filed by C. G.
Reid, Agent)

--

Fee simple estate

A. W. Dearing Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of A. W. Dearing,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

36	George Henry Foster and William Franklin Foster (Joint claim filed by George H. Foster)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Eliza J. Foster		Appears to have claim to life estate.
	John Jack Foster Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of John Jack Foster, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
37	J. Walton Wood	--	Fee simple estate
38	J. R. Moore		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
39	Barnett Green		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
40	Charles & Warner Miller (Joint claim filed by Warner Miller)	--	Fee simple estate
41	Irene B. Putney	--	Fee simple estate
42	Emmie, Lucy, Mira and Ora Deatherage (Joint claim filed by Ora Deatherage)		Fee simple estate
42-a	Emmie, Lucy, Mira and Ora Deatherage (Joint claim filed by Ora Deatherage)		Fee simple estate

42-b Emmie, Lucy, Mira and Ora Deatherage (Joint claim filed by Ora Deatherage)	--	Fee simple estate
43 Edward Foster	--	Fee simple estate
44 Estate of F. D. Wood, deceased (Claim filed by John A. Keyser)	--	Fee simple estate
F. D. Wood Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of F. D. Wood, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
45	Thomas Young	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
46 W. M. Stuart	--	Fee simple estate
47 Burrell Woodward	--	Fee simple estate
48 C. B. Miller	--	Fee simple estate
49 B. F. Miller (Claim filed by John Keyser, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate

50	Ernest Smoot	--	Fee simple estate
51	Clifton Aylor	--	Fee simple estate
52	Virginia Smith		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
52-I	Virginia Smith (There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	R. E. Manuel	--	Fee simple estate
53	John A. Keyser	--	Fee simple estate
53-a	John A. Keyser	--	Fee simple estate
54	W. A. Miller, Agent for W. A. Miller et als, for himself and as agent for - B. F. Miller Henry T. Miller Julia M. Settle G. T. Miller M. M. Booth C. B. Miller E. T. Miller	--	Fee simple estate
	W. A. Miller	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Alfred B. Iles	--	Contractual right to mine and remove mineral and such timber as may be necessary for mining operations.
	William Grannis	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
	J. J. Miller Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of J. J. Miller,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

54-I	W. A. Miller, Agent for W. M. Miller et als, for himself and as agent for B. F. Miller, Henry T. Miller, Julia M. Settle G. T. Miller M. M. Booth C. B. Miller E. T. Miller	--	Fee simple estate
	W. A. Miller	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Alfred B. Iles	--	Contractual right to mine and remove mineral and such timber as may be necessary for mining operations.
	William Grannis	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
	J. J. Miller Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of J. J. Miller, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Mary Ann Roy Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Mary Ann Roy, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

54-II W. A. Miller, Agent
for W. A. Miller et als,
for himself and as agent
for --
B. F. Miller
Henry T. Miller
Julia M. Settle
G. T. Miller
M. M. Booth
C. B. Miller
E. T. Miller

--

Fee simple estate

R. E. Manuel

--

Fee simple estate

55

A. G. Bailey

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

56

B. F. Miller
C. B. Miller
(Joint claim filed by
W. A. Miller, Agent)

--

Fee simple estate

57	H. M. DeJarnette and E. H. DeJarnette, Jr. (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
58	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #58 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.		
58-I	James Bailey (deceased) Claim filed by Ambrose B. Bailey for himself and Waverly Bailey, Samuel Bailey, James Bailey, Luther Bailey, Mary Baker (deceased) George Bailey (deceased) Richard Bailey, Lena Jenkins.	--	Fee simple estate
	Ambrose Bailey	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	James Bailey Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of James Bailey, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Mary Baker Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Mary Baker, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

George Bailey Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of George Bailey, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall, and for Mary A. Hooff, Marguerite Burns - Estate, William O. Keys - Estate, Hallie O. Kirksey, Mary Selina Orme, Julia J. Loving, Milton B. Hopper, Robert S. Hopper - Estate, Ann Selina Smith, Harriett Overall - Estate. Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall.

Marguerite Burns - Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
William C. Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of William C.
Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

58-II James Bailey (deceased)
Claim filed by Ambrose
B. Bailey, for himself,
and Waverly Bailey,
Samuel Bailey,
James Bailey,
Luther Bailey,
Mary Baker (deceased)
George Bailey (deceased)
Richard Bailey,
Lena Jenkins.

--

Fee simple estate

James Bailey Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of James Bailey,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Mary Baker Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Mary Baker,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

George Bailey Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of George Bailey, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Mary Ann Roy Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Mary Ann Roy, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

59 J. T. Kelly -- Fee simple estate

59-a J. T. Kelly -- Fee simple estate

59-I J. T. Kelly -- Fee simple estate

Julia C. Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Clarendon Smith and
Walter W. Hoffman,
attorneys in fact for
the heirs of William
C. Overall, and for
Mary A. Hooff,
Marguerite Burns -
Estate,
William O. Keys -
Estate,
Hallie O. Kirksey,
Mary Selina Orme,
Julia J. Loving,
Milton B. Hopper,
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate,
Ann Selina Smith,
Harriett Overall -
Estate.

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Clarendon Smith and
Walter W. Hoffman, att-
orneys in fact for the
heirs of William C.
Overall.

Marguerite Burns -
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O, Keys,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
William C. Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William C. Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)	

59-III - J. T. Kelly

--

Fee simple estate

Mary Ann Roy Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Mary Ann Roy, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

59-IV J. T. Kelly

--

Fee simple estate

W. A. Miller, Agent
for W. A. Miller et als,
for himself and as agent
for --

--

Fee simple estate

B. F. Miller
Henry T. Miller
Julia M. Settle
G. T. Miller
M. M. Booth
C. B. Miller
E. T. Miller

W. A. Miller

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate

Alfred B. Iles

--

Contractual right to mine and remove mineral and such timber as may be necessary for mining operations.

William Grannis

--

Contractual right to cut and remove timber.

J. J. Miller Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of J. J. Miller,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Mary Ann Roy Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Mary Ann Roy,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
parcel of land result-
ing from a lap.)

59-V - J. T. Kelly

--

Fee simple estate

Julia C. Overall
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Julia C. Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

60 E. L. Gore
(Claim filed by E. W.
Gore, Agent)

--

Fee simple estate

61 E. M. Partlow

--

Fee simple estate

62 J. H. & E. H. Coppage
(Joint claim)

--

Fee simple estate

63 Richard-H. & Lucy
Partlow
(Claim filed by
Richard H. Partlow)

--

Fee simple estate

--

Fee simple estate

64 Ambrose B. Bailey

--

Fee simple estate

65	Lula W. Keyser (Claim filed by H. F. Keyser)	--	Fee simple estate
	E. W. Chelf - Guardian ad litem for Lula W. Keyser	--	Fee simple estate
	Lula W. Keyser (Insane)		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
66	Mrs. Alfred Jenkins (Claim filed by Walter Jenkins, Agent)	--	Life estate
	Nellie Jenkins		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Delia Jenkins		" " " "
	Tishia Jenkins		" " " "
	Mrs. Dick Racer		" " " "
	Alfred M. Jenkins Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Alfred M. Jenkins		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Susan Jenkins Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Susan Jenkins, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William Jenkins Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of William Jenkins, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Mrs. Charles Burke	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Ira Jenkins	" " " "
Georgia Jenkins Varner	" " " "
Gideon Jenkins Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Gideon Jenkins, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

	Roosevelt Jenkins	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Gladys Jenkins	" " " "
	Golden Jenkins	" " " "
67	Golden Jenkins	-- Fee simple estate
68	Miles J. Dwyer Heirs, by R. M. Dwyer	-- Fee simple estate
	Miles J. Dwyer Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Miles J. Dwyer, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Lucy N. Dwyer	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Dora Alice Dwyer	" " " "
	Thomas B. Dwyer	" " " "
	R. M. Dwyer	" " " "

68-I Miles J. Dwyer Heirs
(Claim filed by R.M.
Dwyer)

--

Fee simple estate

Miles J. Dwyer Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Miles J. Dwyer, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Lucy N. Dwyer

Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Dora Alice Dwyer

" " " "

Thomas B. Dwyer

" " " "

R. M. Dwyer

" " " "

Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall, and for Mary A. Hooff, Marguerite Burns - Estate, William O. Keys - Estate, Hallie O. Kirksey, Mary Selina Orme, Julia J. Loving, Milton B. Hopper, Robert S. Hopper - Estate, Ann Selina Smith, Harriett Overall - Estate.

Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall.

(continued)

Marguerite Burns - Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William O, Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Over- all, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have con- tractual right to an interest in the pro- ceeds from condem- nation of this tract as and when made.

(continued)

William C. Overall
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of William C.
Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

69 J. B. Bolen

--

Fee simple estate

R. L. Cooper Estate
(Claim filed by John H.
Downing, Attorney)

--

Contractual right to
cut and remove timber.

R. L. Cooper Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of R. L. Cooper,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

D. D. Miller

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of the
timber on this tract
of land.)

Appears to have con-
tractual right to cut
and remove timber.

70 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #70 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

70-I E. G. Brumback -- Fee simple estate

Julia C. Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

71 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #71 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

71-I Heirs of J. B. Brumback -- Fee simple estate
(Claim filed by J. F. Brumback, Executor)

E. G. Brumback -- Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

J. F. Brumback -- " " " "

Mrs. Madge Brumback -- " " " "

Mrs. W. T. Oliver -- " " " "

Mrs. W. J. Houser -- " " " "

Mrs. Gertrude Baggarly -- " " " "

(continued)

J. B. Brumback Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of J. B. Brumback, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Julia C. Overall Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)

72

Joseph Clatterbuck

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

72-I

Joseph Clatterbuck

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall, and for Mary A. Hooff, Marguerite Burns - Estate
William O. Keys - Estate

Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Clarendon Smith and Walter W. Hoffman, attorneys in fact for the heirs of William C. Overall

(continued)

Hallie O. Kirksey,
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Marguerite Burns -
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O.
Keys, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Robert S. Hopper -
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Robert S.
Hopper, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Harriett Overall
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Harriett Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

E. Hilton Jackson

Appears to have con-
tractual right to an
interest in the pro-
ceeds from condem-
nation of this tract
as and when made.

William C. Overall
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William C.
Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

72-II

Joseph Clatterbuck

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

Mary Ann Roy Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Mary Ann Roy,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

73	T. B. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
73-a	T. B. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
73-b	T. B. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
74	John B. Gore		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
75	S. A. Beahm	--	Fee simple estate
75-a	S. A. Beahm	--	Fee simple estate
76	James O. Jones and John A. Jones (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
77	H. R. Millar Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of H. R. Millar, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	N. Y. Life Insurance Company		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Warren County by H.R. Millar)

78	R. M. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
78-a	R. M. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
	H. H. Baker		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
78-b	R. M. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
	H. H. Baker		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
79	John R. Fincham	--	Fee simple estate
79-a	John R. Fincham	--	Fee simple estate
80	Della B. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
81	Clarence Pullen	--	Fee simple estate
82	James P. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
83	Alpheus S. Baker	--	Fee simple estate
	Heirs (Claim filed by George D. Baker for himself and Charles W. Baker Jacob A. Baker Ed E. Baker Mrs. Tom Cornwell Mrs. Silas Fincham Nellie Baker		
	George D. Baker	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Alpheus S. Baker Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

83 - Cont'd.

		Devises or heirs at law of Alpheus S. Baker, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
84	Oscar E. Baldwin	--	Fee simple estate
85	Joshua M. Burke	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
		Ada Burke	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
86		Otis Burke Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
		Devises or heirs at law of Otis Burke, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
87	Frank Compton	--	Fee simple estate
88	Romie V. Pullen	--	Fee simple estate
89	Hillary Mundy	--	Fee simple estate
90		Beverly Butler	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

91		Frank Beckwith	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
92	Mrs. Della B. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
93	Maurice Clark	--	Fee simple estate
94	Nellie Coates	--	Fee simple estate
95	Lawrence E. Johnson	--	Fee simple estate
96		Mrs. Olive Compton	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
97		John Carter	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
98	T. E. Harrell	--	Fee simple estate
99	Mrs. Savilla Harrell (Claim filed by T. E. Harrell, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate

John Harrell Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of John Harrell, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

100	Henry L. Johnson	--	Fee simple estate
101	Ambrose B. Bailey	--	Fee simple estate
101-a	Ambrose B. Bailey	--	Fee simple estate
101-b	Ambrose B. Bailey	--	Fee simple estate
102	Charles H. Bowen	--	Fee simple estate
103	James A. Estes	---	Fee simple estate
103-a	James A. Estes	--	Fee simple estate
103-I	James A. Estes	--	Fee simple estate

Julia C. Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devisees or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)

104	W. M. Varner J. C. Varner J. A. Varner C. N. Spitler (Joint claim filed by W. M. Varner, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	W. M. Varner	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
104-I	W. M. Varner J. C. Varner J. A. Varner C. N. Spitler (Joint claim filed by W. M. Varner, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	W. M. Varner	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased and for -		Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann, P. Jolliffe, deceased.

(continued)

Mary A. Hooff,
Marguerite Burns Estate,
William O. Keys, Estate,
Hallie O. Kirksey,
Mary Selina Orme,
Julia J. Loving,
Milton B. Hopper,
Robert S. Hopper Estate,
Ann Selina Smith,
Harriett Overall Estate.

Albert P. McKusick Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns
Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys
Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O,
Keys, deceased Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Robert S. Hopper
Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Robert S.
Hopper, deceased Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

E. Hilton Jackson

Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

104-II W. M. Varner
J. C. Varner
J. A. Varner
C. N. Spitler
(Joint claim filed by
W. M. Varner, Agent)

--

Fee simple estate

(continued)

W. M. Varner

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Julia C. Overall Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

105

Church of the Brethren

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

106

There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #106 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

106-I

J. W. Kendall Estate
(Claim filed by
D. H. Kendall)

--

Fee simple estate

J. W. Kendall Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of J. W. Kendall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate

William O. Keys -
Estate

Hallie O. Kirksey

Mary Selina Orme

Julia J. Loving

Milton B. Hopper

Robert S. Hopper -
Estate

Ann Selina Smith

Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased.

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O.
Keys, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Robert S. Hopper Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

E. Hilton Jackson Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

106-II J. W. Kendall Estate
(Claim filed by D. H.
Kendall)

--

Fee simple estate

J. W. Kendall Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of J. W. Kendall,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Julia C. Overall Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Julia C. Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

107 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land
originally constituting tract #107 having been assigned another
number or numbers on map as finally completed.

107-I

David Kendall

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

(continued)

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate.

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased)

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O.
Keys, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Robert S. Hopper Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

E. Hilton Jackson Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

David Kendall

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

Julia C. Overall Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.Devises or heirs at
law of Julia C. Over-
all, deceasedAppear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

108 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #108 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

108-a

Jacob Dwyer

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

108-a-I

Jacob Dwyer

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
EstateAppear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased.

(continued)

Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite Burns,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O. Keys,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Robert S. Hopper Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Robert S. Hopper,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

E. Hilton Jackson Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

108-I

Jacob Dwyer Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

Ellen Burrill Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Ellen Burrill)

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

Jacob Dwyer

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate.Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased.

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.Devises or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceasedAppear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.Devises or heirs at
law of William O.
Keys, deceasedAppear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Robert S. Hopper Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

E. Hilton Jackson Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

109 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #109 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

109-I Eva C. Weaver -- Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Martha G. Hudson -- " " " "

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --
Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contiguous
to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased)

Albert P. McKusick Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite Burns,
deceased Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Ann P. Jolliffe Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of Ann P. Jolliffe,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

110 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #110 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

110-I David H. Hershberger
and D. B. Hershberger
Heirs.
Joint claim filed by
Frank S. Hershberger for
David H. Hershberger,
W. P. Hershberger,
E. N. Hershberger,
J. D. Hershberger,
Frank S. Hershberger,
Miss A.M.Hershberger.

--

Fee simple estate

Ellen Burrill

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
parcel of land result-
ing from a lap.)

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County,
by Ellen Burrill.)

D. B. Hershberger Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of D. B. Hersh-
berger, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

110-II David H. Hershberger
and D. B. Hershberger
Heirs.

--

Fee simple estate

Joint claim filed by
Frank S. Hershberger for
David H. Hershberger
W. P. Hershberger
E. N. Hershberger
J. D. Hershberger
Frank S. Hershberger
Miss A.M.Hershberger

D. B. Hershberger Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of D. B. Hersh-
berger, deceased Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --
Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased.)

Albert P. McKusick
Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Marguerite Burns Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

111 J. G. Grove and
B. N. Spitler
(Joint claim)

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

111-I-J. G. Grove and
B. N. Spitler
(Joint claim)

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate

William O. Keys -
Estate

Hallie O. Kirksey

Mary Selina Orme

Julia J. Loving

Milton B. Hopper

Robert S. Hopper -
Estate

Ann Selina Smith

Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased.)

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Marguerite Burns Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of Harriett Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Ann P. Jolliffe,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

111-II J. G. Grove and
B. N. Spitler
(Joint claim)

--

Undivided interest in
fee simple estate.

Ellen Burrill

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
parcel of land result-
ing from a lap.)

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County,
by Ellen Burrill.)

112 Frank Compton

--

Fee simple estate

112-a Frank Compton

--

Fee simple estate

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Appear to have claim
to fee simple estate.
(This tract is contig-
uous to a larger tract
claimed in Page County
by Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased.)

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate.

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Marguerite
Burns, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

William O. Keys Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of William O. Keys,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Robert S. Hopper Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

E. Hilton Jackson

Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.

Harriett Overall Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

113 There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #113 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.

113-a-I H. H. Hudson

--

Fee simple estate

Ellen Burrill

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County, by Ellen Burrill.)

113-a-II H. H. Hudson

--

Fee simple estate

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased.)

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Marguerite Burns Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Harriett Overall, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

113-I H. H. Hudson

--

Fee simple estate

Walter W. Hoffman,
attorney in fact for
the heirs of Ann P.
Jolliffe, deceased
and for --

Mary A. Hooff
Marguerite Burns -
Estate
William O. Keys -
Estate
Hallie O. Kirksey
Mary Selina Orme
Julia J. Loving
Milton B. Hopper
Robert S. Hopper -
Estate
Ann Selina Smith
Harriett Overall -
Estate

Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased.)

Albert P. McKusick

Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Marguerite Burns Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of Harriett Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Ann P. Jolliffe,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

113-II H. H. Hudson

--

Fee simple estate

Julia C. Overall Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of Julia C. Over-
all, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

114	Mrs. Nina B. Dwyer		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
114-a	Mrs. Nina Dwyer (Claim filed by D.M. Dwyer, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
114-b	Mrs. Nina Dwyer (Claim filed by D.M. Dwyer, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
115	Alfred Dwyer Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Alfred Dwyer, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
115-I	Alfred Dwyer Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Alfred Dwyer, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Julia C. Overall Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

115-I

Devisees or heirs at law of Julia C. Overall, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this tract of land resulting from a lap.)

116 Ann V. Bowen Estate
(Claim filed by Botts Strother)

--

Fee simple estate

Ann V. Bowen Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at law of Ann V. Bowen, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

117

Newton Sisk

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

118 J. T. Dwyer

--

Fee simple estate

119

Mrs. Ben Armentrout

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

120

Bank of Warren

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

120-a	Bank of Warren		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
121	Ben Woodward		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
122	B. M. Willis		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
122-a	B. M. Willis		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
123	Ben F. Menefee Heirs (Claim filed by Newton F. Menefee, Agent for himself- B. W. Menefee, and Emmie J. Menefee.	--	Fee simple estate
	Newton F. Menefee	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	B. W. Menefee	--	" " " "
	Ben F. Menefee Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Ben F. Menefee, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate

124	Ellis L. Miller	--	Fee simple estate
125	John J. Heiston	--	Fee simple estate
126	William Baker		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
127	Judith M. Carter		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
127-a	Judith M. Carter		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
127-I	Judith M. Carter		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased and for -- Mary A. Hooff Marguerite Burns - Estate William O. Keys - Estate Hallie O. Kirksey Mary Selina Orme Julia J. Loving Milton B. Hopper Robert S. Hopper - Estate Ann Selina Smith Harriett Overall - Estate		Appear to have claim to fee simple estate. (This tract is contiguous to a larger tract claimed in Page County by Walter W. Hoffman, attorney in fact for the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased.)
	Albert P. McKusick		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

Marguerite Burns Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Marguerite Burns, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
William O. Keys Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of William O. Keys, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Robert S. Hopper Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Robert S. Hopper, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
E. Hilton Jackson	Appears to have contractual right to an interest in the proceeds from condemnation of this tract as and when made.
Harriett Overall Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at law
of Harriett Overall, dec-
eased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Ann P. Jolliffe Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law
of Ann P. Jolliffe, dec-
eased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

(There appear to be
conflicting claims to
the ownership of this
tract of land result-
ing from a lap.)

128	Charles Mundy		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
129	A. Frank Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
130	Mrs. J. B. Bolen (Claim filed by J. B. Bolen, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
131	D. M. Dwyer	--	Fee simple estate
132	Mrs. James H. Frazier		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
133	Lee Frazier		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

134	Mrs. Lucy Cornwell		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
135	Joseph S. Dodson	--	Fee simple estate
136	Lucy Dodson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
137	Susie Ann Frazier Maggie Clatterbuck Jeff Frazier Russell Bailey Melvin Bailey Elmer Bailey (Joint claim filed by Susie A. Frazier, Agent)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	E. W. Chelf, Guardian ad litem for - Russell Bailey, Melvin Bailey, Elmer Bailey, (Infants)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Russell Bailey (Infant)		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Melvin Bailey (Infant)		" " " "
	Elmer Bailey (Infant)		" " " "
	Buck Frazier Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Buck Frazier, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

	Lottie Bailey Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Lottie Bailey, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
138	Andrew J. Clark	--	Fee simple estate
138-a	Andrew J. Clark	--	Fee simple estate
138-b	Andrew J. Clark	--	Fee simple estate
139	Joe Darnell		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
140	Oklahoma Bowen		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
141	Joseph A. Hoffman	--	Fee simple estate
141-a	Joseph A. Hoffman		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
141-b	Mrs. Hugh D. Hite	--	Fee simple estate
142	Britton L. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate

142-a	Britton L. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
143	E. B. Fox, for himself and as agent for P. G. Fox and B. C. Fox	--	Fee simple estate
	E. B. Fox	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate
143-I	E. B. Fox, for himself and as agent for P. G. Fox and B. C. Fox.	--	Fee simple estate
	E. B. Fox	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	J. W. Ramey	--	Fee simple estate
144	William D. Gander, Lester H. Gander and M. V. Gander Heirs (Joint claim filed by William D. Gander for himself and the follow- ing heirs of M. V. Gander: L. C. Gander, Mary R. Brubaker, Lula E. Strickler, L. H. Gander.	--	Fee simple estate
	William D. Gander	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

(continued)

M. V. Gander Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of M. V. Gander, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

145	Mathias Clark	--	Fee simple estate
146	Sam B. Pullen	--	Fee simple estate
147	Burkett Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
148	Mrs. Alberta V. Menefee (Claim filed by B. W. Menefee, Agent)	--	Life estate

Henry Atkins Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Henry Atkins, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

149	B. O. Pullen	--	Fee simple estate
150	John H. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate

151	Williams, Taylor, Cheat- ham and Priest		Appear to have claim to fee simple estate.
151-a	Williams, Taylor, Cheat- ham and Priest		Appear to have claim to fee simple estate.
152	Addie Frank Clark	--	Fee simple estate
153	James W. Ramey	--	Fee simple estate
154	Elizabeth Yancey (Claim filed by Edward Yancey, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	Elizabeth Yancey (Insane)		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for Elizabeth Yancey	--	Fee simple estate
155	Mary E. Dodson and F. W. Dodson (Joint claim filed by F. W. Dodson)	--	Fee simple estate
155-a	Fred W. Dodson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate
156	Ashby A. Atkins		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate

157	J. O. Bailey	--	Fee simple estate
158	J. Frank Dodson (Deceased since filing claim)	--	Fee simple estate
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for - Virgil Dodson, Hensel Dodson, Bessie Dodson, Bernard Dodson, Mamie Atkins. (Infants)	--	Fee simple estate
	Virgil Dodson (Infant)		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Hensel Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Bessie Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Bernard Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Mamie Atkins (Infant)	" "	" "
	J. Frank Dodson Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of J. Frank Dodson, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

158-a	J. Frank Dodson (Deceased since filing claim)	--	Fee simple estate
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for - Virgil Dodson Hensel Dodson Bessie Dodson Bernard Dodson Mamie Atkins (Infants)	--	Fee simple estate
	Virgil Dodson (Infant)		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Hensel Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Bessie Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Bernard Dodson (Infant)	" "	" "
	Mamie Atkins (Infant)	" "	" "
	J. Frank Dodson Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of J. Frank Dodson, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
159	Mrs. Emma J. Fry		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
160	Robinson Pullen	--	Fee simple estate

161	Jeremiah Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
161-a	Jeremiah Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
162	Nina H. Clark	Fee simple estate
162-a	Nina H. Clark	Fee simple estate
163	Cora Alice Dodson (Claim filed by J. Frank Dodson, Agent)	Life estate
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for - Virgil Dodson Hensel Dodson Bessie Dodson Bernard Dodson Mamie Atkins Paul Dodson Margaret Dodson James Dodson	Fee simple estate
	Virgil Dodson (Infant)	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Hensel Dodson (Infant)	" " " "
	Bessie Dodson (Infant)	" " " "
	Bernard Dodson (Infant)	" " " "
	Mamie Atkins (Infant)	" " " "
	Paul Dodson (Infant)	" " " "

Margaret Dodson (Infant)	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
James Dodson (Infant and under sentence in Richmond.)	" " " "
Elsie Dodson	" " " "
John S. Dodson Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of John S. Dodson, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
J. Frank Dodson Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of J. Frank Dodson, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Mrs. Fred Dodson Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devisees or heirs at law of Mrs. Fred Dodson, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

164	J. W. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
164-a	J. W. Atkins		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
164-b	J. W. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
165	Jack and Susan Campbell (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
	Lizzie Campbell Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of Lizzie Campbell, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
166	Blue Ridge Land Corporation		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate
167	J. W. Atkins and J. W. Ramey (Joint claim filed by J. W. Atkins)	--	Fee simple estate
168	James H. Fletcher	--	Fee simple estate
169	O. B. Swindler	--	Fee simple estate
169-a	O. B. Swindler	--	Fee simple estate

170	William Jackson Rutherford	--	Fee simple estate
170-a	William Jackson Rutherford	--	Fee simple estate, subject to the life estate of Caroline Rutherford.
	Caroline Rutherford (Joint claim filed by William Jackson Rutherford)	--	Life estate
171	J. B. Dodson, Jr., for himself and wife, Maude Dodson	--	Fee simple estate
172	Amos E. Frazier	--	Fee simple estate
173	Frank H. Cox	--	Fee simple estate
174	Ellis Herbert Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
	Unknown lien holders		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
175	J. M. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate

176	James A. Atkins and Fannie V. Atkins (Joint claim filed by James A. Atkins for him- self and as agent for - Fannie V. Atkins.	--	Fee simple estate
	James A. Atkins	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
177	C. E. Johnson	--	Fee simple estate
178	Homer C. Atkins	--	Fee simple estate
179	James E. Broy	--	Undivided interest in life estate.
179-	James E. Broy and John M. Broy (Joint claim)	--	Life estate.
180	John G. Majors	--	Fee simple estate
181	James Fry Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of James Fry, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
182	Mrs. Sallie Atkins (Claim filed by J. M. Atkins.)	--	Fee simple estate

183	Martha Atkins		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
184	J. B. Dodson, Sr.		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
185	Isaac Claytor		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
186	Mrs. Elmira Pullen		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
187	P. H. O'Bannon		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
188	Mary M. Claytor		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
189	Silas L. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
190	H. C. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
191	Heirs of John William Jenkins (Claim filed by Payton Jenkins, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	Payton Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate, subject to the life estate of Mrs. Susan Jenkins.
	Mrs. Susan Jenkins	--	Life estate

(continued)

John William Jenkins
Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at
law of John William
Jenkins, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

192	Hettie M. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
193	Fannie E. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
194	Martha B. Whorton (Claim filed by Wade Jenkins, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
195	Moses W. Clark		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
196	Alpheus Clark	--	Fee simple estate
197	Nathan Dodson	--	Fee simple estate
	Culpeper National Bank (Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.

198		Jack A. Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
199	C. J. Miller and Louise M. Price et als (Joint claim filed by C. J. Miller, Jr.)	--	Fee simple estate
199-I	C. J. Miller and Louise M. Price et als (Joint claim filed by C. J. Miller, Jr.)	--	Fee simple estate
		Lizzie Nicholson (There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
199-II	C. J. Miller and Louise M. Price et als (Joint claim filed by C. J. Miller, Jr.)	--	Fee simple estate
		Lizzie Nicholson (There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land resulting from a lap.)	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	Newton Nicholson	--	Fee simple estate

199-III	C. J. Miller and Louise M. Price et als (Joint claim filed by C. J. Miller, Jr.)	--	Fee simple estate
	C. N. Spitler		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	J. W. Hoffman		" " " "
	(There appear to be conflicting claims to the ownership of this parcel of land result- ing from a lap.)		
200	Dudley Pullen and Alice Pullen (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
201	W. B. Dodson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
201-a	W. B. Dodson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate
202	Lindsey F. Berry (Claim filed by Willie B. Dodson, Agent)	--	Life estate
	Bailey Berry		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	Monie Olivia Dodson		" " " "
	Adrian Berry		" " " "

(continued)

Bessie Berry Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

Josie Berry " " " "

James Berry Estate Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at Appear to have claim
law of James Berry, to undivided interest
deceased in fee simple estate.

202-a Lindsey F. Berry -- Life estate
 (Claim filed by Willie B.
 Dodson, Agent)

Bailey Berry Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

Monie Clivia Dodson " " " "

Adrian Berry " " " "

Bessie Berry " " " "

Josie Berry " " " "

(continued)

James Berry Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of James Berry, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Culpeper National Bank
(Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)

--

Contractual right to out and remove timber.

203 Nathan Dodson

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

William Boot Dodson

Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

Nathan Dodson

" " " "

Willie Dodson

" " " "

Blanche Dodson

" " " "

Betty Dodson

" " " "

Ernest Dodson

" " " "

(continued)

Ella Dodson	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
Clarence Dodson	" " " "
Norris Jenkins Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of Norris Jenkins, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

203-a Nathan Dodson

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

William Boot Dodson	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
Nathan Dodson	" " " "
Willie Dodson	" " " "
Blanche Dodson	" " " "
Betty Dodson	" " " "
Ernest Dodson	" " " "

(continued)

Ella Dodson

Appears to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

Clarence Dodson

" " " "

Norris Jenkins Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Norris Jenkins,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

204 Nathan Jenkins Heirs
(Claim filed by Mrs.
Rosa Dodson)

--

Fee simple estate

Nathan Jenkins Estate

Appears to have claim
to an interest in the
proceeds of condem-
nation of this tract.

Devisees or heirs at
law of Nathan Jenkins,
deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

204-a	Nathan Jenkins Heirs (Claim filed by Mrs. Rosa Dodson)	--	Fee simple estate
	Nathan Jenkins Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Nathan Jenkins, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
205	Hunter Dodson and Jack Dodson (Joint claim filed by Hunter Dodson for him- self and as agent for Jack Dodson)	--	Fee simple estate
	Hunter Dodson	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
206	Henry A. Brown	--	Fee simple estate
	Culpeper National Bank (Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
206-a	Henry A. Brown	--	Fee simple estate

207	W. F. Crane, Executor of Ernest L. Crane	--	Fee simple estate
	Ernest L. Crane Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Ernest L. Crane, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
208	Samuel Fry	--	Fee simple estate
209	James P. Fincham Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of James P. Fincham, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
210	Eva Weakley		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
211	J. P. Pullen		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
212	W. H. Woodward Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of W. H. Woodward, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

213	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #213 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.	--	Fee simple estate, subject to the contractual right of the Culpeper National Bank to cut and remove timber.
214	A. Harrison Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate, subject to the contractual right of the Culpeper National Bank to cut and remove timber.
	Culpeper National Bank (Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
215	Mrs. James L. Jenkins (Claim filed by Dennis G. Jenkins, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	James L. Jenkins Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of James L. Jenkins, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
216	Mrs. Lorena Wayland and Mrs. Claudia Ashby (Joint claim)	--	Fee simple estate
217	Mrs. Izza M. Rector	--	Fee simple estate

218		James Boss Jenkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
219	Charles R. Hawkins (Claim filed by Sarah F. Hawkins, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
220		MoLane Jenkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
221	Cumberland S. Bennett	--	Fee simple estate
221-a	Cumberland S. Bennett	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
		Otis Bennett	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
222	William Ernest Nichols	--	Fee simple estate
223		Mrs. Mortimer Hawkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
224	Clarence L. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
225	William S. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate

226	A. L. Brown	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Henry A. Brown	--	" " " "
	Anna L. Brown		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Inez P. Nethers		" " " "
	W. W. Brown Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
	Devises or heirs at law of W. W. Brown, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
227	Lela Flora Dodson	--	Life estate
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for James Monroe Dodson, infant.	--	Fee simple estate, subject to the life estate of Lela Flora Dodson.
	James Monroe Dodson (Infant)		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Carroll Brook Dodson Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of Carroll Brook
Dodson, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

228	James M. Nicholson	--	Fee simple estate
228-a	James M. Nicholson	--	Fee simple estate
	Culpeper National Bank (Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
229	J. Boot Jenkins (Claim filed by W. B. Jenkins, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
	Culpeper National Bank (Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)	--	Contractual right to cut and remove timber.
230	A. H. Buckner	--	Fee simple estate
231	Bertie Nicholson (Claim filed by A. E. Nicholson, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate

(continued)

Robert Burke Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of Robert Burke, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

Culpeper National Bank
(Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)

--

Contractual right to cut and remove timber.

232 Culpeper National Bank
(Claim filed by John S. Covington, President, and Harold G. Brown, Cashier)

--

Fee simple estate

233 Helen M. Jeffries

--

Fee simple estate

Otis L. Bennett

--

Undivided interest in fee simple estate.

John W. and Josie Bennett Estate

Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

Devises or heirs at law of John W. and Josie Bennett, deceased

Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

234		E. H. Jenkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
235	Amos Weakley (Claim filed by W. R. Weakley, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
		Amos Weakley (Insane)	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for Amos Weakley, insane.	--	Fee simple estate
235-a	Amos Weakley (Claim filed by W. R. Weakley, Agent)	--	Fee simple estate
		Amos Weakley (Insane)	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for Amos Weakley, insane.	--	Fee simple estate
236	Mollie J. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
237	Wayman Dodson	--	Fee simple estate

238	Lindsey P. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate, subject to a line in favor of Joseph Weak- ley Heirs.
	Joseph Weakley Estate		Appears to have claim under vendor's lien to fee simple estate.
	Devises or heirs at law of Joseph Weakley, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
239	Charles F. Frazier	--	Fee simple estate
240	R. L. Nicholson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
241	James A. Burke and wife (Joint claim filed by James A. Burke]	--	Fee simple estate
242	J. W. Dodson	--	Fee simple estate
243	Mrs. Alice Nicholson	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	E. W. Chelf, guardian ad litem for Roscoe Burke, Theodore Burke, Curtis Burke, Reid Burke, Mary Burke, Juanita Burke, Bertha Burke, James Burke.	--	" " " "

(continued)

Roscoe Burke (Infant)	Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
Theodore Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Curtis Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Reid Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Mary Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Juanita Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Bertha Burke (Infant)	" " " "
James Burke (Infant)	" " " "
Henry A. Brown	" " " "
Will Fletcher	" " " "
John Dodson	" " " "
W. M. Burke Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
Devises or heirs at law of W. M. Burke, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

244	William Meade Fletcher	--	Fee simple estate
245	T. A. Nicholson and heirs of Ida E. Nichol- son. (Joint claim filed by Thomas A. Nicholson)	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate
	T. A. Nicholson		
	Dewey Nicholson		Appears to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Ida E. Nicholson Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Ida E. Nichol- son, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
	Clarence Nicholson Estate		Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condem- nation of this tract.
	Devisees or heirs at law of Clarence Nich- olson, deceased		Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
246	Louis Nicholson		Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

247		James Campbell	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
248	Inez V. Nicholson	--	Fee simple estate
249		Seth Jenkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
250		J. W. Nethers	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
251	Newton Nicholson	--	Fee simple estate
252	Ida E. Nicholson (Claim filed by Mollie J. Jenkins)	--	Fee simple estate
253		Jeremiah and Caroline Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
254	Henry A. Brown	--	Undivided interest in fee simple estate.
		Smith Jenkins Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
		Devisees or heirs at law of Smith Jenkins, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.

255	Mt. Olive Baptist Church	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
256	This appears to be vacant and unappropriated land for which no claim was filed and to which no claim has ever been asserted so far as the Commissioners are informed.	
257	This appears to be vacant and unappropriated land for which no claim was filed and to which no claim has ever been asserted so far as the Commissioners are informed.	
258	Dolly Taylor	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
259	Mrs. Kate Judd	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
260	E. C. Broy	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
261	A. M. Priest and Jessie Priest	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
262	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #262 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as finally completed.	
263	Ellen Tyler	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.

264	Johannes Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
265		Towsen E. Smith	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
266	Horace Pullen, Clarence Pullen and Rosa Pearl Wilson (Joint claim filed by C. M. Pullen)	--	Fee simple estate
		George W. Pullen Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.
		Devisees or heirs at law of George W. Pullen, deceased	Appear to have claim to undivided interest in fee simple estate.
267		Joseph B. Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
267-a		Joseph B. Atkins	Appears to have claim to fee simple estate.
268	J. P. Jenkins	--	Fee simple estate
		Talliaferro Bennett Estate	Appears to have claim to an interest in the proceeds of condemnation of this tract.

(continued)

Devises or heirs at
law of Talliaferro
Bennett, deceased

Appear to have claim
to undivided interest
in fee simple estate.

269 James E. Broy

--

Fee simple estate

270

J. P. Atkins

Appears to have claim
to fee simple estate.

TABLE II

For amendments in amounts of value of tracts 24, 54, 70-1, 142, 148, 151, 151-a, 152, 164, 164-a, 173, see order entered herein March 14-1934 - (O.B.H. page 217)

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
1	\$36.00	24	\$5974.30 <i>-\$6374⁰⁰</i>
2	120.00	25	<i>See order 3/14/34</i> 330.00
3	51.00	26	445.00
4	759.00	27	1312.00
5	420.00	28	908.00
6	552.00	29	127.00
7	596.75	30	859.00
8	2349.00	31	100.00
9	4703.00	31-a	325.00
10	722.50	31-b	180.00
11	1472.00	32	1101.00
11-I	600.00	33	11392.75
12	4425.00	33-I	34.00
13	632.00	34	6988.00
14	302.00	35	3656.00
15	248.50	36	382.50
16	784.00	37	561.00
17	384.00	38	821.00
18	30.00	39	196.00
19	1418.00	40	312.00
20	658.00	41	930.00
20-a	6385.00	42	89.00
21	1193.00	42-a	174.00
22	310.00	42-b	15.00
23	1417.50	43	750.00

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
44	\$2252.00	59-IV	\$8.00
45	57.00	59-V	67.00
46	80.00	60	496.00
47	125.00	61	1238.00
48	1318.00	62	196.00
49	772.00	63	649.00
50	1757.00	64	96.00
51	1708.50	65	1013.00
52	2383.00	66	939.00
52-I	8.00	67	560.00
53	2227.00	68	4235.00
53-a	1939.00	68-I	872.50
54 - #22,349 ⁰⁰ <i>See order 3/14/34</i>	14276.00	69	12994.00
54-I	260.00	70	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constitutin tract #70 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
54-II	8.00	70-I	\$1200.00 1095.00 <i>See order: 3/14/34</i>
55	28.00	71	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #71 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
56	2182.00	71-I	1110.00
57	1186.50	72	1028.00
58	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #58 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.	72-I	200.00
58-I	279.00	72-II	140.00
58-II	316.00	73	484.00
59	5688.50	73-a	1745.00
59-a	1082.00	73-b	10.00
59-I	607.00		
59-II	832.00		
59-III	284.50		

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
74	\$88.00	99	\$1552.75
75	106.00	100	1608.00
75-a	112.50	101	373.00
76	555.00	101-a	295.00
77	69.75	101-b	1297.00
78	314.00	102	13003.00
78-a	160.00	103	962.50
78-b	75.00	103-a	224.00
79	2119.00	103-I	180.00
79-a	48.00	104	1024.00
80	961.00	104-I	15.00
81	933.00	104-II	3951.00
82	550.00	105	800.00
83	1327.00	106	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #106 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
84	575.00		
85	779.00		
86	142.00		
87	183.00		
88	1951.00	106-I	60.00
89	24.00	106-II	2135.00
90	25.00	107	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #107 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
91	28.00		
92	150.00		
93	441.00		
94	632.00		
95	134.00	107-I	1580.00
96	437.50	107-II	215.00
97	560.00		
98	872.75		

<u>NO.OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO.OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
108	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #108 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.	113-a-I	\$137.50
		113-a-II	660.00
		113-I	4312.30
		113-II	1227.20
108-a	\$72.00	114	640.00
108-a-I	12.00	114-a	774.00
108-I	35.00	114-b	393.00
108-II	913.75	115	644.00
109	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #109 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.	115-I	150.00
		116	4113.00
		117	530.00
		118	1887.50
109-I	5409.40	119	1166.00
110	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #110 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.	120	532.00
			120-a
		121	121.50
110-I	5366.35	122	447.50
110-II	255.60	122-a	95.25
111	5642.00	123	1597.00
111-I	697.60	124	1535.00
111-II	4253.08	125	4129.33
112	428.00	126	661.00
112-a	642.00	127	267.00
112-I	124.00	127-a	172.00
113	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #113 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.	127-I	12.00
		128	30.00
		129	190.00

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
130	\$716.00	151-a	\$319.00 <i>#315.85 see order 3/14/34</i>
131	528.50	152	1310.00 <i>#1650.00 see order 3/14/34</i>
132	340.82	153	10488.80
133	1113.50	154	2055.00
134	1592.00	155	1100.00
135	557.50	155-a	50.00
136	203.00	156	500.00
137	1649.50	157	777.00
138	1503.00	158	192.50
138-a	755.00	158-a	143.00 ✓
138-b	2417.00	159	601.52
139	1879.50	160	896.05
140	260.00	161	5917.78
141	4934.66	161-a	2488.00
141-a	50.00	162	5457.75
141-b	3773.33	162-a	50.00
142- <i>#2004.71 see order 3/14/34</i>	1855.00	163	1841.00
142-a	395.29	164	3053.00 <i>#3040.00 see order 3/14/34</i>
143	5332.74	164-a	1000.00 <i>#1800.00 see order 3/14/34</i>
143-I	27.00	164-b	150.00
144	718.41	165	600.00
145	1058.00	166	1050.00
146	1235.00	167	120.00
147	1421.09	168	1589.50
148- <i>#3337.77 see order 3/14/34</i>	1502.50	169	853.00
149	358.50	169-a	25.00
150	800.00	170	1738.25
151- <i>#20023⁰⁰ see order 3/14/34</i>	16500.00	170-a	300.00

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
171	\$1094.00	199	\$3094.75
172	269.50	199-I	213.00
173 - #2607.00 <i>see order 3/14/34</i>	2107.34	199-II	90.00
174	420.00	199-III	936.00
175	1592.00	200	250.00
176	160.00	201	458.00
177	361.00	201-a	170.50
178	116.50	202	722.00
179	572.00	202-a	370.00
180	345.00	203	532.00
181	131.00	203-a	150.00
182	222.50	204	458.00
183	715.00	204-a	647.50
184	1405.00	205	700.00
185	234.00	206	4617.50
186	514.00	206-a	226.00
187	105.00	207	272.50
188	102.50	208	467.00
189	675.00	209	10.00
190	875.00	210	371.00
191	1087.00	211	138.75
192	339.50	212	54.75
193	959.50	213	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #213 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
194	251.00		
195	453.00		
196	965.00	214	3918.50
197	1645.50	215	301.00
198	1631.20	216	237.50

<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>	<u>NO. OF TRACT</u>	<u>VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE</u>
217	\$164.60	241	\$442.87
218	147.00	242	511.00
219	599.00	243	572.50
220	1055.00	244	380.75
221	24.00	245	3880.75
221-a	66.00	246	120.00
222	128.00	247	705.00
223	800.00	248	760.50
224	11.00	249	12.00
225	202.00	250	54.00
226	1876.00	251	420.00
227	1776.00	252	586.00
228	2050.00	253	275.00
228-a	715.00	254	494.00 ✓
229	924.00	255	350.00
230	1378.00	256	260.00
231	1054.50	257	90.00
232	1466.00	258	150.00
233	1655.00	259	635.00
234	6.00	260	150.00
235	411.00 ✓	261	300.00
235-a	10.00	262	There is no tract shown on the map under this number. The land originally constituting tract #262 having been assigned another number or numbers on map as submitted.
236	2122.00		
237	355.50		
238	796.00		
239	390.00		
240	250.00	263	75.00

NO. OF TRACT

VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE

NO. OF TRACT

VALUE OF FEE SIMPLE ESTATE

264	\$250.00
265	75.00
266	168.50
267	80.00
267-a	19.00
268	20.00
269	150.00
270	10.00

Total Value \$ 404,687.72

TABLE III

NAMES OF PERSONS CLAIMING INCIDENTAL DAMAGES FILED WITH THE RECORD IN CLERK'S OFFICE, WHERE CLAIM IS MADE ON BEHALF OF AN INFANT, INSANE PERSON, OR PERSON UNDER OTHER LEGAL DISABILITY, THAT FACT IS INDICATED AFTER THE NAME.	AMOUNT	NUMBER OF THE TRACT OR TRACTS AS SHOWN ON MAP BECAUSE OF THE CONDEMNATION OF WHICH THE CLAIM WAS FILED.	<i>Note - "No findings as to incidental damages by Arbitrators, except as to Tract # 151, as follows: Incidental damages to removable furnishings #662⁰⁰ - See order entered herein March 14 - 1934." O.B."H", page 217.</i>	AMOUNT OF INCIDENTAL DAMAGES TO TRACT OF LAND CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN DAMAGED, ASCERTAINED BY THE APPRAISAL COMMISSIONERS.
---	--------	---	---	---

W.P. & Mary D. Slaughter	\$3520.00	#17	352 acres adjoining	None
G.W. Bowling	500.00	#22	Adjoining land	None
Edley M. Clark	200.00	#23	99.75 acres nearby	None
Irene B. Putney	No amt. specified	#41	Adjoining land	None
W.M. Staurt	\$1000.00	#46	72 acres adjoining	None
Burrell Woodward	300.00	#47	42 acres adjoining	None
Clifton Aylor	\$1000.00	#51	100 acres adjoining	None
R.E. Manuel	50.00	#54	Adjoining land	None
J.E. & E.E. Coppage	300.00	#62	Adjoining land	None
Richard H. and Lucy Partlow	No amt. specified	#63	Adjoining land	None
S.A. Beahm	200.00	#75	30 acres adjoining	\$100.00
R.M. Dwyer	No amt. specified	#78	Adjoining land	None
John R. Fincham	No amt. specified	#79	Adjoining land	None
Maurice Clark	No amt. specified	#93	Adjoining land	None
Jas. A. Estes	\$1000.00	#103-a	155 acres adjoining	None
Joseph S. Dodson	400.00	#135	42.50 acres adjoining	\$75.00
C.E. Johnson	\$1010.00	#177	70 acres adjoining	None
John T. Majors	100.00	#180	Adjoining land	\$100.00
Cumberland S. Bennet	250.00	#221	Adjoining land	None
Clarence L. Jenkins	No amt. specified	#224	Adjoining land	None
Chas. F. Frazier	100.00	#239	Adjoining land	None

The only real and well founded claims to incidental damages arising out of the proposed condemnation of the various tracts or parcels of land shown on the map filed with the report, with reference to which no claim of incidental damages has been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, are as follows:

The owner of the fee simple estate in tract #26, who appears to be W. T. Riley as shown in Table I, is entitled to incidental damages amounting to \$50.00, if, as it appears, said owner is also the owner of twenty eight acres, more or less, contiguous to tract #26, and outside the area described in the petition, which, but for the condemnation of tract #26, would constitute together therewith, a larger tract or parcel of land under the same ownership.

RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY
TABLE II - continued

The owner of the fee simple estate in tract #186, who appears to be Elmira Pullen as shown on Table I, is entitled to incidental damages amounting to \$132.00, if, as it appears, said owner is also the owner of three acres, more or less, contiguous to tract #186, and outside the area described in the petition, which, but for the condemnation of tract #186, would constitute together therewith, a larger tract or parcel of land under the same ownership.

The owner of the fee simple estate in tract #195, who appears to be W. Moses Clark as shown on Table I, is entitled to incidental damages amounting to \$50.00, if, as it appears, said owner is also the owner of three and one-half acres, more or less, contiguous to tract #195, and outside the area described in the petition, which, but for the condemnation of tract #195, would constitute therewith, a larger tract or parcel of land under the same ownership.

The owner of the fee simple estate in tract #267-a, who appears to be Joseph B. Atkins as shown on Table I, is entitled to incidental damages amounting to \$45.00, if, as it appears, said owner is also the owner of three acres, more or less, contiguous to tract #267-a, and outside the area described in the petition, which, but for the condemnation of tract #267-a, would constitute therewith, a larger tract or parcel of land under the same ownership.

TABLE IV

List of infants, insane persons, and persons under other legal disability, for whom no guardians ad litem have been appointed at the date of filing of the accompanying report, who appear to be owners, or to have right, title, estate or interest in any of the tracts or parcels of land shown on the map filed with the report, which it is proposed to condemn, and who appear also to be owners of other land contiguous to the lands sought to be condemned and described in the petition, which would be damaged by the proposed condemnation, and the amount of damages thereto which will result from the proposed condemnation.

NUMBER OF TRACT AS SHOWN ON MAP	NAME OF INFANT OR INSANE PERSON OR PERSONS UNDER OTHER LEGAL DIS- ABILITY WHO IS OWNER OF TRACT OR OF AN INTEREST THEREIN.	TRACTS CONTIGUOUS TO LANDS SOUGHT TO BE CONDEMNED, OWNED BY SUCH IN- FANT, INSANE PERSON OR PERSON UNDER OTHER LEGAL DISA- BILITY, OR IN WHICH HE HAS INTEREST, WHICH WILL BE DAMAGED BY THE PROPOSED CON- DEMNATION.	AMOUNT OF SUCH DAMAGE INCIDENT- AL TO THE PRO- POSED CONDEM- NATION, ASCER- TAINED BY THE COMMISSIONERS:
NONE			NONE

NOTE: Guardians ad litem have been appointed for all infants, insane persons, and persons under other legal disability, who appeared to be owners or to have any right, title, estate or interest in any of the tracts shown on the accompanying map, which it is proposed to condemn, whose names were known to the special investigators and appraisal commissioners at the time of the submission of the accompanying report.

TABLE V

List of names of persons who have filed, or on whose behalf there have been filed with the record in the Clerk's Office, claims to any right, title, estate or interest in the lands described in the petition, and of all persons including infants, insane persons, or persons under other legal disability who appear to have claims of right, title, estate or interest in said lands as shown in the foregoing Table One and found by the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners submitting the report to which these exhibit sheets are attached:- said names being set out under the letter of the alphabet with which their surnames begin, and set opposite the number of the tract or parcel, shown on the map of the said lands filed with the report, with reference to which such claims of right, title, estate, or interest appear to have been set up as shown in said Table One.

NO.OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	: NO.OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST	:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-	:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS	:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
:	CONDEMNATION.	:	CONDEMNATION.

51	Aylor, Clifton	198	Atkins, Jack A.
82	Atkins, James P.	216	Ashby, Mrs. Claudia
		253	Atkins, Jeremiah & Caroline
119	Armentrout, Mrs. Ben	267	Atkins, Joseph B.
142	Atkins, Britton L.	267-a	Atkins, Joseph B.
142-a	Atkins, Britton L.	270	Atkins, J. P.
147	Atkins, Burkett		
148	Atkins, Henry - Estate		
150	Atkins, John H.	1	Barbee, Lucy E.
156	Atkins, Ashby A.	5	Beaty, Welton
158	Atkins, Mamie -(Infant)	9	Boyer, H. E.
158-a	Atkins, Mamie -(Infant)	11-I	Bailey, A. G.
161	Atkins, Jeremiah	22	Bowling, G. W.
161-a	Atkins, Jeremiah	32	Bradford, M. R.
163	Atkins, Mamie -(Infant)	54	Booth, M. M.
164	Atkins, J. W.	54-I	Booth, M. M.
164-a	Atkins, J. W.	54-II	Booth, M. M.
164-b	Atkins, J. W.	55	Bailey, A. G.
167	Atkins, J. W.	56	Booth, N. M.
175	Atkins, J. M.	58-I	Bailey, James - Estate
176	Atkins, James A.	58-I	Bailey, Ambrose B.
176	Atkins, Fannie V.	58-I	Bailey, Waverly
178	Atkins, Homer C.	58-I	Bailey, Samuel
182	Atkins, Mrs. Sallie	58-I	Bailey, James
182	Atkins, J. M.	58-I	Bailey, Luther
183	Atkins, Martha	58-I	Baker, Mary - Estate

NO.OF	:	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	:	NO.OF	:	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	:	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	:	<u>TRACT</u>	:	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST	:		:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-	:		:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS	:		:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	:	CONDEMNATION.	:		:	CONDEMNATION.

78-a	Baker, H. H.	108-I	Burrill, Ellen
78-b	Baker, H. H.	108-II	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-I	Bailey, George - Estate	109-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-I	Bailey, Richard	110-I	Burrill, Ellen
58-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	110-II	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-II	Bailey, Ambrose, B.	111-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-II	Bailey, Waverly	111-II	Burrill, Ellen
58-II	Bailey, Samuel	112-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-II	Bailey, James	113-a-I	Burrill, Ellen
58-II	Bailey, Luther	113-a-II	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-II	Bailey, Richard	113-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
58-II	Bailey, James - Estate	116	Bowen, Ann V. - Estate
58-II	Baker, Mary - Estate	120	Bank of Warren
58-II	Bailey, George - Estate	120-a	Bank of Warren
59-II	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	126	Baker, William
59-IV	Booth M. M.	127-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate
64	Bailey, Ambrose B.	130	Bolen, Mrs. J. B.
66	Burke, Mrs. Charles	130	Bolen, J. B. - Agent
68-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	137	Bailey, Russell - (Infant)
69	Bolen, J. B.	137	Bailey, Melvin - (Infant)
70-I	Brumback, E. G.	137	Bailey, Elmer - (Infant)
71-I	Brumback, E. G.	137	Bailey, Lottie - Estate
71-I	Brumback, J. F.	140	Bowen, Oklahoma
71-I	Brumback, Mrs. Madge	144	Brubaker, Mary R.
71-I	Baggarly, Mrs. Gertrude	157	Bailey, J. O.
71-I	Brumback, J. B. - Estate	166	Blue Ridge Land Corporation
72-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	179	Broy, James E.
75	Beahm, S. A.	179-	Broy, John M.
75-a	Beahm, S. A.	197	Bank, Culpeper National
83	Baker, Alpheus S.	197	Brown, Harold G., Cashier
83	Baker, George D.	202	Berry, Lindsey F.
83	Baker, Charles W.	202	Berry, Bailey
83	Baker, Jacob A.	202	Berry, Adrian
83	Baker, Ed E.	202	Berry, Bessie
83	Baker, Nellie	202	Berry, Josie
84	Baldwin, Oscar E.	202	Berry, James
85	Burke, Joshua M.	202	Berry, James - Estate
85	Burke, Ada	202-a	Berry, Lindsey F.
86	Burke, Otis - Estate	202-a	Berry, Bailey
90	Butler, Beverly	202-a	Berry, Adrian
91	Beckwith, Frank	202-a	Berry, Bessie
101	Bailey, Ambrose B.	202-a	Berry, Josie
101-a	Bailey, Ambrose B.	202-a	Berry, James
101-b	Bailey, Ambrose B.	202-a	Bank, Culpeper National
102	Bowen, Charles H.	202-a	Brown, Harold G., Cashier
104-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	206	Brown, Henry A.
106-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate	206	Bank, Culpeper National
107-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate		
108-a-I	Burns, Marguerite - Estate		

NO. OF TRACT	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PROCEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS CONDEMNATION.	NO. OF TRACT	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT, TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PROCEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS CONDEMNATION.
206	Brown, Harold G., Cashier	33	Carter, Shirley
206-a	Brown, Henry A.	33-I	Carter, Shirley
214	Bank, Culpeper National	62	Coppage, J. H.
214	Brown, Harold, G., Cashier	62	Coppage, E. H.
221	Bennett, Cumberland S.	69	Cooper, R. L. - Estate
221-a	Bennett, Cumberland S.	72	Clatterbuck, Joseph
221-a	Bennett, Otis	72-I	Clatterbuck, Joseph
226	Brown, A. L.	72-II	Clatterbuck, Joseph
226	Brown, Henry A.	83	Cornwell, Mrs. Tom
226	Brown, Anna L.	87	Compton, Frank
226	Brown, W. W. - Estate	93	Clark, Maurice
228-a	Bank, Culpeper National	94	Coates, Nellie
228-a	Brown, Harold G., Cashier		
229	Bank, Culpeper National	96	Compton, Mrs. Olive
229	Brown, Harold G., Cashier	97	Carter, John
230	Buckner, A. H.	105	Church of the Brethren
231	Burke, Robert - Estate	112	Compton, Frank
231	Bank, Culpeper National	112-a	Compton, Frank
231	Brown, Harold G., Cashier	112-I	Compton, Frank
232	Bank, Culpeper National	127	Carter, Judith M.
232	Brown, Harold G., Cashier	127-a	Carter, Judith M.
233	Bennett, Otis L.	127-I	Carter, Judith M.
233	Bennett, John W. & Josie - Estate	134	Cornwell, Mrs. Lucy
		137	Clatterbuck, Maggie
241	Burke, James A. & wife	137	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian
241	Burke, James A.	138	Clark, Andrew J.
243	Burke, Roscoe - (Infant)	138-a	Clark, Andrew J.
243	Burke, Theodore - (Infant)	138-b	Clark, Andrew J.
243	Burke, Curtis - (Infant)	145	Clark, Mathias
243	Burke, Reid - (Infant)	152	Clark, Addie Frank
243	Burke, Mary - (Infant)	154	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian
243	Burke, Jaunita - (Infant)	158	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian
243	Burke, Bertha - (Infant)	158-a	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian
243	Burke, James - (Infant)	162	Clark, Nina H.
243	Brown, Henry A.	162-a	Clark, Nina H.
243	Burke, W. M. - Estate	163	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian
254	Brown, Henry A.	165	Campbell, Jack & Susan
260	Broy, E. C.	165	Campbell, Lizzie - Estate
268	Bennett, Talliaferro - Estate	173	Cox, Frank H.
		185	Claytor, Isaac
269	Broy, James E.	188	Claytor, Mary M.
271	Borden, J. P.	195	Clark, Moses W.
		196	Clark, Alpheus
		197	Covington, John S. - President
		202-a	Covington, John S. - President
4	Claigg, Lee	206	Covington, John S. - President
6	Chester Gap Orchard - Company	207	Crane, W. F. - Executor
		207	Crane, Ernest L.
10	Compton, Henry T.	214	Covington, John S. - President
23	Clark, Edley M.	227	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian

NO.OF	:	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	:	NO.OF	:	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	:	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	:	<u>TRACT</u>	:	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST	:		:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-	:		:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS	:		:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	:	CONDEMNATION.	:		:	CONDEMNATION.

228-a	Covington, John S.	201-a	Dodson, W. B.
229	Covington, John S.	131	Dwyer, D. M.
231	Covington, John S.	135	Dodson, Joseph S.
232	Covington, John S.	136	Dodson, Lucy
235	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian	139	Darnell, Joe
235-a	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian	155	Dodson, Mary E.
243	Chelf, E. W. - Guardian	155	Dodson, F. W.
247	Campbell, James	155-a	Dodson, Fred W.
255	Church, Mt. Olive Baptist	158	Dodson, J. Frank
		158	Dodson, Virgil - (Infant)
		158	Dodson, Hensel - (Infant)
		158	Dodson, Bessie - (Infant)
		158	Dodson, Bernard - (Infant)
42-	Deatherage, Ora	158	Dodson, J. Frank-Estate
16	Dulin, W. Alfred	158-a	Dodson, J. Frank
16	Dulin, J. E., Agent	158-a	Dodson, Virgil - (Infant)
16	Dulin, Gabe	158-a	Dodson, Hensel - (Infant)
35	Dearing, Eugenia P.	158-a	Dodson, Bessie - (Infant)
35	Dearing, A. W. - Estate	158-a	Dodson, Bernard - (Infant)
42	Deatherage, Emmie	158-a	Dodson, J. Frank-Estate
42	Deatherage, Lucy	163	Dodson, Cora Alice
42	Deatherage, Myra	163	Dodson, J. Frank - Agent
57	DeJarnette, H. M.	163	Dodson, Virgil - (Infant)
57	DeJarnette, E. H., Jr.	163	Dodson, Hensel - (Infant)
68	Dwyer, Miles J. - Estate	163	Dodson, Bessie - (Infant)
68	Dwyer, Lucy N.	163	Dodson, Bernard - (Infant)
68	Dwyer, Dora Alice	163	Dodson, Paul - (Infant)
68	Dwyer, Thomas B.	163	Dodson, Margaret - (Infant)
68	Dwyer, R. M.	163	Dodson, James - (Infant)
68-I	Dwyer, Miles J. - Estate	163	Dodson, Elsie
68-I	Dwyer, Lucy N.	163	Dodson, John S. - Estate
68-I	Dwyer, Dora Alice	163	Dodson, J. Frank - Estate
68-I	Dwyer, Thomas B.	163	Dodson, Mrs. Fred - Estate
68-I	Dwyer, R. M.	171	Dodson, J. B., Jr.
73	Dwyer, T. B.	171	Dodson, Maude
73-a	Dwyer, T. B.	184	Dodson, J. B., Sr.
73-b	Dwyer, T. B.	197	Dodson, Nathan
78	Dwyer, R. M.	201	Dodson, W. B.
78-a	Dwyer, R. M.	202	Dodson, W. B. - Agent
78-b	Dwyer, R. M.	202	Dodson, Monie Olivia
108-a	Dwyer, Jacob	202-a	Dodson, Willie B. - Agent
108-a-I	Dwyer, Jacob	202-a	Dodson, Monie Olivia
108-I	Dwyer, Jacob	203	Dodson, Nathan
108-II	Dwyer, Jacob	203	Dodson, William Boot
114	Dwyer, Mrs. Nina B.	203	Dodson, Willie
114-a	Dwyer, Mrs. Nina B.	203	Dodson, Blanche
114-a	Dwyer, D. M. - Agent	203	Dodson, Betty
114-b	Dwyer, Mrs. Nina B.	203	Dodson, Ernest
114-b	Dwyer, D. M. - Agent	203	Dodson, Ella
115	Dwyer, Alfred - Estate	203	Dodson, Clarence
115-I	Dwyer, Alfred - Estate	203-a	Dodson, Nathan
118	Dwyer, J. T.	42-a	Deatherage, Lucy
42-a	Deatherage, Emmie	42-b	Deatherage, Lucy
42-b	Deatherage, Emmie	42-a	Deatherage, Myra,
42-a	Deatherage, Ora	42-b	Deatherage, Myra
42-b	Deatherage, Ora		

NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	: NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST		: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-		: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS		: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	: CONDEMNATION.		: CONDEMNATION.

203-a	Dodson, William Boot	143-I	Fox, E. B.
203-a	Dodson, Willie	143-I	Fox, P. G.
203-a	Dodson, Blanche	143-I	Fox, B. C.
203-a	Dodson, Betty	143-I	Fox, E. B. - Agent
203-a	Dodson, Ernest	159	Fry, Mrs. Emma J.
203-a	Dodson, Ella	168	Fletcher, James H.
203-a	Dodson, Clarence	172	Frazier, Amos E.
204	Dodson, Mrs. Rosa	181	Fry, James - Estate
204-a	Dodson, Mrs. Rosa	208	Fry, Samuel
205	Dodson, Hunter	209	Fincham, James P. - Estate
205	Dodson, Jack	239	Frazier, Charles F.
205	Dodson, Hunter - Agent	243	Fletcher, Will
227	Dodson, Lela Flora	244	Fletcher, William Meade
227	Dodson, James Monroe		
227	Dodson, Carroll Brook - Estate		
237	Dodson, Wayman	28	Gentry, W. C.
242	Dodson, J. W.	34	Grim, H. M.
243	Dodson, John	35	Galloway, Eva
		39	Green, Barnett
		54	Grannis, William
		54-I	Grannis, William
14	Eastham, P. B.	59-IV	Grannis, William
19	Early, J. P.	60	Gore, E. L.
103	Estes, James A.	60	Gore, E. W. - Agent
103-a	Estes, James A.	74	Gore, John B.
103-I	Estes, James A.	111	Grove, J. G.
		111-I	Grove, J. G.
		111-II	Grove, J. G.
		144	Gander, William D.
36	Foster, George Henry	144	Gander, Lester H.
36	Foster, William Franklin	144	Gander, M. V.
36	Foster, Eliza J.	144	Gander, L. C.
36	Foster, John Jack - Estate	144	Gander, M. V. - Estate
43	Foster, Edward		
79	Fincham, John R.		
79-a	Fincham, John R.		
83	Fincham, Mrs. Silas	15	Haddox, H. B. - Estate
132	Frazier, Mrs. James H.	23	Harper, Edith G.
133	Frazier, Lee	58-I	Hooff, Mary A.
137	Frazier, Susie Ann	58-I	Hopper, Milton B.
137	Frazier, Jeff	58-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
137	Frazier, Susie A. - Agent	59-II	Hooff, Mary A.
137	Frazier, Buck - Estate	59-II	Hopper, Milton B.
143	Fox, E. B.	59-II	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
143	Fox, E. B. - Agent	68-I	Hooff, Mary A.
143	Fox, P. G.	68-I	Hopper, Milton B.
143	Fox, B. C.	68-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate

NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	: NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST		: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-		: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS		: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	: CONDEMNATION.		: CONDEMNATION.

71-I	Houser, Mrs. W. J.	110-II	Hershberger, Miss A. M.
72-I	Hooff, Mary A.	110-II	Hershberger, D. B. - Estate
72-I	Hopper, Milton B.	110-II	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
72-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	110-II	Hooff, Mary A.
98	Harrell, T. E.	110-II	Hopper, Milton B.
99	Harrell, Mrs. Savilla	110-II	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
99	Harrell, T. E. - Agent	111-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
99	Harrell, John - Estate	111-I	Hooff, Mary A.
104-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	111-I	Hopper, Milton B.
104-I	Hooff, Mary A.	111-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
104-I	Hopper, Milton B.	112-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
104-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	112-I	Hooff, Mary A.
106-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	112-I	Hopper, Milton B.
106-I	Hooff, Mary A.	112-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
106-I	Hopper, Milton B.	113-a-I	Hudson, H. H.
106-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	113-a-II	Hudson, H. H.
107-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	113-a-II	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
107-I	Hooff, Mary A.	113-a-II	Hooff, Mary A.
107-I	Hopper, Milton B.	113-a-II	Hopper, Milton B.
107-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	113-a-II	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
108-a-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	113-I	Hudson, H. H.
108-a-I	Hooff, Mary A.	113-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
108-a-I	Hopper, Milton B.	113-I	Hooff, Mary A.
108-a-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	113-I	Hopper, Milton B.
108-II	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	113-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
108-II	Hooff, Mary A.	113-II	Hudson, H. H.
108-II	Hopper, Milton B.	125	Heiston, John J.
108-II	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	127-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney
109-I	Hudson, Martha G.	127-I	Hooff, Mary A.
109-I	Hoffman, Walter W.-Attorney	127-I	Hopper, Milton B.
109-I	Hooff, Mary A.	127-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate
109-I	Hopper, Milton B.	141	Hoffman, Joseph A.
109-I	Hopper, Robert S. - Estate	141-a	Hoffman, Joseph A.
110-I	Hershberger, David H.	141-b	Hite, Mrs. Hugh D.
110-I	Hershberger, D. B.	199-III	Hoffman, J. W.
110-I	Hershberger, Frank S.	219	Hawkins, Charles R.
110-I	Hershberger, W. P.	219	Hawkins, Sarah F. - Agent
110-I	Hershberger, E. N.	223	Hawkins, Mrs. Mortimer
110-I	Hershberger, J. D.		
110-I	Hershberger, Miss A. M.		
110-I	Hershberger, D. B. - Estate		
110-II	Hershberger, David H.	54	Iles, Alfred B.
110-II	Hershberger, D. B.	54-I	Iles, Alfred B.
110-II	Hershberger, Frank S.	59-IV	Iles, Alfred B.
110-II	Hershberger, David D.		
110-II	Hershberger, W. P.		
110-II	Hershberger, E. N.		
110-II	Hershberger, J. D.		

NO. OF	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	NO. OF	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST		TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-		THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS		CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	CONDEMNATION.		CONDEMNATION.

25	Jones, R. A.	113-a-II	Jackson, E. Hilton
34	Johnson & Wimsatt	113-a-II	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate
58-I	Jenkins, Lena	113-I	Jackson, E. Hilton
58-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	113-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate
58-II	Jenkins, Lena	127-I	Jackson, E. Hilton
59-II	Jackson, E. Hilton	127-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate
66	Jenkins, Mrs. Alfred	129	Jenkins, A. Frank
66	Jenkins, Walter - Agent	174	Jenkins, Ellis Herbert
66	Jenkins, Nellie	177	Johnson, C. E.
66	Jenkins, Delia	189	Jenkins, Silas L.
66	Jenkins, Tishia	190	Jenkins, H. C.
66	Jenkins, Alfred M. - Estate	191	Jenkins, John William
66	Jenkins, Susan - Estate	191	Jenkins, Payton - Agent
66	Jenkins, William - Estate	191	Jenkins, Payton
66	Jenkins, Ira - Estate	191	Jenkins, Susan
66	Jenkins, Gideon - Estate	191	Jenkins, John William - Estate
66	Jenkins, Roosevelt	192	Jenkins, Hettie M.
66	Jenkins, Gladys	193	Jenkins, Fannie E.
66	Jenkins, Golden	194	Jenkins, Wade - Agent
67	Jenkins, Golden	203	Jenkins, Norris - Estate
68-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	203-a	Jenkins, Norris - Estate
72-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	204	Jenkins, Nathan
76	Jones, James O.	204-a	Jenkins, Nathan - Estate
76	Jones, John A.	204-a	Jenkins, Nathan
80	Jenkins, Della B.	214	Jenkins, A. Harrison
92	Jenkins, Mrs. Della B.	215	Jenkins, Mrs. James L.
95	Johnson, Lawrence E.	215	Jenkins, Dennis G. - Agent
100	Johnson, Henry L.	215	Jenkins, James L. - Estate
104-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	218	Jenkins, James Boss
104-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	220	Jenkins, McLane
106-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	224	Jenkins, Clarence L.
106-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	225	Jenkins, William S.
107-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	229	Jenkins, J. Boot
107-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	229	Jenkins, W. B. - Agent
108-a-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	233	Jeffries, Helen M.
108-a-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	234	Jenkins, E. H.
108-II	Jackson, E. Hilton	236	Jenkins, Mollie J.
108-II	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	238	Jenkins, Lindsey P.
109-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	249	Jenkins, Seth
109-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	254	Jenkins, Smith - Estate
110-II	Jackson, E. Hilton	259	Judd, Mrs. Kate
110-II	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate	264	Jenkins, Johannes
111-I	Jackson, E. Hilton	268	Jenkins, J. P.
111-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate		
112-I	Jackson, E. Hilton		
112-I	Jolliffe, Ann P. - Estate		

NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	: NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
TRACT	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	TRACT	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST		: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-		: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS		: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	: CONDEMNATION.		: CONDEMNATION.

59-V	Kelly, J. T.	113-I	Keys, William O. - Estate
21	Kern, Harry R.	113-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.
33-I	Keyser, John A.	127-I	Keys, William O. - Estate
44	Keyser, John A.	127-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.
49	Keyser, John A. - Agent		
53	Keyser, John A.		
53-a	Keyser, John A.		
58-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	58-I	Loving, Julia J.
58-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	59-II	Loving, Julia J.
59	Kelly, J. T.	68-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-a	Kelly, J. T.	72-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-I	Kelly, J. T.	104-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-II	Kelly, J. T.	106-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-II	Keys, William O. - Estate	107-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-II	Kirksey, Hallie O.	108-a-I	Loving, Julia J.
59-III	Kelly, J. T.	108-II	Loving, Julia J.
59-IV	Kelly, J. T.	109-I	Loving, Julia J.
65	Keyser, Lula W.	110-II	Loving, Julia J.
65	Keyser, H. F.	111-I	Loving, Julia J.
68-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	112-I	Loving, Julia J.
68-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	113-a-II	Loving, Julia J.
72-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	113-I	Loving, Julia J.
72-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	127-I	Loving, Julia J.
104-I	Keys, William O. - Estate		
104-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.		
106-I	Kendall, J. W. - Estate	11	Marlowe, J. K.
106-I	Kendall, D. H.	11-I	Marlowe, J. K.
106-I	Kendall, J. W. - Estate	24	Miller, G. Tyler
106-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	24	Miller, Mrs. John J.
106-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	38	Moore, J. R.
106-II	Kendall, J. W.	40	Miller, Charles
106-II	Kendall, D. H.	40	Miller, Warner
106-II	Kendall, J. W. - Estate	48	Miller, C. B.
107-I	Kendall, David	49	Miller, B. F.
107-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	54	Miller, W. A.
107-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54	Miller, B. F.
107-II	Kendall, David	54	Miller, Henry T.
108-a-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	54	Miller, G. T.
108-a-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54	Miller, C. B.
108-II	Keys, William O. - Estate	54	Miller, E. T.
108-II	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54	Miller, J. J. - Estate
109-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	54-I	Miller, W. A.
109-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54-I	Miller, Henry T.
110-II	Keys, William O. - Estate	54-I	Miller, G. T.
110-II	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54-I	Miller, C. B.
111-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	54-I	Miller, E. T.
111-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54-I	Miller, J. J. - Estate
112-I	Keys, William O. - Estate	54-I	Miller, W. A.
112-I	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54-II	Miller, B. F.
113-a-II	Keys, William O. - Estate	54-II	
113-a-II	Kirksey, Hallie O.	54-II	

NO. OF	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	NO. OF	NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST	:	TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-	:	THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS	:	CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
:	CONDEMNATION.	:	CONDEMNATION.

52-I	Manuel, R. E.	8	North, Nish
54-II	Miller, Henry T.	2	North, Luther
54-II	Miller, G. T.	13	North, Nish
54-II	Miller, C. B.	77	New York Life Insurance
54-II	Miller, E. T.		Company
54-II	Manuel, R. E.	199-I	Nicholson, Lizzie
56	Miller, B. F.	199-II	Nicholson, Lizzie
56	Miller, C. B.	199-II	Nicholson, Newton
56	Miller, W. A. - Agent	222	Nicholson, William Ernest
59-IV	Miller, W. A.	226	Nethers, Inez P.
59-IV	Miller, B. F.	228	Nicholson, James M.
59-IV	Miller, Henry T.	228-a	Nicholson, James M.
59-IV	Miller, G. T.	231	Nicholson, Bertie
59-IV	Miller, C. B.	231	Nicholson, A. E. - Agent
59-IV	Miller, E. T.	240	Nicholson, R. L.
59-IV	Miller, J. J. - Estate	243	Nicholson, Mrs. Alice
69	Miller, D. D.	245	Nicholson, T. A.
77	Millar, H. R. - Estate	245	Nicholson, Ida E.
89	Mundy, Hillary	245	Nicholson, Thomas A.
		245	Nicholson, T. A.
		245	Nicholson, Dewey
104-I	McKusick, Albert P.	245	Nicholson, Ida E. - Estate
106-I	McKusick, Albert P.	245	Nicholson, Clarence - Estate
107-I	McKusick, Albert P.	245	Nicholson, Louis
108-a-I	McKusick, Albert P.	246	Nicholson, Inez V.
108-II	McKusick, Albert P.	248	Nicholson, Inez V.
109-I	McKusick, Albert P.	250	Nethers, J. W.
110-II	McKusick, Albert P.	251	Nicholson, Newton
111-I	McKusick, Albert P.	252	Nicholson, Ida E.
112-I	McKusick, Albert P.	252	Nicholson, Mollie J.
113-a-II	McKusick, Albert P.		
113-I	McKusick, Albert P.		
123	Menefee, Ben F.	59-V	Overall, Julia C.
123	Menefee, Newton F. - Agent	58-I	Orme, Mary Selina
123	Menefee, B. W.	58-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate
123	Menefee, Emmie J.	58-I	Overall, William C. - Estate
123	Menefee, Ben F. - Estate	59-I	Overall, Julia C. - Estate
124	Miller, Ellis L.	59-II	Orme, Mary Selina
127-I	McKusick, Albert P.	59-II	Overall, Harriett - Estate
128	Mundy, Charles	59-II	Overall, William C. - Estate
148	Menefee, Mrs. Alberta V.	68-I	Orme, Mary Selina
148	Menefee, B. W. - Agent	68-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate
180	Majors, John G.	68-I	Overall, William C. - Estate
199	Miller, C. J.	70-I	Overall, Julia C. - Estate
199	Miller, C. J., Jr.	71-I	Oliver, Mrs. W. T.
199-I	Miller, C. J.	71-I	Overall, Julia C. - Estate
199-I	Miller, C. J., Jr.	72-I	Orme, Mary Selina
199-II	Miller, C. J.	72-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate
199-II	Miller, C. J., Jr.	72-I	Overall, William C. - Estate
199-III	Miller, C. J.	103-I	Overall, Julia C. - Estate
199-III	Miller, C. J., Jr.	104-I	Orme, Mary Selina

NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS	: NO. OF	: NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,	<u>TRACT</u>	: TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
	: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST		: TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
	: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-		: THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
	: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS		: CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
	: CONDEMNATION.		: CONDEMNATION.

104-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	211	Pullen, J. P.
104-II	Overall, Julia C. - Estate	261	Priest, A. M.
106-I	Orme, Mary Selina	261	Priest, Jessie
106-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	266	Pullen, Horace
106-II	Overall, Julia C. - Estate	266	Pullen, Clarence
107-I	Orme, Mary Selina	266	Pullen, George W. - Estate
107-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate		
107-II	Overall, Julia C. - Estate		
108-a-I	Orme, Mary Selina		
108-a-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	18	Reid, M. R.
108-II	Orme, Mary Selina	19	Reid, Joseph
108-II	Overall, Harriett - Estate	21	Robertson, James E.
109-I	Orme, Mary Selina	26	Riley, W. T.
109-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	30	Riley, W. T.
110-II	Orme, Mary Selina	31	Rappahannock County
110-II	Overall, Harriett - Estate		School Board
111-I	Orme, Mary Selina	31-a	Rappahannock County
111-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate		School Board
112-I	Orme, Mary Selina	31-b	Rappahannock County
112-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate		School Board
113-a-II	Orme, Mary Selina	35	Reid, C. G. - Agent
113-a-II	Overall, Harriett - Estate	54-I	Roy, Mary Ann - Estate
113-I	Orme, Mary Selina	58-II	Roy, Mary Ann - Estate
113-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	59-III	Roy, Mary Ann - Estate
113-II	Overall, Julia C. - Estate	59-IV	Roy, Mary Ann - Estate
115-I	Overall, Julia C. - Estate	66	Racer, Mrs. Dick
127-I	Orme, Mary Selina	72-II	Roy, Mary Ann - Estate
127-I	Overall, Harriett - Estate	143-I	Ramey, J. W.
187	O'Bannon, P. H.	153	Ramey, James W.
		167	Ramey, J. W.
		170	Rutherford, William Jackson
		170-a	Rutherford, William Jackson
		170-a	Rutherford, Caroline
		217	Rector, Mrs. Izza M.
7	Pomeroy, J. B. - Estate		
30	Presgraves, Robert		
41	Putney, Irene B.		
61	Partlow, E. M.		
63	Partlow, Lucy		
81	Pullen, Clarence	3	Shryock, George H.
88	Pullen, Romie V.	3	Stokes, E. H.
146	Pullen, Sam B.	12	Settle, Thomas H.
149	Pullen, B. O.	15	Sothoron, Mrs. L. K.
160	Pullen, Robinson	15	Sothoron, W. H.
186	Pullen, Mrs. Elmira	17	Slaughter, W. P.
199	Price, Louise M.	17	Slaughter, Mary D.
199-I	Price, Louise M.	20	Settle, Julia M.
199-II	Price, Louise M.	20-a	Settle, Julia M.
199-III	Price, Louise M.	27	Smoot, James H.
200	Pullen, Dudley	46	Stuart, W. M.
2000	Pullen, Alice	50	Smoot, Ernest

NO. OF : NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS : NO. OF : NAME OF CLAIMANT WHO APPEARS
 TRACT : TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT, : TRACT : TO HAVE CLAIM TO ANY RIGHT,
 : TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST : : TITLE, ESTATE OR INTEREST
 : THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO- : : THEREIN, OR TO OR IN THE PRO-
 : CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS : : CEEDS ARISING OUT OF ITS
 : CONDEMNATION. : : CONDEMNATION.

52	Smith, Virginia	104	Varner, W. M. - Agent
52-I	Smith, Virginia	104-I	Varner, W. M.
54	Settle, Julia M.	104-I	Varner, J. C.
54-I	Settle, Julia M.	104-I	Varner, J. A.
54-II	Settle, Julia M.	104-I	Varner, W. M. - Agent
58-I	Smith, Ann Selina	104-II	Varner, W. M.
59-II	Smith, Ann Selina	104-II	Varner, J. C.
59-IV	Settle, Julia M.	104-II	Varner, J. A.
68-I	Smith, Ann Selina	104-II	Varner, W. M. - Agent
72-I	Smith, Ann Selina		
104	Spitler, C. N.		
104-I	Spitler, C. N.		
104-I	Smith, Ann Selina		
104-II	Spitler, C. N.	33-I	Wood, F. D. - Estate
106-I	Smith, Ann Selina	37	Wood, J. Walton
107-I	Smith, Ann Selina	44	Wood, F. D. - Estate
108-a-I	Smith, Ann Selina	47	Woodward, Burrell
108-II	Smith, Ann Selina	109-I	Weaver, Eva C.
109-I	Smith, Ann Selina	121	Woodward, Ben
110-II	Smith, Ann Selina	122	Willis, B. M.
111	Spitler, B. N.	122-a	Willis, B. M.
111-I	Spitler, B. N.	151	Williams, Taylor, Cheatham, and Priest.
111-I	Smith, Ann Selina		Williams, Taylor, Cheatham, and Priest.
111-II	Spitler, B. N.	151-a	Whorton, Martha B.
112-I	Smith, Ann Selina	194	Weakley, Eva
113-a-II	Smith, Ann Selina	210	Woodward, W. H. - Estate
113-I	Smith, Ann Selina	212	Wayland, Mrs. Lorena
116	Strother, Botts	216	Weakley, Amos
117	Sisk, Newton	235	Weakley, W. R. - Agent
127-I	Smith, Ann Selina	235	Weakley, Amos
144	Strickler, Lula E.	235-a	Weakley, W. R. - Agent
169	Swindler, O. B.	235-a	Weakley, Joseph - Estate
169-a	Swindler, O. B.	238	Williams, Taylor, Cheatham, and Priest
199-III	Spitler, C. N.	262	Wilson, Rosa Pearl
265	Smith, Townsen E.		
271	South East Public Corporation	266	
		45	Young, Thomas
29	Turner, W. L.	154	Yancey, Elizabeth
258	Taylor, Dolly	154	Yancey, Edward -
263	Tyler, Ellen		
66	-Varner, Georgia Jenkins		
104	Varner, W. M.		
104	Varner, J. C.		
104	Varner, J. A.		

To The Board of Appraisal Commissioners
for Rappahannock County, Virginia:

This report shall be held in the custody of
George H. Levin, one of the members of said Board
until the 18th day of May, 1932, and on said
18th day of May, 1932, or as soon thereafter as is
practicable, the said George H. Levin shall
file said report together with the map and all
other exhibits therein referred to and made
a part thereof, with the record of this case in
the office of the Clerk of this Court.

Given under my hand this May 17, 1932.
J. H. Alexander, Judge.

FILED IN
CLERK'S OFFICE
RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

May 18th 1932

Teste: Jas. M. Smith, Clerk

condemned and that he is entitled to be paid the amount of compensation awarded therefor.

Therefore it is adjudged and ordered that the award for the condemnation of the property amounting to the sum of \$4703.00, be distributed as follows:

To C. R. Wood, Treasurer, Washington, Virginia, the sum of \$37.44 for taxes for tax year 1933.

To - R. Gray Williams, Attorney of record for the Shenandoah Valley National Bank of Winchester, Virginia, the sum of \$4665.56.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do forthwith certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be his authority for making the distribution herein directed.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, IN VACATION.

The New York Life Insurance Company of New York

v.

Thomas J. Finks and Elizabeth P. Finks, his wife, and Andrew L. Todd, Trustee.

ORDER SUBSTITUTING TRUSTEE

June 18 1934.

Original papers filed with Court papers for May Term 1934-

THIS DAY came The New York Life Insurance Company of New York, by counsel, and moved the Honorable J.R.H.Alexander, Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, to appoint F.S.McCandlish, as Trustee in the room and stead of Andrew L.Todd, who was the original trustee under a certain deed of trust dated June 27th, 1925, recorded in Deed Book 33, page 61 of the deed books of Rappahannock County, Virginia, executed by Thomas J.Finks and Elizabeth P.Finks, his wife, to Andrew L.Todd, Trustee, conveying two certain tracts or parcels of land lying and being in ^{the} Jackson District of the above named County and State, adjoining the village of Viewtown and about 14 miles Southeast direction from Washington, Virginia, one containing 33.86 acres and the other containing 22.4 acres, to secure The New York Life Insurance Company of New York the sum of THREE THOUSAND (\$3,000.00) Dollars, said Andrew L.Todd, Trustee, having resigned the said trust; on consideration whereof, it appearing that all parties hereto are the only persons interested in the execution of the trust under the aforesaid deed of trust, and that the said Andrew L.Todd, the sole Trustee under said deed of trust, has resigned the said trust, and that reasonable notice of this motion has been given to all of the parties hereto except the plaintiff and the said Andrew L.Todd, it is adjudged, ordered and decreed that the said F.S.McCandlish be, and he hereby is appointed and substituted as Trustee in the deed of trust aforesaid, in the place and stead of the said Andrew L.Todd to act thereunder and in accordance with the terms thereof, and with all the powers, rights and privileges, and liable to all the duties and obligations of Trustee as conferred and imposed by the said deed of trust.

This order shall be entered by the clerk in the Common Law Order Book, the Chancery Order Book and the Current Deed Book of his office.

Given under my hand at Warrenton, Virginia, this 18th day of June, 1934.

J R H Alexander, Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, June 20th, 1934.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle , Clerk.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

The State Commission on Conservation and Development
of the State of Virginia - - - - - Petitioner

V. AT LAW NO. 149

Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-Seven Thousand Four Hundred
(37,400) Acres of land, More or Less, - - - - - Defendants.

On the 20 day of June, 1934, came the petitioner in the above styled proceeding, by counsel, and exhibited to the Court the record therein, including the petition filed the 7th day of April, 1930, and the map filed with and made a part thereof; the report filed by the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appointed therein, together with the County Ownership Map filed with and made a part of said report; two judgments in rem entered respectively on the 13th day of November, 1933, and the 14th day of March, 1934; and the order entered respectively on the 9th day of January, 1934, and the 12th day of May, 1934, setting forth the payment of the awards stated in the said judgement in rem for the taking of the numbered tracts mentioned in the tables of numbered tracts set out in the said orders.

Whereupon, under authority of the provisions of Section 22 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, Counsel for petitioner advised the court that it had decided not to acquire, in the course of the above styled proceeding, the lands included in the area described in the petition in respect of which said proceeding is hereinafter dismissed, and moved the court to enter an order dismissing said proceeding in respect of the said lands, and specifically in respect of each of the several numbered tracts into which the said lands are divided as shown and delineated on the above mentioned County Ownership Map.

Upon consideration whereof, and upon the motion and prayer of the petitioner, it is adjudged and ordered that the above styled proceeding should be and is hereby dismissed in respect of all the lands included in the area described in the said petition which are not included or situate within the boundaries of the numbered tracts set forth in the above mentioned tables of numbered tracts set out in the orders entered herein on the 9th day of January, 1934, and on the 12th day of May, 1934; and which lie or are situate outside the area included and contained within the following BOUNDARY LINE in the description of which, wherever the line is not shown by courses, distances and positions, or as running with the boundary line, abutting tracts or parcels of land mentioned in said description, when designated by numbers, are the tracts so numbered and described in the above mentioned report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners, and shown and delineated under their respective numbers on the County Ownership Map filed therewith, the descriptive names used in designating said tracts together with their respective numbers, being thus used for greater particularity of description, and having reference to and being intended respectively to designate and include all the owners of the lands included in the several tracts at the date of the filing of the above mentioned report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners and all those persons who appeared to have any claim of right, title, estate or interest therein, at the

date of the filing of the said report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners as found and reported by the said Board of Appraisal Commissioners in their said report; and in the descriptions of which BOUNDARY LINE, furthermore, wherever the angles *therein* are described as numbered "positions", the said positions and the corresponding courses and distances are the "positions," courses and distances, set forth in the description in the petition herein of the BOUNDARY LINE of the area described in the said petition, and shown on the Map prepared by the U. S. Geological Survey and filed with the said petition, wherein all the courses or directions are true bearings.

RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

BEGINNING on top of the Blue Ridge Mountain in the boundary *line* of the land described in the petition filed herein at the point of intersection of the boundary line between Warren County and Page County with the boundary line of Rappahannock County;

THENCE in an easterly direction along the top of said mountain with the boundary line between Rappahannock County and Warren County to the point of intersection with the boundary line between the Welton Beatty Tract No.5 and the C. Lee Clegg Tract No.4;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Welton Beatty Tract No.5 and the C. Lee Clegg Tract No.4 to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the Chester Gap Orchard Company Tract No.6;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Chester Gap Orchard Company Tract No.6 and the Welton Beatty Tract No.5, the J. B. Pomeroy Estate Tract No.7 and the H.E.Boyer Tract No.9, to a corner common to the said Chester Gap Orchard Company Tract No.6 and the Nish North Tract No.8, in a line of the said H.E. Boyer Tract No. 9;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Nish North Tract No.8, and the H.E.Boyer Tract No.9, the H. T. Compton Tract No.10 and the Thomas H.Settle Tract No.12, to a corner common to the said Nish North Tract No.8 and the W.P.Slaughter Tract No.17, in a line of the said Thomas H. Settle Tract No.12;

THENCE with the boundary lines between Thomas H.Settle Tract No.12 and the W.P.Slaughter Tract No.17, the Joe Reid, Jr. and J.P.Early Tract No.19, the Julia M. Settle Tract No.20 the Robinson and Kern Tract No.21, the Geo.W.Boling Tract No.22 and the Edith G. Harper Tract No.23, to a corner common to the said Thomas H. Settle Tract No.12 and the said Edith G. Harper Tract No.23, and common also to the E.T. and G.T. Miller Tract No.24;

THENCE with the boundary line between the E.T. and G.T. Miller Tract No.24 and the Edith G. Harper Tract No. 23, to a corner common to the said Edith G. Harper Tract No.23, and the R.A.Jones Tract No.25, in a line of the said E.T. and G.T.Miller Tract No.24;

THENCE with the boundary line between the E.T. and G.T. Miller Tract No.24 and the R.A.Jones Tract No.25, to the point of intersection with a line of the Shirley Carter Tract No.33;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Shirley Carter Tract No.33 and the R.A.Jones Tract No.25, the W.C. Gentry Tract No.28, the H.C.Pullen and others Tract No.266, the M.R.Bradford Tract No.32 and the Johnson and Wimsatt Tract No.34, to a corner common to the said Shirley Carter Tract No.33 and the said Johnson and Wimsatt Tract No.34 and common also to the F.D.Wood Tract No.44;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the F.D.Wood Tract No.44 and the

Johnson and Wimsatt Tract No.34, the Ed Foster Tract No.43 and the Deatherage Heirs Tract No. 42-b, to a corner common to the said F.D.Wood Tract No.44 and the Thomas Young Tract No.45, in a line of the Deatherage Heirs Tract No.42-b;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Thomas Young Tract No.45 and the Deatherage Heirs Tract No. 42-b to a corner common to the said Thomas Young Tract No.45 and the F.D.Wood Tract No.44, in a line of the said Deatherage Heirs Tract No.42-b;

THENCE with the boundary line between the F.D.Wood Tract No.44 and the Deatherage Tract No.42-b, to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in the said petition at Position No. 82, a locust stake, 7 feet from nail in blazed dogwood and 13.1 feet from nail in blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE S 74°53' W 608 feet, to Position No.83,
A locust stake 2.2 feet southeast of nail in blazed chestnut sapling and 5.6 feet northwest of nail in blazed dogwood;

THENCE N 75° 25' W 654 feet to Pos. No. 84,
A locust stake 3.8 feet southwest of nail in blazed dogwood and 10.5 feet southeast of nail in blazed dead chestnut;

THENCE S 64° 01' W 684 feet , to Pos. No. 85,
A locust stake at upper side of peach orchard, 5 feet northeast of an 8 foot stump;

THENCE S 56° 00' W 120 feet, to Pos. No. 86,
A locust stake at west edge of peach orchard, 10.8 feet southwest of nail in peach tree and 10.9 feet from nail in blazed locust;

THENCE S 37° 44' W 238 feet, to Pos.No.87,
A locust stake in wooded area, 4.8 feet southeast of nail in dead chestnut and 10.2 feet northeast of nail in blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE S 50° 27' W 564 feet, to Pos. No. 88,
A locust stake in wooded area on south slope, 6.3 feet northeast of nail in blazed chestnut oak and 9 feet southwest of nail in blazed persimmon tree;

THENCE S 45° 06' W 192 feet, to Pos. No. 89,
A locust stake in wooded area 15 feet south of abandoned wood road;

THENCE S 81° 37' W 962 feet, to Pos. No. 90,
A locust stake in wooded area 13 feet northeast of nail in blazed dogwood and 5.2 feet west of nail in chestnut oak sapling;

THENCE S 61° 23' W 203 feet, to Pos. No. 91,
An oak stake in wooded area 11.3 feet southwest of blazed oak and 11.8 feet northeast of blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE S 76° 00' W 112 feet, to Pos. No. 92,
A locust stake at east edge of wood road 7.4 feet southwest of blazed chestnut;

Thence S 83° 48' W 715 feet, to Pos.No. 93,
A blazed birch tree 10 feet east of stone fence;

THENCE leaving the boundry line of the land described in the petition filed herein, and running with the boundary lines between the F.D.Wood Tract No.44 and the Burrell Woodward Tract No. 47 to the point of the intersection with a line of the Miller Heirs Tract No.56;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Burrell Woodward Tract No.47 and the Miller Heirs Tract No.56 to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in said petition at Pos. No.97, a locust stake at north end of apple orchard;

THENCE with said boundary line N 80° 43' W to the point of intersection

with the boundary line between the Miller Heirs Tract No. 56 and the C.B. Miller Tract No. 48;

THENCE leaving the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, and running with the boundary lines between the Miller Heirs Tract No. 56, and the C.B. Miller Tract No. 48, to the point of intersection with a line of the B. F. Miller Tract No. 49;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Miller Heirs Tract No. 56 and the B. F. Miller Tract No. 49, to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, between Position No. 107 and Position No. 108;

THENCE N 58° 37' E Pos. No. 108,

A locust stake 7.6 feet north of nail in blazed locust and 12.6 feet south of nail in blazed sassafras;

THENCE N 50° 34' W 474 feet, to Pos. No. 109,

a stake in rail fence line at east side of apple orchard, 7.8 feet west of nail in ash and 6.3 feet north of nail in blazed ash;

THENCE leaving the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein and running with the boundary lines between the Ernest Smoot Tract No. 50 and the Miller Heirs Tract No. 56, the John J. Miller Estate Tract No. 54 and the Clifton Aylor Tract No. 51, to another point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in said petition at Position No. 113, a locust stake in fence line, 9 feet south of nail in poplar sapling and 2.5 feet northwest of nail in locust sapling;

THENCE S 65° 30' W 2476 feet, to Pos. No. 114,

a locust stake at northwest corner of clearing, 30 feet south of old road, 23.4 feet northeast of nail in blazed locust and 20.3 feet northwest of nail in blazed locust;

THENCE N 55° 09' W 242 feet, to Pos. No. 115,

a locust stake;

THENCE S 78° 02' W 96 feet, to Pos. No. 116,

a locust stake at rail fence 8.9 feet southeast of nail in locust and 5.9 feet northwest of nail in sapling;

Thence S 77° 52' W 377 feet, to Pos. No. 117,

a locust stake on steep hill side in small timber, 11 feet southeast of nail in blazed locust;

THENCE S 44° 41' W 249 feet, to Pos. No. 118,

a locust stake on south slope in pine thicket 10.5 feet south of nail in blazed pine sapling and 10 feet north of nail in blazed pine sapling;

THENCE S 61° 47' W 1127 feet, to Pos. No. 119,

a locust stake at rail fence in wooded area 6.4 feet from nail in blazed chestnut oak and 5.9 feet from nail in blazed oak in fence line;

THENCE N 1° 15' W 507 feet, to Pos. No. 120,

a locust stake at rail fence in wooded area 7 feet northeast of nail in blazed chestnut oak sapling and 11.9 feet from nail in blazed maple;

THENCE N 56° 02' W 460 feet, to Pos. No. 121,

a locust stake on steep slope in wooded area, 10.6 feet east of nail in blazed chestnut oak and 2 feet west of nail in blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE N 65° 29' W 581 feet, to Pos. No. 122,

a locust stake on south slope in wooded area, 3.7 feet northwest of nail in chestnut oak and 4.8 feet southeast of nail in chestnut oak;

THENCE S 51° 07' W 347 feet, to Pos. No. 123,

a locust stake in wooded area 8.7 feet southeast from nail in blazed birch and 2.2 feet north from nail in blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE S 75° 32' W 96 feet, to Pos. No. 124,

a 40-inch oak stump 18 feet high in wooded area bearing triangular blaze;

THENCE S 87° 57' W 1121 feet, to Pos. No. 125,

a locust stake in wooded area, 11.4 feet southeast from nail in blazed chestnut oak;

THENCE S 46° 01' W 528 feet, to Pos. No. 126,

a locust stake on steep hill side at outcrop of rock in wooded area;

THENCE S 8° 39' W 454 feet, to Pos. No. 127,

a locust stake in wooded area, 14.6 feet northwest from nail in blazed pine and 11.5 feet southeast from nail in blazed sapling;

THENCE N 75° 37' W 1629 feet, to Pos. No. 128,

in bed of Gravel Spring Branch 20.2 feet northwest from nail in blazed birch and 22.9 feet northeast from nail in blazed maple;

THENCE down the Gravel Spring Branch S23° 32' E to a corner common to the John J. Miller Estate Tract No. 54 and the John A. Keyser Tract No. 53-a;

THENCE leaving the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein and running with the boundary lines between the John J. Miller Estate Tract No. 54 and the John A. Keyser Tract No. 53-a, the Elisha Gore Tract No. 60 and the Lula W. Keyser Tract No. 65, to a corner common to the John J. Miller Estate Tract No. 54, and the John J. Miller Estate-Mary Ann Roy Heirs Tract No. 54-I, in a line of the said Lula W. Keyser Tract No. 65;

THENCE with the boundary line between the John J. Miller Estate-Mary Ann Roy Heirs Tract No. 54-I and the Lula W. Keyser Tract No. 65 to a corner common to the said Lula W. Keyser Tract No. 65 and the Golden Jenkins Tract No. 67, in a line of the said Tract No. 54-I;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Golden Jenkins Tract No. 67 and the R.M. Dwyer Tract No. 78-b, the Joseph Clatterbuck Tract No. 72, the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73-b and another portion of the Joseph Clatterbuck Tract No. 72, to a corner common to the said Golden Jenkins Tract No. 67 and the Joseph Clatterbuck Tract No. 72 and common also to the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73;

Thence with the boundary lines between the Joseph Clatterbuck Tract No. 72 and the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73, to a corner common to the two said tracts in a line of the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68;

THENCE with the boundary line between the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68 and the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73, to a corner common to the two said tracts in a line of the R.M. Dwyer Tract No. 78-a;

THENCE with the boundary line between the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68 and the R.M. Dwyer Tract No. 78-a, to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in said petition, at Pos. No. 147, a 30-inch cherry tree, with triangular blaze, at east gate post;

THENCE leaving said boundary line and running along the north side of the public road to the point of intersection with the boundary line between the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68 and the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73-a;

THENCE with the boundary line between the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68 and the T.B. Dwyer Tract No. 73-a to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Ambrose Baily Tract No. 101-b;

THENCE with the boundary line between the M.J. Dwyer Heirs Tract No. 68 and the Ambrose Baily Tract No. 101-b to a corner common to the two said tracts, and

common also to the J. Bernard Bolen Tract No.69;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the J. Bernard Bolen Tract No.69 and the Ambrose Baily Tract No.I01-b, Tract No.256, the H.L.Johnson Tract No.I00, the Dr. J.T.Kelly Tract No.59-a and the Ambrose Bailey Tract No.I01-, to a corner common to the said Ambrose Baily Tract No.I01 and the said J.Bernard Bolen Tract No.69 and common also to the James A. Estes Tract No.I03;

THENCE with the boundary line between the James A.Estes Tract No.I03 and the Ambrose Bailey Tract No.I01 to a corner common to the said Ambrose Bailey Tract No. I01 and the C.H. Bowen Tract No.I02 in a line of the said James A. Estes Tract No. I03;

THENCE with the boundary line between the James A. Estes Tract No.I03 and the C.H.Bowen Tract No.I02 to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Alfred Dwyer Estate Tract No.II5;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Alfred Dwyer Estate Tract No.II5 and the C.H. Bowen Tract No. I02, the Nina B.Dwyer Tract No.II4 and the Ambrose Bailey Tract No. I01-a, to a corner common to the said Alfred Dwyer Estate Tract No. II5 and the said Ambrose Bailey Tract No.I01-a and common also to the Varner and Spitler Tract No.I04;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Varner and Spitler Tract No.I04 and the Ambrose Bailey Tract No.I01-a, the Frank Compton Tract No.II2-a and the Jacob Dwyer Tract No.I08-a, to a corner common to the said Varner and Spitler Tract No.I04 and the said Jacob Dwyer Tract No.I08-a and common also to the Jacob Dwyer-ANN P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No,I08-a-I, and the Varner & Spitler-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs,Tract No.I04-I;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Jacob Dwyer-Ann.P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I08-a-I and the Varner & Spitler-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I04-I to a corner common to the two said tracts in a line of the H.H.Hudson-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.II3-I;

THENCE with the boundary line between the H.H.Hudson-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.II3-I and the Varner & Spitler-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I04-I to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the H.H.Hudson-Julia C. Overall Heirs Tract No.II3-II and the Varner & Spitler-Julia C.Overall Heirs Tract No.I04-II;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the H.H.Hudson-Julia C.Overall Heirs Tract No.II3-II and the Varner and Spitler-Julia C.Overall Heirs Tract No. I04-II, the J.W. Kendell-Julia C. Overall Heirs Tract No.I06-II and the David Kendall-Julia C. Overall Heirs Tract No.I07-II, to a corner common to the said Tract No.II3-II and the said Tract No.I07-II and common also to the H.H.Hudson-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.II3-I and the Davis Kendall-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. I07-I;

THENCE with the boundary line between the H.H.Hudson-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.II3-I and the David Kendall-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I07-I to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Weaver and Hudson-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I09-I;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the David Kendall-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I07-I and the Weaver and Hudson-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I09-I to a corner common to said Tract No.I09-I and the Jacob Dwyer-AnnP.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No.I08-II in a line of the said David Kendall-Ann P.Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. I07-I;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Weaver and Hudson-Ann P.

Jolliffes Heirs Tract No. I09-I and the Jacob Dwyer-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. I08-II to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the H.H. Hudson-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. II3^A-II;

THENCE with the boundary line between the H.H. Hudson-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. II3-a-II and the Jacob Dwyer-Ann P. Jolliffe Heirs Tract No. I08-II to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Jacob Dwyer-Ellen Burrell Tract No. I08-I and the H.H. Hudson-Ellen Burrell Tract No. II3-a-I;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Jacob Dwyer-Ellen Burrell Tract No. I08-I and the H.H. Hudson-Ellen Burrell Tract No. II3-a-I to Station "A" as described in the judgement in rem entered in this proceeding on the 13th day of November 1933;

THENCE with the several lines described in the said judgement in rem, which lines are described as follows: BEGINNING at Station "A", a butterwood on the north side of Greasy Run, corner to Jacob Dwyer and D.H. and D.B. Hershberger;

THENCE up the north side of said Run S 42° 00' W 6.50 chains to Station "B", an ash on the north side of said Run;

THENCE crossing the Run at 1.00 chains, same course continued in all S 74° 30' E 12.70 chains, to Station "C", a planted stone at the angle of a fence;

THENCE S 16° 00' W 3.95 chains to Station "D", a south gate post;

THENCE N 85° 30' W 0.90 chains to Station "E", a stake at the end of a fence on the south side of the road;

THENCE S 15° 30' W 4.80 chains to Station "F", an iron pin which bears S 15° 30' W 2½ feet from a marked locust, corner to the Grove and Spitler Tract;

THENCE with a line of the same N 66° 00' E 42 chains, passing or crossing a farm road at 15 chains to Station "G", a stake;

THENCE N 81° 30' E 13 chains to Station "H", a large white oak tree, which is a corner in the Frank Compton Tract, shown and delineated as Tract No. II2 on the County Ownership Map filed with the record in this proceeding;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Grove and Spitler Tract and the said Frank Compton Tract to the point of intersection with the boundary line between the said Frank Compton Tract No. II2 and the Mrs. Ben Armentrout Tract No. II9;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Grove & Spitler Tract No. III and the Mrs. Ben Armentrout Tract No. II9 to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Bank of Warren Tract No. I20 and the John J. Heiston Tract No. I25;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Bank of Warren Tract No. I20 and the John J. Heiston Tract No. I25 to a corner common to the said Bank of Warren Tract No. I20 and the Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-a in a line of the said John J. Heister Tract No. I25;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-a and the John J. Heiston Tract No. I25 to a corner common to the said Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-a and the B.M. Willis Tract No. I22 in a line of the John J. Heiston Tract No. I25;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the B.M. Willis Tract No. I22 and the Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-a, the David Dwyer Tract No. I31, the Mrs. J.H. Frazier Tract No. I32 and the Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-b to a corner common to the said B.M. Willis Tract No. I22 and the said Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-b and common also to the Ellis Miller Tract No. I24;

THENCE with the boundary line between the NINA B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-b and the Ellis Miller Tract No. I24 to a corner common to the said Nina B. Dwyer Tract No. II4-b and the Ben Menefee Estate Tract No. I23 in a line of the said Ellis

Miller Tract No.124;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Ellis Miller Tract No.124 and the Ben Menefee Estate Tract No.123 to the point of intersection with a line of the Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138-b;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Ben Menefee Estate Tract No.123 and the Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138-b to a corner common to the said Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138-b and the Joseph A. Huffman Tract No 141 in a line of the said Ben Menefee Estate Tract No.123;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Joseph A. Huffman Tract No.141 and the Andrew J. Clark Tract No. 138-b, the Burkett Atkins Tract No.147 and the Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138, to a corner common to the said Joseph A. Huffman Tract No.141 and the Joe Darnell Tract No.139 in a line of the said Andrew J.Clark Tract No.138;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138 and the Joe Darnell Tract No.139, the Judith M. Carter Tract No.127-a and the Lucy M. Cornwell Tract No.134 to a corner common to the said Andrew J. Clark Tract No.138 and the said Lucy M. Cornwell Tract No.134 and common also to the Lily Dodson Tract No.136;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Lucy M. Cornwell Tract No.134 and the Lily Dodson Tract No.136 to a corner common to the said Lily Dodson Tract No. 136 and the Joseph S. Dodson Tract No.135 in a line of the said Lucy M. Cornwell Tract No.134;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Joseph S. Dodson Tract No.135 and the Lily Dodson Tract No.136,, the Ben Woodard Tract No.121 and the Jeff T. Frazier and others Tract No.137 to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in said petition;

THENCE with the said boundary line S $11^{\circ} 34'$ W Pos.No.583, an oak stake 14.9 feet north of nail in blaze on 6-inch locust and 17.9 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 7-inch poplar;

THENCE S $4^{\circ} 31'$ W 497 feet, to Pos. No.582,
a nail in triangular blaze on 5-inch poplar;

THENCE S $15^{\circ} 58'$ E 1499 feet, to Pos.No.581,
an oak stake 11.5 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut and 11.4 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 8-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $24^{\circ} 14'$ W 411 feet, to Pos. No.580,
an oak stake 11.5 feet southwest of nail in blaze on 6-inch redwood bush and 16.8 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 15-inch basswood;

THENCE S $39^{\circ} 38'$ E 454 feet, to Pos. No 579,
an oak stake, 25 feet from nail in blaze on 6-inch chestnut oak and 40.7 feet from nail in blaze on 6-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $70^{\circ} 30'$ E 320 feet, to Pos. No.578,
an oak stake 11.9 feet south of nail in blaze on 8-inch basswood and 19.9 feet southwest of nail in blaze on 10-inch basswood;

THENCE S $48^{\circ} 48'$ E 557 feet, to Pos.No.577,
an oak stake 12.9 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak and 14.8 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 3-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE N $83^{\circ} 58'$ E 549 feet, to Pos.No.576,
a nail in triangular blaze on 10-inch poplar;

THENCE N $6^{\circ} 12'$ E 609feet, to Pos.No.575,
a nail in triangular blaze on 5-inch poplar;

THENCE N $49^{\circ} 25'$ E 1129 feet, to Pos. No.574,

an oak stake 6.7 feet southwest of nail in blaze on 4-inch birch and 8.9 feet north west of nail in blaze on 5-inch redwood bush;

THENCE N $86^{\circ} 04'$ E 709 feet, to Pos. No. 573,

an oak stake 8.2 feet south of nail in blaze on 4-inch dogwood and 5.0 feet north west of nail in blaze on 5-inch dogwood;

THENCE S $58^{\circ} 15'$ E 1183 feet, to Pos No. 572,

an oak stake 14.9 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 10-inch chestnut oak and 8.5 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 5-inch ash;

THENCE N $83^{\circ} 46'$ E 457 feet, to Pos. No. 571,

a nail in triangular blaze on 8-inch white oak;

THENCE S $19^{\circ} 16'$ E 1892 feet, to Pos. No. 570,

an oak stake 12.6 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 10-inch spanish oak and 15.3 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 7-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $2^{\circ} 00'$ W 773 feet, to Pos. No. 569,

an oak stake 13.5 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 7-inch dogwood and 19.3 feet east of nail in blaze on 8-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $3^{\circ} 34'$ W 419 feet, to Pos. No. 568,

a nail in triangular blaze on 6-inch dogwood;

THENCE S $75^{\circ} 43'$ W 204 feet, to Pos. No. 567,

an oak stake 6 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 7-inch chestnut oak and 8.9 feet east of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE N $71^{\circ} 57'$ W 794 feet, to Pos. No. 566,

an oak stake 4.8 feet northeast from nail in blaze on 6-inch chestnut oak and 7.6 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE N $51^{\circ} 03'$ W 442 feet, to Pos. No. 565,

a nail in triangular blaze on 8-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE N $88^{\circ} 44'$ W 1099 feet, to Pos. No. 564,

an oak stake 12.2 feet north of nail in triangular blaze on 4-inch hickory and 14.2 feet west of nail in blaze on 4-inch oak;

THENCE N $63^{\circ} 58'$ W 1035 feet, to Pos. No. 563,

an oak stake 10.8 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 8-inch chestnut oak and 8.6 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 5-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $84^{\circ} 40'$ W 358 feet, to Pos. No. 562,

an oak stake 22.5 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 12-inch hickory and 7.2 feet southwest of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $40^{\circ} 07'$ W 536 feet, to Pos. No. 561,

an oak stake 4.4 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak and 4.1 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S $82^{\circ} 01'$ W 406 feet, to Pos. No. 560,

a nail in triangular blaze on 6-inch oak;

THENCE S $40^{\circ} 46'$ W 552 feet, to Pos. No. 559,

an oak stake 17.9 feet southeast of nail in blaze on 6-inch sassafras and 14.0 feet east of nail in blaze on 4-inch redwood bush;

THENCE S $5^{\circ} 04'$ E 576 feet, to Pos. No. 558,

an oak stake 11.9 feet east of nail in blaze on 4-inch oak and 18.7 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch oak;

THENCE S $24^{\circ} 30'$ W 1146 feet, to Pos. No. 557,

a nail in triangular blaze on 8-inch apple tree;

THENCE S $57^{\circ} 39'$ W 381 feet, to Pos. No. 556,

an oak stake 19.5 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 12-inch apple tree and 25.5

feet northeast of nail in blaze on 10-inch apple tree;

THENCE leaving the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, and running with the boundary lines between the Laura F. Swindler Tract No 169-a and the John Majors Tract No.180 to a corner common to the two said tracts and common also to the Jeremiah Atkins Tract No.161-a and the Frank Cox Tract No. 173;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Frank Cox Tract No.173 and the Laura Swindler Tract No.169-a to the point of intersection with the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed herein, as set forth in said petition at Position No.555, an oak stake 12.6 feet northwest of nail in triangular blaze on 12-inch chestnut oak and 10.5 feet south of nail in triangular blaze on 10-inch chestnut;

THENCE S 23° 11' W 3060 feet, to Pos. No.554,
an oak stake 5.8 feet south of nail in blaze on 6-inch locust and 5 feet southwest of nail in blaze on 8-inch locust;

THENCE S 43° 16' E 617 feet, to Pos. No.553,
an oak stake 6.4 feet south of nail in blaze on 4-inch beech and 6.9 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch persimmon;

THENCE S 29° 04' W 769 feet, to Pos. No.552,
an oak stake 23.2 feet north of nail in blaze on 12-inch apple tree, and 1.3 feet west of chiseled cross in painted circle on rock;

THENCE S 74° 06' E 2260 feet, to Pos No.551,
an oak stake 16.' feet northwest of nail in blaze on 5-inch wild cherry and 15.8 feet north of nail in blaze on 8-inch ^{will}cherry;

THENCE S 59° 22' E 958 feet, to Pos. No 550,
an oak tree 31.4 feet northwest of nail in blaze on 8-inch apple tree and 24 feet north of chiseled cross in painted circle on rock;

THENCE S 57° 14' E 510 feet, to Pos.No. 549,
an oak stake 20.4 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 6-inch pine and 22.2 feet east of nail in blaze on 5-inch pine;

THENCE S 64° 17' E 553 feet, to Pos. No. 548,
an oak stake 3.4 feet west of nail in blaze on 5-inch gum and 6 feet south of nail in blaze on 7-inch gum;

THENCE N 61° 05' E 484 feet, to Pos. No 547,
an oak stake 14.4 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 7-inch pine and 15.4 feet north of nail in blaze on 4-inch birch;

THENCE N 59° 20' E 676, to Pos. No.546,
an oak stake 12.9 feet northeast of nail in blaze on 4-inch birch and 13 feet east of nail in blaze on 4-inch oak;

THENCE N 62° 44' E 793 feet, to Pos. No. 545,
an oak stake 14.9 feet from nail in blaze on 16-inch oak and 17.4 feet from nail in blaze on 5-inch pine;

THENCE S 33° 16' E 512 feet, to Pos. No 544,
a nail in triangular blaze on 7-inch chestnut oak;

THENCE S 51° 54' E 261 feet, to Pos. No. 543,
an oak stake 16 feet from nail in blaze on 7-inch dogwood and 7.1 feet from nail in blaze on 4-inch hickory;

THENCE S 31° 21' W 794 feet, to Pos. No 542,
an oak stake 14.4 feet from nail in blaze on 10-inch poplar and 11.8 feet from nail in blaze on 8-inch poplar;

THENCE S 29° 27' W 512 feet, to Pos. No 54I,
an oak stake 11.3 feet from nail in blaze on 8-inch poplar and 6.5 feet from nail
in blaze on 4-inch oak;

THENCE leaving the boundary line of the land described in the petition filed
herein, and running with the boundary line between the Joseph B. Atkins Tract No.
267 and the Annie E. Jenkins Tract No. 193 to a corner common to the said Joseph B.
Atkins Tract No.267 and the W.H.Woodward Estate Tract No.212 in a line of the said
Annie E. Jenkins Tract No.193;

THENCE with the boundary line between the W.H.Woodward Estate Tract No.212
and the Annie E. Jenkins Tract No.193 to a corner common to the said W.H.Woodward
Estate Tract No. 212 and the Elmira Pullen Tract No.186 in a line of the said Annie
E. Jenkins Tract No. 193;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Annie E. Jenkins Tract No.193 and
the Elmira Pullen Tract No.186 to a corner common to the two said tracts and com-
mon also to the Hettie M. Jenkins Tract No.192;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Elmira Pullen Tract No.186 and the
Hettie M. Jenkins Tract No.192 to a corner common to the two said tracts and common
also to the P.H.O'Bannon Tract No.187;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Hettie M. Jenkins Tract No.192 and
the P.H.O'Bannon Tract No.187 to a corner common to the said Hettie M. Jenkins Tract
No.192 and the J.W. Jenkins Tract No.191 in a line of the said P.H.O'Bannon Tract
No. 187;

THENCE with the boundary line between the P.H.O'Bannon Tract No.187 and the
J.W.Jenkins Tract No.191 to a corner common to the said P.H.O'Bannon Tract No.187
and the Mary M. Claytor Tract No.188;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Mary M. Claytor Tract No.188 and
the J.W.Jenkins Tract No.191 to a corner common to the two said tracts and common
also to the Hubert C. Jenkins Tract No.190;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Mary M. Claytor Tract No.188 and
the Hubert C. Jenkins Tract No.190, to a corner common to the two said tracts and
common also to the A.Jack Atkins Tract No.198;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Hubert C.Jenkins Tract No.190
and the A Jack Atkins Tract No.198 to a corner common to the two said tracts and
common also to the Silas Jenkins Tract No.189;

THENCE with the boundary line between the A. Jack Atkins Tract No.198 and
the Silas Jenkins Tract No 189 to the point of intersection with a line of the A.
Harrison Jenkins Tract No.214;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the A.Harrison Jenkins Tract No.214,
the A. Jack Atkins Tract No.198, the W.J.Rutherford Tract No 170, the C.W.Yates
Trustee Tract No.202 and the E.L. Crane Tract No.207, to a corner common to the said
A Harrison Jenkins Tract No. 214 and the said E.L.Crane Tract No.207 and common also
to the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204 and the Jack and Hunter Dodson Tract No.205;

THENCE with the boundary line between the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204 and
the Jack and Hunter Dodson Tract No.205, to a corner common to the H.A.Brown Tract
No.206 and the said Jack and Hunter Dodson Tract No. 205 in a line of the said
Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204 and
the H.A.Brown Tract No.206, to a corner common to the two said Tracts and common
also to the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204-a and the C.J. and Louise Miller and W.T.Tay-
lor Tract No.199;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204-a and the H.A.Brown Tract No.206, to a corner common to the Thomas Nicholson Tract No. 245 and the said H.A.Brown Tract No.206 in a line of the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204-a;

THENCE with the boundary lines between the Nathan Jenkins Tract No.204-a and the Thomas Nicholson Tract No.245 to the point of intersection with the boundary line between Rappahannock County and Madison County;

THENCE in a northwesterly direction with the boundary line between Rappahannock County and Madison County to the point of intersection with the boundary line of Page County on top of the Blue Ridge Mountain;

THENCE with the boundary line between Rappahannock County and Page County to the place of BEGINNING.

And it appearing that the lands thus described include each and all of the several tracts numbered and described in the said report of the said Board of Appraisal Commissioners, and shown and delineated on the said County Ownership Map, which are set forth in the following table of said numbered tracts, it is further adjudged and ordered that the above styled proceeding should be and is hereby dismissed in respect of each of the numbered tracts mentioned in the said table:-

TABLE OF NUMBERED TRACTS LYING WITHIN THE AREA DESCRIBED IN THE PETITION HEREIN, AS DESCRIBED AND NUMBERED IN THE REPORT OF THE BOARD OF APPRAISAL COMMISSIONS AND SHOWN AND DELINEATED UNDER THEIR RESPECTIVE NUMBERS ON THE COUNTY OWNERSHIP MAP FILED WITH AND MADE A PART OF SAID REPORT, IN RESPECT OF WHICH PETITIONER DESIRES TO DISMISS THIS PROCEEDING UNDER PROVISIONS OF SECTION 22 OF THE PUBLIC PARK CONDEMNATION ACT, PETITIONER HAVING DECIDED NOT TO SEEK TO ACQUIRE THE SAID TRACTS IN THE COURSE OF THIS PROCEEDING.

1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 31-b, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 42-a, 43, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53-a, 59-a, 60, 61, 42-b, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 73, 73-a, 74, 75, 75-a, 76, 78, 78-a, 79, 79-a, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 101-a, 101-b, 102, 108-a, 108-a-I, 109-I, 112, 112-a, 112-I, 113-I, 113-II, 113-a-I, 113-a-II, 114, 114-a, 114-b, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 123, 126, 127, 127-a, 127-I, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 139, 133, 140, 141, 141-b, 169-a, 170-a, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 203-a, 205, 206, 206-a, 209, 210, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 221-a, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 228-a, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 235-a, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 247, 248, 249, 250, 252, 254, 255, 256, 263, 264, 265, 266, 268.

J. P. Alexander Judge.

Exd.

It is ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to Coleman B. Miller \$3.78; Harry A. Trescott \$4.34; Albert Burke \$5.02; Atha L. Brown \$4.62; E. G. Brown \$4.76; J. E. Keyser \$4.06; Joseph B. Johnson \$4.34; Thomas A. Wood \$4.20; Gordon Hawkins \$4.34; Edgar W. Gore \$4.76; Charles Burke \$5.04; R. Lee Brown \$5.04; K. G. Riley \$2.59; E. J. Singleton \$4.48; Raymond Johnson \$5.04; R. G. Quaintance Jr. \$5.04; Geo. L. Taylor \$4.62; L. L. Herndon \$3.50; A. H. Buckner, Jr. \$2.45; John L. Jenkins \$5.18; John M. Dennis \$5.46 and to Charles O. Coates \$5.46, the sum set opposite the name of each for their per diem attendance and mileage at this present term as Jurors for the trial of criminal prosecutions, which said amounts shall be refunded to said Treasurer out of the Public Treasury.

It is ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to George Woodard \$2.80; George Williams \$1.47; Arthur Manuel \$5.88; J. Manuel \$5.88; Jas. M. Lillard \$1.75; A. L. Bowersett \$1.05; Robert Elkins \$9.10 and to Johnnie Elkins \$9.10, the sum set opposite the name of each for their attendance and mileage due them as witnesses on behalf of the Commonwealth in her prosecution against Owen Sealock, indicted for a felony; which said amounts shall be refunded to said Treasurer out of the Public Treasury.

The following accounts against the Commonwealth of Virginia, were this day presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the Court, and found to be correct, are allowed, and it is ordered that said accounts be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the Public Treasury, viz;

William F. Moffett, Como. Atty.	Criminal Charges -----	\$ 265.00
Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.	same	40.00
H. F. Keyser, Sheriff	Ex. writ of Venire	5.00
same	Court Attendance -----	8.00
W. H. Revercomb, D. S.	same	4.00

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON THURSDAY THE 28TH DAY OF JUNE, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR.

PRESENT: THE HON. J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

The Board of Supervisors of Rappahannock County, Virginia, having by a resolution adopted on April 5, 1934, and duly certified to me, recommended for Lee Poe appointment to the office of County Surveyor of said County for the unexpired term of C. W. Ewing ending December 31, 1935;

Upon consideration whereof, the Court doth appoint the said Lee Poe to be County Surveyor for said County for the unexpired term of C. W. Ewing ending on December 31, 1935; and the said Lee Poe shall qualify as such surveyor before the Clerk of this court by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law, and who shall enter into and acknowledge a bond, with approved security, in the sum of Two Thousand Dollars.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of
the State of Virginia - - - - - Petitioner

V. AT LAW NO. 149

Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-Seven Thousand Four
Hundred (37,400) Acres of land, More or less, Defendants.

On this the 29th day of June, 1934, came the petitioner in the above styled proceeding, by counsel, and exhibited the record therein to the Court, together with a photographic copy of the County Ownership Map filed with and made a part of the Report of the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed with the said record, and moved the Court to direct and order the Clerk of the Court to admit to record in his deed book, so much of the orders, judgements and proceedings in the above styled proceeding, as shall show the condemnations heretofore had in the said proceeding, and to index the same in name and style of this proceeding and also in the name of the petitioner, under authority of the provisions of Section 14 of the Public Park Condemnation Act.

Whereupon, it appearing to the Court that the hereinafter designated orders, judgements, and parts of the proceedings in the above styled proceeding, show the condemnations heretofore had therein, and include a description of the lands and of the estate or interest therein condemned to the use of the petitioner and acquired by it by the payment of the awards adjudged therefor into the custody of the Court, it is considered that the Clerk of this Court should, and it is adjudged and ordered that he shall admit to the record in his deed book the hereinafter designated orders, judgements, and parts of the proceedings in the above styled proceeding, and index the same in the name of the proceeding and also in the name of the petitioner.

The judgements, orders and parts of the proceedings in the above styled proceeding, which the Clerk of this Court is directed to admit to record in his deed book pursuant to this order, are designated as follows, for entry in his said deed book in the relative order in which they are thus designated:-

(A) This order entered this the 29th day of June, 1934.

(B) The petition in the above styled proceeding filed under authority and in pursuance of Section 6 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, the 7th day of April, 1930, but not including the map and other exhibits, filed with said petition.

(C) The report of the Special Investigators and the Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed in the above styled proceeding, the 18th day of May, 1932, but not including the exhibits filed therewith except the five tables thereto attached and County Ownership Map filed with and made a part of the said report setting forth and delineating under their respective numbers and several tracts of diverse ownership contained within the area described in the petition as found, described and numbered by the said Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners in their said report, the photographic copy of which County Ownership Map, exhibited to the Court as aforesaid and thereupon delivered to the Clerk of the Court by the petitioner, shall be inserted by the Clerk in his said deed book as a true copy of the said County Ownership Map which he is directed to record therein.

(D) The judgement in rem entered the 13th day of November, 1933.

(E) The judgement in rem entered the 14th day of March, 1934.

(F) Two orders entered respectively the 9th day of January, 1934, and the 12th day of May, 1934, under authority of Section 41 of the Public Park Condemnation

Act, setting forth the fact of payment by the petitioner into the custody of the Court of the sums stated or set out in the above mentioned judgements in rem as constituting the awards for the several tracts of land included in the tables of numbered tracts set out in said orders, and expressly relieving the petitioner from any further obligation or duty with regard thereto.

(G) The separate plats of the numbered tracts set forth in the tables included in the said orders entered respectively the 9th day of January, 1934, and the 12th day of May, 1934, the awards for which have been paid into the custody of the Court as set forth in the said orders, each of said plats identified with the number under which it was numbered and described in the above mentioned report of said Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners and under which it is shown and delineated on the above mentioned County Ownership Map, which plats were submitted and filed with the above mentioned report of the said Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners herein; in the recording of which plats the said Clerk, when practicable, shall insert in his ^{said} deed book the original plats, submitted as aforesaid, which the said Clerk is authorized and directed to withdraw from the record of this proceeding for that purpose.

(H) The order entered the 20th day of June, 1934, under authority of Section 22 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, dismissing the above styled proceeding in respect of the lands therein mentioned, and specifically in respect of each of the numbered tracts shown and delineated on the above mentioned County Ownership Map other than the several numbered tracts set forth in the tables of numbered tracts set out in the above mentioned orders entered respectively the 9th day of January, 1934, and the 12th day of May 1934, and setting forth the boundary line between the numbered tracts thus dismissed and the numbered tracts set forth in the said tables of numbered tracts in the said orders.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY:

W.E.COMPTON, who was at the Town election held in the town of Washington, Virginia, on the 12th day of June, 1934, elected Mayor of said town, this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said office, and duly qualified as such by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law, which said oaths are ordered to be filed.

and

Bartow Brown, W.C.Campbell, D.C.Updike, C.T.Bruce, F.B.Dudley and R.E. Manuel, who were at said election, elected members of the Town Council of the said Town, likewise appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said office, and took and subscribed the several oaths prescribed by law, which said oaths are ordered to be filed.

Given under my hand this 29th day of June, 1934.

Jas. M. Settle —, Clerk.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT
OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, ----- Petitioner,

V.

CLIFTON AYLER AND OTHERS AND THIRTY-SEVEN
THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED (37400) ACRES OF LAND,
MORE OR LESS, IN RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VA. ----- Defendants.

On this the 5th day of July, 1934, H.M.DeJarnette and E.H.DeJarnette, Jr., made application to the Court for distribution of the award for the condemnation of the property designated in these proceedings as Tract No. 57. It is ordered that said application be filed with the record.

Thereupon evidence was heard and considered upon the application; from which, as well as from the record of these proceedings submitted as evidence, it appears without denial or dispute in the record or otherwise that the said applicants were invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the property condemned and that they are entitled to be paid the amount of compensation awarded therefor.

And it appearing to the Court that the taxes on said Tract No. 57, together with interest, penalties and costs, amount to the sum of \$114.80;

Therefore, it is ordered and adjudged that the award for the condemnation of said Tract amounting to the sum of \$1186.50 be distributed as follows:

To C.R.Wood, Treasurer, the sum of \$114.80

To H.M.DeJarnette \$535.85

To E.H.DeJarnette, Jr, \$535.85.

It is further ordered that from the funds held by him, subject to the order of this court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia shall make disbursement of this distribution by checks made payable to the persons named which he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, who shall deliver the same to each payee and require proper receipts therefor to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is ordered that the Clerk of this court shall certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia which shall be his authority for making the distribution herein directed.

It is ordered that this Court do now stand adjourned until the first day of the next term thereof as fixed by law.

Josiah Alexander, Judge.

Exd.

AT A CIRCUIT COURT OF LAW OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, AT THE COURTHOUSE OF SAID COURT, OF SAID COUNTY, ON MONDAY THE 9TH DAY OF JULY, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR, AND IN THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY-NINTH YEAR OF OUR COMMONWEALTH.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION IN RE LANDS OF JAMES BAILEY'S ESTATE.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C.

This cause came to be heard again this 9" day of July, 1934, upon the proceedings heretofore had, and the report of Commissioner Botts Strother, bearing date on the 19" day of June, 1934, and filed in the Clerk's Office of this Court on the 20" day of June, 1934, to which report no exceptions have been filed; and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge and order that said report be, and the same is hereby approved and confirmed.

On consideration whereof, it appearing to the Court from the said report that the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners awarded the sum of \$279.00 for Tract No. 58-1, and the sum of \$316.00 for Tract No. 58-11, making an aggregate of \$595.00; that there are no delinquent taxes against said real estate; that the costs of this reference, including attorney's fees, amount to the sum of \$42.00, payable \$2.00 to J.M.Settle, Clerk, \$5.00 to the Blue Ridge Guide, \$15.00 to Botts Strother, Commissioner, and \$20.00 to Ford & Keyser, attorneys; that there are no liens nor encumbrances binding said real estate; that ^{B.} Abrose/Bailey, one of the joint owners of said real estate, has paid the taxes on said land from 1925 to 1933, inclusive, amounting to the sum of \$29.57; that after the payment of the costs and taxes aforesaid the residue of said award amounts to the sum of \$523.43 and that said residue should be divided into nine equal shares to be distributed among the heirs of James Bailey, deceased; that the heirs of said James Bailey are as follows, Ambrose B.Bailey, Wade H.Bailey, Samuel Bailey, George Bailey, Richard Bailey, James Bailey, Ethel Bailey, and Henry Baker, and Charles Jenkins and two infant children; that Ethel Bailey is an infant seventeen years of age who lives with her mother, Mrs. May Bailey, who is a proper and discreet person to receive and handle the funds coming to the said Ethel Bailey; that the said Charles Jenkins, the husband of Lena B. Jenkins, deceased, is entitled to dower in her undivided one-ninth share, which commuted at the age of thirty-seven amounts to the sum of \$14.33, leaving the sum of \$43.83 going to the said two small infant children of Lena B.Jenkins; that said two infants live with and are taken care of by the said Charles Jenkins, and that he is a proper and discreet person to receive and handle said funds coming to the said two infants, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$595.00 paid into court by the said State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia as the aggregate compensation for said Tracts Nos.58-1 and 58-11 be paid out and disbursed as follows, to-wit:

- (1) To James M.Settle, Clerk, Washington, Virginia, \$2.00;
- (2) To Blue Ridge Guide, Washington, Virginia, \$5.00;
- (3) To Botts Strother, Commissioner, Washington, Virginia, \$15.00;
- (4) To Ford & Keyser, attorneys, Luray, Virginia, \$20.00;

(5) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Ambrose B. Bailey, the sum of \$29.57 for taxes;

(6) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Ambrose B. Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(7) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Wade H. Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(8) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Samuel Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(9) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for George Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(10) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Richard Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(11) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for James Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(12) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Ethel Bailey, the sum of \$58.16;

(13) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Henry Baker, the sum of \$58.16;

(14) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for Chalres Jenkins, the sum of \$14.33;

(15) To Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for the two infant children of Lena B. Jenkins, the sum of \$43.83;

and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall make distribution and pay out the aforesaid sum of \$595.00, the aggregate amount of the awards set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 58-1 and 58-11, as hereinbefore set out, and certify such payments to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

It appearing to the Court that Ethel Bailey is an infant seventeen years of age and that she resides at home with her mother May Bailey, and that the said May Bailey is a proper and discreet person to receive and handle the said fund coming to the said Ethel Bailey, the Court doth adjudge and order that the aforesaid sum of \$58.16 going to the said Ethel Bailey be paid to her mother, the said May Bailey, For the education, maintenance and support of said infant.

It appearing to the Court that the said two infant children of Lena B. Jenkins, deceased, are babies, and that they reside at home with their father, whose mother runs the home for the said Charles Jenkins, and takes care of said infants, and that he is a proper and discreet person to receive and handle said fund coming to the said two infants, the Court doth adjudge and order that the aforesaid sum of \$43.83 going to said two infants be paid to their father, the said Charles Jenkins, for the education, maintenance and support of said two infants.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, Petitioner,

vs.

CLIFTON AYLER, AND OTHERS, AND THIRTY-
SEVEN THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED (37,400)
ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, IN
RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, Defendant,
PAUL TAYLOR, Intervenor.

This cause came on this 9th day of July, 1934, to be heard upon the petition of Paul Taylor, Intervenor, and exhibits therewith; the depositions taken before Botts Strother, Esq., Commissioner in Chancery of this Court; the report of the said Commissioner, dated and filed on the 19th day of May, 1934; the exceptions of the said intervenor filed on the 24th day of May, 1934, which report has been returned and said exceptions have been filed more than ten days; and was argued by counsel;

On consideration whereof, the court doth sustain all of the exceptions of the said intervenor to the said commissioner's report; and it appearing to the Court that on the 16th day of June, 1933, the intervenor Paul Taylor obtained in the Circuit Court of Page County, Virginia, a judgment on a promissory note against the defendant J.A. Williams for the sum of \$1225.00, together with interest thereon from May 14, 1933, and for the customary attorney's fee according to the provisions of the instrument sued on, to-wit: \$122.50, and for costs; that executions were issued by the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Page County on said judgment on the 18th day of December, 1933, and on or about the 16th day of April, 1934; that an abstract of said judgment was duly docketed and entered of record in Judgment Lien Docket D, at page 169, in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia; that the amount of said judgment, together with interest thereon until April 16, 1934, amounts to the sum of \$1421.40; that on said April 16, 1934, the defendant J.A. Williams paid the sum of \$250.00 to the sheriff of Page County, under execution issued on said judgment, which said sum was paid by said sheriff to said intervenor, leaving a balance of \$1171.40 due to intervenor, after giving credit for said payment of \$250.00, which now remains unpaid and owing from said defendant J.A. Williams to the intervenor Paul Taylor, together with interest thereon from said 16th day of April, 1934; that the defendant J.A. Williams is the owner of an undivided one-fourth interest in all of the real estate known as the Panorama Resort property and improvements thereon which are subject to condemnation in this proceeding, and which consists of approximately 130 acres of land situated in Page County and approximately 180 acres of land situated in Rappahannock County, Virginia, and all located at the top of the Blue Ridge Mountain and at or near Thornton's Gap; that the portion of said land situate in Rappahannock County is set out and described in report of Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners, numbered # 151 and 151-A filed with the record of this proceeding, and numbered, shown and delineated on the County ownership map filed with and made a part of said report; that all of said land has been condemned by the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner, in this proceeding, and judgment in rem was entered by the Court herein on March 14, 1934, and said judgment is duly recorded in Common Law Order Book H, at pages 220-225; that the award made by said Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners for the condemnation of said portion of said lands situate in Rappahannock County was \$21,000.85, and that said

award was approved by the Court and judgment in rem entered thereon; that said land is owned by the defendant J.A. Williams, and the Intervenor, Paul Taylor, and R.L. Cheatham, who compose a certain partnership known as the Panorama Resort, and each of said partners owns an undivided one-fourth interest in said lands; that said partnership owes debts aggregating \$2500.00, and that after the payment of said partnership indebtedness of \$2500 there will remain the sum of \$18,500.85, and the defendant J.A. Williams is entitled to receive one-fourth of said sum, or the sum of \$4,625.21 of the proceeds of condemnation of said land, and all of which sum has been paid by into court by the said State Commission on Conservation and Development as just compensation for said land; that the unpaid portion of said judgment, aforesaid, to-wit, the said sum of \$1171.40, with interest from April 16, 1934, is a lien upon the interest of the said defendant J.A. Williams in said proceeds of condemnation; that the compensation of Botts Strother, Commissioner as aforesaid, should be paid by the defendant J.A. Williams; that the sum of \$20.00 is reasonable and proper compensation for his said services; and that said sum is a lien upon the interest of the said J.A. Williams in the proceeds of condemnation herein; and that the Court is of the opinion that the said intervenor is entitled to the relief prayed for in his said intervening petition; it is adjudged, ordered and decreed:

That the said sum of \$1171.40, together with interest thereon from April 16, 1934, to-wit, the sum of \$1193.36, the unpaid amount of said judgment entered by the Circuit Court of Page County, Virginia, on June 16, 1933, in favor of Paul Taylor and against J.A. Williams, be paid unto the said Paul Taylor, intervenor herein, out of the interest and share of the said defendant J.A. Williams in the proceeds of condemnation of said lands, which has been paid into court as aforesaid, and that the sum of \$20.00 be paid to Botts Strother, Commissioner, for services rendered by him under decree of reference herein, out of the interest and share of the defendant J.A. Williams in the proceeds of said condemnation; and that the Clerk of this Court be and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Holmes Hall, attorney of record for the said Paul Taylor, the said sum of \$1193.36, the unpaid portion of said judgment in favor of the said Paul Taylor and against the said J.A. Williams, and shall also pay unto the said Botts Strother the said sum of \$20.00 for his services as commissioner, as aforesaid; and the said Treasurer shall certify such payments to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entries thereof, as required by law.

This day came W.A. Fincham, a voter of Stonewall Magisterial District, and W.A. Lawson and Norah V. Lawson, voters of Wakefield Magisterial District, who applied to the court to have corrected the lists of persons who have paid not later than six months prior to the election to be held in November, 1934, their State Capitation Taxes;

And it appearing to the Court that the Treasurer of this County has waived the five days notice of the said applicants, and that applicants applied within thirty days after said lists were posted as required by law, and it further appearing from the testimony of the Treasurer that the said names were inadvertently omitted from the said voting lists, it is ordered that the said lists be corrected accordingly and that the names of W.A. Fincham, W.A. Lawson and

Norah V. Lawson be added to the said lists; and the Clerk of this Court shall correct the said list accordingly and deliver a certified copy of such corrected lists to the Judges of election at the precinct at which said voters are registered as provided by law.

Raymond Payne, Plaintiff,

vs: Upon an appeal warrant

Joseph Hitt, Defendant.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and upon the motion of the defendant, by counsel, leave is given him to file such pleas as he may be advised within thirty days from the rising of the court; and this action is continued and set for trial on Monday, November 12, 1934.

J.T.Kelly ----- Plaintiff

vs:

A.G.Bailey Estate - Defendants.

Upon motion of plaintiff, by counsel, this action is continued and set for trial on Thursday, July 19, 1934.

The following accounts against the commonwealth was this day presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the court, is allowed, and it is ordered that the same be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of of the Public Treasury:

Mrs. L.H.Hitt	Board of Prisoners &C -----	\$102.50
---------------	-----------------------------	----------

Mrs. Mafie D. Eastham ----- Plaintiff

vs: Upon a Notice of Motion for Judgment

Bradley T. Johnston, ----- Defendant.

This day came the plaintiff, by counsel, and the defendant being solemnly called, came not; whereupon on motion of the plaintiff, by counsel, and it appearing to the court that the defendant has had due and legal notice of this motion, and that said notice has been regularly served, returned, filed and docketed, and said defendant still failing to appear, plead or demur, and said defendant being thrice solemnly called at the front door of the courthouse, answered not; whereupon it is considered by the court that the said plaintiff, Mrs. Mafie D. Eastham, recover of said defendant, Bradley T. Johnston, the sum of FOUR HUNDRED AND NO/100 (\$400.00) DOLLARS, with interest thereon from January 1, 1934, until paid, and her costs by her in this behalf expended.

Walter vs Walter Attachment

Upon motion of the plaintiff, by counsel, this action is dismissed.

CULPEPER National Bank, Plaintiff,

VS: Upon a Notice of Motion for Judgment

H.F.Keyser, sheriff.

Upon motion of the plaintiff, by counsel, this action is dismissed.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, ----- Petitioner,)

v.)

CLIFTON AYLER AND OTHERS AND THIRTY-
SEVEN THOUSAND, FOUR HUNDRED (37,400)
ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, ----- Defendants.)

AT LAW NO. 149.

ORDER FOR PARTIAL DISTRIBUTION,

On this 9th day of July, 1934, came J.Allen Williams, Raymond L.Cheatham, Paul Taylor and A.M.Priest and filed their application for a partial distribution of the sum of \$21,012.10, being the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts Nos. 124, 124-A, 151 and 151-A, which said sum has heretofore been paid into court, and it appearing to the Court that said J.Allen Williams, Raymond L.Cheatham, Paul Taylor and A.M.Priest are invested with a superior or better right of claim and title in and to said tracts of land or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and it further appearing to the court that all taxes due upon said tracts have been paid

It is considered and ordered by the court that from said sum of \$21,012.10 paid into court by petitioner for said tracts there shall be paid to Raymond L. Cheatham \$5,253.03, and to A.M.Priest \$5,253.03, and the clerk of this court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Raymond L.Cheatham \$5,253.03 and to A.M.Priest \$5,253.03, taking from said Raymond L.Cheatham and A.M.Priest their respective receipts therefor and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The balance of said award to be held in the custody of this court pending further orders of the court.

The following fiduciary reports made by Botts Strother, Commissioner of Accounts of this Court, having been filed in the Clerk's Office of this court for a period of thirty days or more, it is certified that the Court made a personal examination of said reports, and no errors appearing in any one of them, and no exceptions having been filed thereto, it is ordered that said reports be and the same are hereby confirmed and ordered to be recorded as provided by law:

Jas. H. Fletcher, Admr. of Grover C. Wayland, deceased.
Gilbert Weakley, Admr. of John L. Jenkins, deceased.
Ora A. Rollins, Trustee for Warren A. Rollins, incompetent.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON THURSDAY THE 19TH DAY
OF JULY, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR.

PRESENT: THE HON. J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

The Greenbrier Joint Stock Land Bank

v. Order

Joseph Reid, Jr., et al.

This day came the Greenbrier Joint Stock Land Bank by counsel and moved the Court in vacation to appoint Curry Carter as Trustee in the room and stead of The Union Trust Company in a certain deed of trust from Joseph Reid, Jr. and Mable Reid, his wife, and James B. Early and Lillian L. Early, his wife, to The

The State Commission on Conservation and
Development of the State of Virginia, ----- Petitioner,

V. AT LAW NO. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-
Seven Thousand, Four Hundred (37,400)
Acres of Land, more or less, ----- Defendants.

On this 23rd day of July, 1934, came J.Allen Williams, Raymond L.Cheatham, Paul Taylor and A.M.Priest and filed their petition showing the order heretofore entered by this Court on July 9, 1934, ordering a partial distribution of the sum of \$21,012.10, which was stated as the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts Nos. 124, 124-a, 151 and 151-a, is erroneous in including Tracts Nos. 124 and 124-a and in stating the amount of the award as \$21,012.10, and it appearing to the Court that the judgment of condemnation entered in this court included only tracts Nos. 151 and 151-a and that said Tracts Nos. 124 and 124-a are located in Page County, and it further appearing to the court that after making proper deductions the sum of \$21,000.85 is the balance of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tracts Nos. 151 and 151-a to be distributed, which said sum has been heretofore paid into court, and it appearing to the court that said J.Allen Williams, Raymond L.Cheatham, Paul Taylor and A.M.Priest are the owners of said Tracts Nos. 151 and 151-a and entitled to the proceeds arising therefrom, and that all taxes due upon said tracts have been paid.

It is considered and ordered by the court that said order of July 9th be vacated and superseded by this order so far as it conflicts with this order and that from said sum of \$21,000.85, paid into court by petitioner for said tracts of land, there shall be paid unto Raymond L.Cheatham \$5,250.21, and to A.M.Priest, \$5,250.21, and the Clerk of this court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto said Raymond L.Cheatham, \$5,250.21, and to A.M.Priest \$5,250.21, taking from each of said Raymond L.Cheatham and A.M.Priest their separate respective receipts therefor and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry therefor as required by law.

The balance of said award to be held in the custody of this court pending further orders of the court.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County shall enter this recess order in his Common Law Order Book.

J R H Alexander

Judge.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, July 24th, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

State Commission on Conservation &
Development of the State of Virginia, - - - - - Petitioner,

v. No _____ At Law

Clifton Aylor, and others and _____
acres of land, more or less in _____
Rappahannock County, Va.

Order for distribution of award for Tract No. 59-11.

On this the 19th day of July, 1934, came J.T.Kelly, by Counsel,
and made application to the Court for distribution of the award for the condemna-
tion of the property designated in these proceedings as Tract No. 59-11. It is
ordered that the application be filed in the record.

And at the same time said applicant, by counsel, exhibited to the
Court, a letter from W.V.Ford, Attorney at Law of Luray, Virginia, Counsel for
the heirs of William C.Overall, deceased, who according to the report of the Board
of Appraisal Commissioners filed herein, may have some claim to the proceeds of
condemnation for said Tract No. 59-11; said letter from said William V.Ford, at-
torney for said William Overall heirs, states that said heirs claim no land in
Rappahannock County, which has been condemned for Shenandoah National Park, or
for Shenandoah National Park purposes, and no other person or persons claiming
any right, title or interest in said Tract No. 59-11 or any part or share in the
proceeds of condemnation thereof, and it otherwise appearing that from the
evidence heard and considered upon the application of the said J.T.Kelly, as well
as from the record of these proceedings submitted in evidence, without denial or
dispute in the record, or otherwise, that the said J.T.Kelly was invested with a
superior or better right or claim of title in and to said tract No. 59-11 and in
and to the proceeds of condemnation thereof and that he is entitled to be paid
the amount of compensation awarded therefor;

It is adjudged, ordered and decreed that the award for the condemna-
tion of said Tract No. 59-11, amounting to the sum of Eight Hundred and Thirty-
two Dollars (\$832.00) be distributed as follows:

To the said J.T.Kelly, the sum of Eight Hundred, thirty-two dollars
(\$832.00);

It is adjudged and ordered that from the funds held by him subject
to the orders of this Court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia, shall
make disbursement of this distribution by a check made payable to said J.T.Kelly,
which he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, who shall deliver the same to
the payee or to Weaver & Armstrong, his attorneys, and require a proper receipt
therefor, to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this
order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be the authority of the said
Treasurer for making the distribution herein directed.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will
enter the foregoing recess order upon the Current Common Law Order Book of his Court.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:
Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, July 26th, 1934.
The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered
as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

And thereupon the said Geo. W. Settle appeared before the Court and qualified as such by entering into bond, with approved security in the penalty of \$1000.00, and by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law.

The Court doth hereby fix the salary of said Trial Justice at \$1000.00 per annum, the same to be payable as provided by law.

Jos. M. Settle, Judge

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON MONDAY THE 6TH DAY OF
AUGUST, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION.

On this, August 6th, 1934, came J. Frank Dodson Estate, and on his motion leave is given him to file his application for the payment to him of the sums of \$192.50 & \$143.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts No. 158 & Tract No. 158-a, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the Petitioner the said J. Frank Dodson Estate is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tracts of land Nos. 158 & 158-a, or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and that the record of this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title of said Tracts No. 158 & 158-a or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tracts No. 158 & 158-a; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said Tracts No. 158 & 158-a have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the court that the said sums of \$192.50 & 143.00, paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for Tracts No. 158 & 158-a be paid unto said J. Frank Dodson Est., and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Jas. M. Settle, Agent for the heirs of J. Frank Dodson, dec'd, the said sums of \$192.50 & 143.00, aggregating the sum of \$335.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts No. 158 & 158-a, taking from the said Jas. M. Settle, Agent as aforesaid a receipt therefor, and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock:

I, Gertrude P. Steinmetz, Administratrix of Irene B. Putney, deceased, do hereby acknowledge myself as such administratrix and the estate of the said Irene B. Putney, deceased, to be justly indebted to, and do hereby confess judgment in favor of John L. Dickerson in the sum of \$214.69, with interest thereon from this date, until paid, and the costs of this proceeding.

Given under my hand and seal as such administratrix this 15th day of August, 1934.

Gertrude P. Steinmetz Admx. (SEAL)

ex 0

*Judgment
confessed
Aug. 15, 1934
at 11:45 A. M.
Teste
Jos. M. Settle
Clerk.*

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock.

The foregoing judgment was duly confessed before me in my said office on the 15th day of August, nineteen hundred and thirty-four, at 11:45 o'clock A.M., and has been duly entered of record in common law order book number "H", page 288.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

CLIFTON AYLOR et als.

On this, Aug 17, 1934, came Jonas M. Atkins, and on his motion leave is given him to file ^{his} application for the payment to him of the sum of \$1592.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 175, and heretofore paid into court, and it appearing from the report of the Board Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the Petitioner the said Jonas M. Atkins is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said tract of land No. 175, or the proceeds arising therefrom, and that the record of this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the Title of said Tract No. 175 or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said tract No. 175; and it further appearing to Court that all taxes due upon said Tract No. 175 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$1592.00 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for Tract No. 175 be paid unto said Jonas M. Atkins, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia who shall pay unto said Jonas M. Atkins the said sum of \$1592.00, the amount of the award set out in judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 175, taking from the said Jonas M. Atkins, a receipt therefor, and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his court.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Aug. 18th, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

OF STATE OF VIRGINIA.

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

CLIFTON AYLOR ET ALS.

On this, August 17, 1934, came Joseph B. Atkins, and on his motion leave is given him to file his application for the payment to him of the sum

ex. j.

of \$144.00, the aggregate amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tracts No. 267&267a, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner the said Joseph B. Atkins is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tracts of land No. 267&267a, or to the proceeds arising therefrom and that the record of this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the Title of said Tracts No. 267 & 267a or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tracts No. 267 & 267a; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said Tracts No. 267 & 267a have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$144.00 paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for Tracts No. 267 & 267a be paid unto said Joseph B. Atkins and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Joseph B. Atkins, the said sum of \$144.00, the aggregate amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tracts No. 267&267a, taking from the said Joseph B. Atkins a receipt therefor, and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for the appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his Court.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Aug 18th, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA
STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA
VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION
CLIFTON AYLOR ET ALS.

On this, August 17, 1934, came J. P. Pullen, and on his motion leave is given him to file his application for the payment to him of the sum of \$138.75, the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 211, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner the said J. P. Pullen is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tract of land NO. 211, or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and that the record of this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the Title of said Tract No 211 or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and is therefore

entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tract No. 211 and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said tract No. 211 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$138.75 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for Tract No. 211 be paid unto said J. P. Pullen, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said J. P. Pullen, the said sum of \$138.75, the amount of the award set out in judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 211, taking from the said J. P. Pullen a receipt therefor, and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter ^{The} ~~the~~ foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his court.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of the Rappahannock Circuit Court, Aug, 18th, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSIONER ON CONSERVATION AND

DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA-----Petitioner

Vs: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

CLIFTON AYLOR & ETC.

On this 17 day of August, 1934, came the heirs at law of Mrs Sallie Atkins, deceased, and on their motion leave is given them to file, ^{their} application for the payment to them of the sum of \$222.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 182, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this proceeding and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner, Mrs. Sallie Atkins was invested with a superior or better right of claim of title in and to said Tract No. 182; and it now appearing to Court that Mrs. Sallie Atkins has departed this life, intestate, and left surviving her, her husband, Jonas M. Atkins, and eight living children, namely; Sarah F. Atkins, Vinnie Jenkins, Atha Atkins, Tennie Atkins, Mary Atkins, Franklin Atkins, Lena Atkins and Martha Atkins, and two infant children of a deceased son, Waverly Atkins; whereupon it now appears to the Court that Jonas M. Atkins, widower, is entitled to receive out of said award for tract No. 182, a commuted curtesy interest, and the aforesaid named eight living children are each entitled to a one-ninth interest in the remainder of said award, and the two infant children are entitled to the other remaining one-ninth interest in the said award; and it is further appearing from the record in this cause that there is no dispute or denial by any party or persons in interest as to title to said Tract No. 182, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the above named parties are entitled to receive said award in the proportions above named; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due on said Tract No. 182 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered

Exd.

by the Court that said sum of \$222.50 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for said tract No, 182 shall be paid unto the aforesaid heirs at law of Sallie Atkins ,deceased.

And it appearing further to the Court that the said heirs at law of Sallie Atkins,deceased,have designated Jas. M. Settle as their agent,and requested that the said sum of \$222.50 be paid to him as such agent,it is accordingly so ordered that the sum of \$222.50 be paid unto Jas. M. Settle,Agent,who shall disburse said fund to said heirs as their interest may appear,and he shall file with the record a report showing his disbursements of said fund. It appearing that the amount payable to the infants will be less than \$100.00, it is ordered that the sum due each infant shall be paid over to Emily Atkins,their mother,who is a proper person to receive same,and which sums shall be expended by the said Emily Atkins for the maintenance and support of the said infants.

It is further adjudged and ordered that from the funds held by him subject to the orders of this Court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia shall make disbursement of this distribution by a check made payable to Jas. M. Settle, Agent for the heirs of Sallie Atkins,deceased,which he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court,and require a proper receipt therefor,to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia,which shall be the authority of the said Treasurer for making the distribution herein directed.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his Court.

J. R.H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court,Aug 18th,1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered ^{as} there in directed.

Teste:Jas. M. Settle, Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY ,VIRGINIA

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, Petitioner
VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION
CLIFTON AYLOR & ETC.

On this 17th day of August,1934,came Dolly Taylor,and on her motion leave is given her to file her application for the payment to her of the sum of \$150.00 the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No.258 and heretofore paid into Court,and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this proceeding and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November,1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner, the said Dolly Taylor is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tract No. 258,orto the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof,and that the record of this

proceeding does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title of said Tract No. 258, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and she is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tract No. 258; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said tract No. 258 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$150.00 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for Tract No. 258 shall be paid unto said Dolly Taylor, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Dolly Taylor the said sum of \$150.00 the amount of the award set out in judgement of condemnation for the said tract, taking from her a proper receipt and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for ^{appropriate} ~~appropriate~~ entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his Court.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Aug, 18th, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, --- Petitioner

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

CLIFTON AYLOR & ETC.

On this the 20th day of August, 1934, came T. F. Atkins, J. Will Atkins, J. B. Atkins, Geo. Milton Atkins, Annie E. Woodard and May Woodard, heirs at law of Elmira Pullen, deceased, and on their motion leave is given them to file their application for the payment to them of the sum of \$646.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 186, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed in this proceeding and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner, Elmira Pullen was invested with a superior or better right of claim of title in and to said Tract No. 186; and it now appearing to the Court that the said Elmira Pullen has departed this life, intestate, and left surviving her as her sole heirs at law the said T. F. Atkins, J. Will Atkins, J. B. Atkins, Geo. Milton Atkins, Annie E. Woodard and May Woodard, each of whom are entitled to receive a one-sixth share in the distribution of said award; and it further appearing from the record in this cause that there is no dispute or denial by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 186, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the above named parties are entitled to receive said award for said tract in the proportions above named; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said Tract No. 186 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$646.00 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 186 shall be

Ex ✓

paid unto the aforesaid heirs at law of Elmira Pullen, deceased.

And it further appearing to the Court that the said heirs have designated T.F. Atkins as their agent, and requested that the said sum of \$646.00 be paid to him as such agent, it is accordingly so adjudged and ordered that the sum of \$646.00 be paid unto T.F. Atkins, Agent for the heirs of Elmira Pullen, deceased, who shall disburse said fund to the said heirs as their interest may appear.

It is further adjudged and ordered that from the funds held by him subject to the orders of this court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia, shall make disbursement of this distribution by a check made payable to T.F. Atkins, Agent for the heirs of Elmira Pullen, deceased, which he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, and require a proper receipt therefor, to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be the authority of the said Treasurer for making the distribution herein directed.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order upon the current common law order book of his court.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Aug. 22, 1934.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

The State Commission on Conservation and Development
of the State of Virginia - - - - - Petitioner.

V. AT LAW NO. 149

Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-seven Thousand Four
Hundred (37,400) Acres of land, More or less, - - - - - Defendants.

On the 31 day of August, 1934, came the petitioner in the above styled proceeding, by counsel, and exhibited to the Court the record therein, including the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners; the order setting this proceeding for hearing on the 23rd day of February, 1934, upon notice of petitioner of its intention to move and pray the Court to enter a judgment in rem condemning the numbered tracts of land mentioned in said notice and order to the use of the petitioner, and for such other and further relief as it might be advised; the certificate and affidavit of service of said notice and order, in the form and manner prescribed in the order, on all exceptants to the findings of said Board of Appraisal Commissioners relating to the numbered tracts of land mentioned in said notice and order; the judgment in rem entered herein on the 14th day of March, 1934, after the hearing of this proceeding ^{had} and pursuant to the above mentioned notice and order; and the order entered on the same day and date, but immediately prior to the entry of said judgment in rem, under the terms of which order the Clerk of this Court was directed to file with the record the report of arbitrators mentioned in said order and to amend the findings in the report of the said Board of Appraisal Commissioners to conform with the findings of the said arbitrators, and under the terms of which order, as entered, the exceptions to the findings of the said Board of Appraisal Commissioners, filed by the exceptants

Exd.

shown in the "table" set out in said order, were dismissed.

Thereupon the petitioner showed to the Court that when this proceeding was called at the hearing had on the motion of petitioner for said judgment in rem, pursuant to said notice of motion and order, none of the exceptants to the findings in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appeared either in person or by counsel; that at the said hearing counsel for petitioner appeared and there and then showed to the Court that all exceptions to the findings in said report with reference to the numbered tracts mentioned in said order and notice of motion for judgment condemning the same had theretofore been dismissed, except only the exceptions to the findings relating specifically to the numbered tracts the value of which has been submitted to arbitration; that under the terms of the arbitration agreements set out in the report of the arbitrators, all questions as to value and damages raised by said exceptions had been withdrawn from the consideration of the Court and submitted to arbitration by the parties to the said arbitration agreements, and had been duly ascertained and determined by the arbitrators, and reported to the Court; and that on the record as it would stand after amendment of the findings in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners to conform with the findings of the arbitrators, all the exceptions to the findings in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the numbered tracts the value of which had been reported by the arbitrators, whether or not the same had in fact been submitted to arbitration by each and all of the exceptants, should be dismissed on the said exceptions, the answers thereto, and the supporting affidavits, under the provisions of Section 35 of the public Park Condemnation Act.

Counsel for the petitioner further showed to the Court that there and then, at the said hearing, petitioner moved and prayed the Court to enter an order, amending the findings in the said report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners so as to conform with the findings in the said report of arbitrators and dismissing all the exceptions to the findings of the said Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to all the numbered tracts the value of which had been the subject of said arbitration, and thereupon to enter judgement condemning to the use of the petitioner the numbered tracts which were set out in the notice of motion for judgement and the order setting the proceeding for hearing on said motion.

Counsel further showed to the Court that thereupon, and at the said hearing, the Court sustained and granted the said motion for the entry of the order as moved and prayed by the petitioner, and immediately thereafter sustained and granted the motion and prayer of the petitioner for the entry of the above mentioned judgement in rem.

Counsel further showed to the Court that notwithstanding the fact that at the said hearing and immediately before ordering the entry of the said judgement in rem, the Court had sustained and granted the motion and prayer of the petitioner for the dismissal of all the exceptions to the findings of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the numbered tracts whose value had been reported by the arbitrators; and notwithstanding the fact that the record discloses the names of each and all of the exceptants who had filed the said exceptions; the table set out in the order actually entered in the order book giving a list of said numbered tracts followed by the names of exceptants to the findings as to each of the numbered tracts listed in said table, does not correctly set forth the names of all of said

exceptants, so that the order actually entered does not fully conform to the judgment and order of the Court sustaining the prayer and motion of the petitioner for the dismissal of all the exceptions to the findings as to the said numbered tracts prior to the entry of the judgment in rem condemning the numbered tracts in that table to the use of the petitioner.

Counsel further showed to the Court that the record of these proceedings discloses that the names of the exceptants who filed exceptions to the findings of said Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the numbered tracts shown in said table set out in the said order are^{as} shown in the following table:-

TABLE

TRACT NUMBER	
24	G. Tyler Miller, and Mrs. E. T. Miller, represented by Harrison & Harrison, Attorneys
54	Mrs. Evelyn Tyler Miller, C. B. Miller, George Tyler Miller, Julia M. Settle, William Arthur Miller, Henry T. Miller, B. F. Miller, B. F. Miller and N. M. Booth, represented by Harrison & Harrison, Attorneys. Wm. H. Grannis, represented by Wm. T. Larkin, Attorney
54-I	Same exceptants as to Tract #54.
54-II	" " " " " #54.
57	H. M. DeJarnette and E. H. DeJarnette, Jr., represented by E. H. DeJarnette, Jr. Attorney.
70-I	E. G. Brumback and J. F. Brumback, represented by S.L. Walton, Counsel.
71-I	E. G. Brumback and J. F. Brumback, represented by S. L. Walton, Counsel.
103-a	James A. Estes
106-I	D.H. Kendall, W.O. Kendall, J.K. Kendall, and Mrs. M. H. Abbott, represented by S.L. Walton, Counsel.
106-II	Same exceptants as to Tract #106-I.
142	Britton L. Atkins
142-a	Same exceptant as to Tract #142.
148	H. C. Atkins, represented by W.F. Moffett, Counsel.
151	J. A. Williams, Paul Taylor, R.L. Cheatham, and A.M. Priest, represented by Herman J. Galloway, Counsel.
151-a	Same exceptants as to Tract #151.
152	Addie Frank Clark
164	J.W. Atkins
164-a	Same exceptant as to Tract #164
164-b	" " " " " #164.
167	J.W. Atkins and J. W. Ramey
169	L.F. Swindler
173	Frank H. Cox, represented by Andrew J. Ellis, Counsel.

Counsel for petitioner further showed to the Court that the inaccuracies or omissions in the listing of some of the names of the exceptants following the numbered tracts listed in the table set out in the said order, as actually entered, arose from a scrivener's mistake in inserting in the table included in the draft of the said order, which was initialled for entry, a preliminary and incomplete list of the names of said exceptants, in place of the completed and corrected list shown in the above set out table, which latter table correctly sets forth the names of the exceptants to the findings as to the numbered tracts shown in both tables, as disclosed by the record; and which completed and corrected table counsel for the petitioner believed was the table included in said draft of said order when it was

initialled for entry, and so represented the fact to be to the Court; and which complete list of the names of each and all of said exceptants, as disclosed by the record, was the list of said names which the Court had good and sufficient reason to believe and did in fact believe was included in the table set out in said initialled draft of said order.

Upon consideration whereof the Court finds that the table set out as aforesaid in the said order entered in this proceeding on the 14th day of March, 1934 does not fully and accurately set forth the names of each and all the exceptants whose exceptions were ordered dismissed prior to the entry of the judgement in rem on said day and date, and at the above mentioned hearing, on the prayer and motion of the petitioner to dismiss all the exceptions to the findings of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the numbered tracts the value of which was ascertained and determined by arbitrators, as disclosed in the above mentioned report of arbitrators filed with the record; that at the said hearing and prior to the entry of the above mentioned judgement in rem the Court sustained and granted the motion and prayer of the petitioner to dismiss all the exceptions to the findings in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners as to the numbered tracts whose value had been ascertained by said arbitrators; that when the Court initialled for entry a draft of said order, the Court understood that the table of numbered tracts set out therein showed the names of all the exceptants to the findings of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the said list of numbered tracts set out in said table, as disclosed by the record; but that by inadvertence occasioned by a clerical error of the scrivener, the list of names set out in the table inserted in the said draft of said order did not correctly and accurately set out the names of each and all of said exceptants; and the Court further expressly finds that the record discloses the names of each and all of the said exceptants; that the names of the said exceptants are as shown in the above set out table; that at the above mentioned hearing the exceptions filed by each and all of the said exceptants were overruled and dismissed on the motion of the petitioner; and that the omission or inaccurate designation of the names of some of said exceptants in the table included in the said order as entered was an inadvertence occasioned by a clerical error of the scrivener.

WHEREFORE, upon motion of the petitioner, it is considered, adjudged and ordered that the above described order entered in this proceeding on the 14th day of March, 1934, should be, and it is hereby amended, nunc pro tunc, so as to make the list of exceptants set out in the above described table in said order include all the exceptants to the findings of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners with reference to the numbered tracts listed in said table, as disclosed by the record, and as shown in the above set out table of numbered tracts wherein the names of the said exceptants appear to be correctly set out after the number of the individual tracts with reference to which their respective exceptions were filed.

E. J.

J. W. Alexander, Judge

if any, resulting to the adjacent or other property of the owners, or to the property of any other person, beyond the enhancement in value of the said remaining, adjacent or other property, by reason of the construction, reconstruction, alteration, maintenance, repair and improvement of a portion of the State Highway System, known as Project 792-A, Route 3, in Rappahannock County, had prior to the docketing of this cause which is hereby ordered as of this day, been given to A.M. Dearing, in person, That on the 16th day of August, 1934, the said State Highway Commissioner filed in the Clerk's Office of this Court a petition, plat and profile, description and memorandum in compliance with the provisions of law for such cases made and provided; and that the land and other property (the fee simple interest therein) sought to be condemned in these proceedings is wanted for the said uses and purposes of said State Highway Commissioner; that the said land and other property lies in Rappahannock County, Virginia; the Court doth appoint E.M. Green, John Carter, John Keyser, W.C. Campbell, R.L. Miller, five disinterested freeholders residing in Rappahannock County, any three or more of whom may act, for the purpose of ascertaining a just compensation for such land and other property (the fee simple estate therein) and awarding the damages, if any, resulting to the adjacent or other property of the owners or to the property of any other person, beyond the enhancement in value of said remaining adjacent or other property, by reason of the construction, reconstruction, alteration, maintenance, repair and improvement of said highway.

The Court doth designate the 7th day of September, 1934, at 11:00 o'clock A. M. for said Commissioners to meet and doth further direct that a copy of this order and of the instructions this day given, be served on each of said Commissioners by the Sheriff of this County.

And this cause is continued.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON THURSDAY THE 6TH
DAY OF SEPTEMBER, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

State Commission in Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia .
vs.....ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION; Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-
Seven Thousand Four Hundred (37,400) Acres of land, more or less.

On this 6th day of September, 1934, came Annie Newcomber Foster by counsel, and on her motion leave is given her to file her application for the payment to her of the sum of \$11.40, the amount being one-fifth of the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No.45, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner that Tom Young's heirs were invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tract of Land No.45, or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and it appearing further to the Court that Tom Young has de-

parted this life leaving as his sole heir his mother, Catherine Scroggins, and it appearing that Catherine Scroggins has departed this life leaving as her heirs at law two sons, namely George Scroggins and Robert Scroggins, and one daughter, namely Mary Scroggins Acres, and the children of two deceased daughters namely, the said Annie Newcomber Foster, daughter of Bettie Scroggins Newcomber, and William Scroggins, Charles Scroggins, Mrs, Emmett Grimsley, Mrs. Lula Welsh, Herbert Foster and Stanley Foster, all children of Annie Scroggins Foster, and that the record of this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or person in interest as to title to said Tract No. 45 or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and it appearing that the said Annie Newcomber Foster is therefore entitled to receive a one-fifth share of the proceeds arising from the condemnation of the said Tract No.45; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said Tract No.45 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that the sum of \$11.40 paid into Court by Petitioner as one-fifth just compensation for Tract No.45 be paid unto the said Annie Newcomber Foster, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Annie Newcomber Foster the said sum of \$11.40, it being one-fifth of the amount of the award set out in judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 45, taking from the said Annie Newcomber Foster a receipt therefor, and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

~~Ordered that this Court do now stand adjourned until the first day of~~

This day came Wm.F.Moffett, Attorney for the Commonwealth for Rappahannock County, by W.C.Armstrong, his Attorney, and also came the Compensation Board of the Commonwealth of Virginia, by Tyler, its attorney, and it appearing to the Court that the respondent has had due notice of the appeal of the said Moffett from its findings as of July 30th, 1934, fixing the compensation of said Commonwealth's Attorney at the sum of \$425.00 for the period beginning July 1, 1934, and ending December 31, 1934, the Court proceeded to hear the testimony of various witnesses and the argument of counsel for both parties.

Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the compensation for the said Attorney for the Commonwealth for the period aforesaid be and the same is hereby fixed and determined at the sum of \$650.00.

It is ordered that this Court do now stand adjourned until the first day of the next term as fixed by law.

J. R. Alexander, Judge.

*Papers filed
with July
Court Records
1934.*

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock.

We, Atha Nicholson and Alice C. Nicholson, do hereby acknowledge ourselves to be justly indebted to, and do hereby confess judgment in favor of Henry A. Brown in the sum of \$110.52, with interest thereon from November 27th, 1932, until paid, and the costs of this proceeding, subject to a credit of \$10.00 as of May 31, 1933, and subject to a further credit of \$25.00 as of Sept. 18, 1934, hereby waiving the benefit of our Homestead Exemption as to the same.

Judgment confessed before me this Sept. 18, 1934 in my office Jas. M. Scute clerk.

Given under our hands and seals this 18th day of September, 1934.
Witness signature and mark of Atha Nicholson:
Ruth Stevens
his Atha X Nicholson (SEAL) mark
Alice C. Nicholson (SEAL)

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock.

The foregoing judgment was duly confessed before me in my said office on the 18th day of September, nineteen hundred and thirty-four, at 12:00 o'clock M., and has been duly entered of record in Common Law Order Book number "H", page 301.

Teste: *Jas. M. Scute*, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, Petitioner,

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

LIZZIE NICHOLSON & C.J.MILLER & C.

This day appeared Lizzie Nicholson and C.J. Miller by their respective attorneys, before me, J R H Alexander, Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, in vacation at Leesburg, Virginia, and upon their joint motion, leave is given them to file their joint petition in this proceeding for the payment to them of the sum of \$213.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment for condemnation for Tract No. 199-1, which said award has heretofore been paid into the custody of this Court by the above named petitioner.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein; that in the opinion of the petitioner the said C.J. Miller is invested with a fee simple title in and to said tract of land No. 199-1, subject to a conflicting claim of the said Lizzie Nicholson, and reported in these proceedings as a lap of C.J. Miller; and it appearing to the Court that the said Lizzie Nicholson and C.J. Miller, have by agreement, settled their differences herein, and that the said Lizzie Nicholson has released all of her right, title and interest whatsoever in said tract of land, and therefore the said C.J. Miller is entitled to receive the total award for said Tract No. 199-1, in the sum of \$213.00; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due on the said property have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is adjudged and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$213.00, paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 199-1, be paid out and disbursed as follows, to-wit: To Wm. F. Moffett, Attorney for C.J. Miller, the said sum of \$213.00, and the Clerk of this Court is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Wm. F. Moffett, attorney for C.J. Miller, the said sum of \$213.00, the amount of award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 199-1, and certify such payment to

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

The State Commission on Conservation and
Development of the State of Virginia, ----- Petitioner

V. AT LAW NO. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others and Thirty-Seven
Thousand, Four Hundred (37,400) Acres of
Land, more or less, ----- Defendants.

This cause came on this 24th day of October, 1934, to be heard before the
Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, sitting in vacation
at Leesburg, Virginia, upon the petition of J.Allen Williams and Paul Taylor, this
day filed praying for the final distribution due on Tracts Nos. 151 and 151-A in
said cause.

It is adjudged and ordered, that from the award upon said tracts of land
made by the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County in these proceedings there be
distributed the following:

To J.Allen Williams \$ 4,036.85
To Paul Taylor \$ 5,250.21

and the Clerk of the Court is hereby ordered and directed to transmit a certified
copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay to J.Allen Williams
and Paul Taylor the amounts above set forth as may be necessary to carry out this
order and make final distribution in accordance therewith. The above payments
being in full settlement of the interests of said J.Allen Williams and Paul Taylor.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County will enter the fore-
going upon the current Common Law Order Book of his Court as a vacation order.

Given under my hand in vacation at Leesburg, Virginia, this 24th day of
October, 1934.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Oct. 29th, 1934.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered
as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSER-
VATION & DEVELOPMENT OF THE
STATE OF VIRGINIA.

VS.) (ORDER OF DISBURSEMENT.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C.

On this the 30th day of October, 1934, came R. M. Dwyer, owner, and on
his motion leave is hereby given him to file his application, in recess of the
court, for the payment to him of the sum of Two Hundred (\$200.00) Dollars, the am-
ount of the award set out in the judgement for condemnation for tract No. 72-I, and
the sum of One Hundred Forty (\$140.00) Dollars, the amount of the award set out in
the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 72-II, making the aggregate award for
said two tracts the sum of Three Hundred Forty (\$340.00) Dollars, which said awards
have heretofore been paid into court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commis-

signers heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that said Tract No. 72-I is a lap on the lands of William C. Overall's heirs, but that said heirs of said William C. Overall have disclaimed in open court any claim to or title in said Tract No. 72-I and/ or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that said Tract No. 72-II is a lap on the lands of Mary Ann Roy's heirs, but that said heirs of said Mary Ann Roy have disclaimed in open court any claim to or title in said Tract No. 72-II and / or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the titles to said Tracts Nos. 72-I and 72-II; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said Tracts No. 72-I and 72-II have been paid, upon consideration, whereof, it is considered and ordered by the court that the said sum of Three Hundred Forty (\$340.00) Dollars, the aggregate amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for the said Tracts Nos. 72-I and 72-II, be paid to the said R. M. Dwyer, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay to Ford & Keyser Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for the said R. M. Dwyer, the aggregate sum of \$340.00, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County is directed to spread this order on the records of his office as a Recess Order.

Given under my hand this October 30th, 1934.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

Received in Rappahannock County Clerk's Office Oct. 31, 1934, and entered as directed. Teste: *Jas. M. Settle* Clerk.
XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA.

VA.) (ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO R. M. DWYER.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C.

On this the 30th day of October, 1934, came R. M. Dwyer, owner, and on his motion leave is granted him to file his application for the payment to him of the sum of Seventy-Five (475.00) Dollars, the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 78 -b, which said sum has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner the said R. M. Dwyer is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to said tract of land No. 78-b, and/or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, but that one H. H. Baker had alleged some interest or claim in and to said tract of land or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 78-b, and that the said H. H. Baker having this day filed in Court a written waiver and / or disclaimer as to any title to or interest in said Tract No. 78-b, or the proceeds arising from the

condemnation thereof; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said Tract No. 78-b have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$75.00, the amount paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 78-b be paid to the said R. M. Dwyer, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Ford and Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for said R. M. Dwyer the said sum of \$75.00, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County is directed to spread this order on the records of his office as a Recess Order.

Given under my hand this October 30th, 1934.

Received in Rappahannock County Clerk's Office on Oct. 31, 1934, and entered as directed. J. R. H. Alexander, Judge. Teste: *Jas. M. Settle* Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA.

VS.) (ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C.

On this, the 30th day of October, 1934, came R. M. Dwyer, Thomas B. Dwyer, Lucy Ann Dwyer and Dora Alice Dwyer, joint owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to file their application, in recess of the Court, for the payment of the sum of \$872.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgement of condemnation for Tract No. 68-I, which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in a petition for judgement and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that said Tract No. 68-I is a lap the lands of on the heirs of William C. Overall, Deceased, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the title of said tract No. 68-I, and that the said heirs of William C. Overall, Deceased, have heretofore disclaimed any and all interest, or claim, to said Tract No. 68-I in Rappahannock County, or the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said tract have been paid, upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$872.50, the amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 68-I be paid over to the said R. M. Dwyer, Thomas B. Dwyer, Lucy Ann Dwyer, and Dora Alice Dwyer, and that the Clerk of this Court, be, and he is hereby, directed, to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for the said R. M. Dwyer, Thomas B. Dwyer, Lucy Ann Dwyer and Dora Alice Dwyer, the said sum of \$ 872.50, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

Exid.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County is directed to spread this order on the records of his office as a recess order.

Given under my hand this October 30th, 1934.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:
Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Oct. 31, 1934.

The foregoing order was this day received in the said office and entered as there-
in directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA.

VS.) (ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO THOMAS B. DWYER.
CLIFTON AYLOR, &C.

On this, the 30th day of October, 1934, came Thomas B. Dwyer, and on
his motion leave is granted him to file his application for the payment to him of
the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10.00), the amount of the award set out in the judgement
of condemnation for Tract No. 73-b, which said sum has heretofore been paid into
Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commission-
ers heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgement and condemn-
ation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the
petitioner the said Thomas B. Dwyer is invested with a superior or better right or
claim of title in and to said tract of land No. 73-b, and / or to the proceeds
arising from the condemnation thereof, and that he is therefore entitled to receive
the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said tract, and it is further appear-
ing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon con-
sideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$10.00
paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 73-b, be paid
unto the said petitioner, Thomas B. Dwyer, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and
he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer
of Virginia, who shall pay unto Ford & Keyser, Luray, Page County, Virginia, attor-
neys of record for said Thomas B. Dwyer the sum of \$10.00, the amount of the award
set out in the judgement of condemnation for said Tract No. 73-b and certify such
payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County is directed to
spread this order on the records of his office as a Recess Decree.

Given under my hand this October 30th, 1934.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:
Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Oct. 31, 1934.

The foregoing order was this day received in the said office and entered as
therein directed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA.

VS.) (ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO HEIRS OF
CLIFTON AYLOR, &C. ALFRED H. DWYER, DEC'D.

On this, the 30th day of October, 1934, came the heirs of Alfred H.
Dwyer, deceased, joint owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to
file their application, in recess of Court, for the payment of the sum of Eight

Pursuant to Sec. 4852 of the Code of Virginia, as amended by an Act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March 5, 1934, the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, is ordered to issue a venire facias to the sheriff of said County, commanding him to summons five (5) persons, to be named in the writ, and to be drawn from the regular Grand Jury list furnished by the Judge of this Court, to appear on the first day of the November Term of this Court, to serve as Grand Jurors for said Term.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing order upon the current common law order book of his court as a vacation order.

Given under my hand, in vacation, at Leesburg, Virginia, this 2nd day of November, 1934.

J R H Alexander, Judge of the
Circuit Court of Rappahannock
County, Virginia.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Nov. 3rd, 1934.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

XX

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock

I, Maude D.Gore, hereby acknowledge myself to be justly indebted to, and do hereby confess judgment in favor of Southern Chemical Company in the sum of \$236.00 with interest thereon from the 3rd day of August, 1932 until paid, and the costs of this proceeding (including the attorney's fee provided for in the instrument on which this proceeding is based), hereby waiving the benefit of my homestead exemption as to the same.

Given under my hand and seal this 1st day of November, 1934.

Maude D.Gore (SEAL)

VIRGINIA:

In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock:

The foregoing judgment was duly confessed before me in my said office on the 1st day of November, nineteen hundred and thirty-four, at 12:30 o'clock P.M., and has been duly entered of record in Common Law Order Book number "H", page 310.

Teste: *Jas. M. Settle*, Clerk.

XX

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner

vs:

Clifton Aylor and others, and Thirty-Seven Thousand Four Hundred (37,400) Acres of land, more or less, in Rappahannock County, Defendants

IN RE: Tract No. 11-1-J

ORDER

This day came Phyllis Gloria Bailey and Virginia E. Bailey, by counsel, and presented to the court their motion for the revival of the above styled suit insofar as it pertains to Tract No. 11-1-J, in the names of Phyllis Gloria Bailey and Virginia E. Bailey as parties defendant thereto, in the place and stead of A.G. Bailey; in which motion the death of A.G. Bailey during the pendency of the above suit was suggested;

This judgment confessed before me in my office this NOV. 1 - 1934 at 12:30 P.M. Teste: Jas. M. Settle Clerk

And there being no objections thereto, J.K.Marlowe, the only other claimant of said tract, having approved said motion by counsel, the court doth adjudge and order that the above styled suit be and hereby is revived in the names of Phyllis Gloria Bailey and Virginia E.Bailey as parties defendant thereto, in the place and stead of A.G.Bailey, deceased, insofar as said suit applies to Tract No. 11-1-J.

And it appearing to the Court that Phyllis Gloria Bailey one of the defendants herein, is an infant, on the motion of Virginia E.Bailey, the court doth appoint W.V.Ford, a discreet and competent attorney at law, as guardian ad litem to said infant, and doth direct that he do all that is necessary to protect the rights of said infant in this suit.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County is directed to record this order as a vacation order.

Given under my hand in Recess at Warrenton, Virginia, this Sept. 27, 1934.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Nov. 3rd, 1934.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

XX

AT A CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, AT THE COURTHOUSE OF SAID COURT, OF SAID COUNTY, ON MONDAY THE 12TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FOUR, AND IN THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY-NINTH YEAR OF OUR COMMONWEALTH.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J R H ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

L.R.Browning, foreman, D.C.Updike, L.R.Hudson, C.W.Hawkins and R.S.Farish, were sworn a regular grand jury of inquest in and for the body of the County of Rappahannock, and having received their charge, retired to their room, and after some time returned into court and reported the following indictments, to-wit: an indictment against Hamilton Fletcher Green for a felony, a true bill; an indictment against Willie Smith for a felony, a true bill; an indictment against Haller H.Beach for a felony, a true bill; an indictment against Woodrow Coughlin for a felony, a true bill; and an indictment against Jim Smoot for a felony, not a true bill, and having nothing further to report they are discharged.

Ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to D.C.Updike \$1.50; L.R. Hudson \$3.10; C.W.Hawkins \$2.90; R.S.Farish \$2.90 and to L.R.Browning \$2.80, the sum set opposite the name of each for their services and mileage at this present term as Grand Jurors.

This day Charles H.Bowen, a member of the electoral Board for Rappahannock County, tendered in writing his resignation as such, which resignation the Court doth accept; whereupon a vacancy now exists in the Electoral Board for said County, caused by the aforesaid resignation, the Court doth appoint Sam Compton, a resident of said County, as a member of said Board, to fill the ~~vacancy~~ unexpired term of said C.H.Bowen resigned; and the said Sam Compton will qualify as such before the Clerk of this Court by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an indictment for felony

Thomas Hunter

This day came the attorney for the Commonwealth who sayeth that he will not prosecute further on behalf of the Commonwealth against this defendant, and the Court assenting thereto, it is ordered that this prosecution be dismissed.

H.C.Croft, Plaintiff

vs: Upon a Notice of Motion for Judgment

W.F.Moffett

Upon motion of the plaintiff, by his counsel, it is ordered this action be dismissed at his costs.

IN RE: PETITION OF ANNA NOAKES.

It appearing from the petition that Anna Noakes, widow of Wm. Noakes, dec'd. and from the answer of E.E.Johnson, Trustee, filed with said petition, and from affidavit also filed with said petition that Anna Noakes is entitled to a dower in one-third of \$813.00, (eight hundred and thirteen dollars) the rest and residue over and above the cost and secured indebtedness arising from the sale of the property under a deed of trust from Wm. Noakes and wife, to E.E.Johnson, Trustee, dated April 2nd, 1932; and that Anna Noakes has petitioned the Court that her said dower interest in said funds be commuted to her as per Section 5133a, of the Code, and it appearing that the amount due Anna Noakes, widow of Wm. Noakes, as her dower if commuted is one hundred and eighty-three dollars and sixty seven cents, \$183.67. It is therefore ordered that the Trustee, E.E.Johnson, be and he is ordered to commute the dower interest due Anna Noakes and pay her the said sum of one hundred and eighty-three dollars and sixty-seven cents, and disburse the rest of the money in his hands as per the terms of the said trust.

State Commission on Conservation & Development
of the State of Virginia, - - - - - Plaintiff,

v. UNLAWFUL DETAINER.

D.P.Curtis, - - - - - Defendant.

This day came the plaintiff by counsel and the defendant being solemnly called, came not.

Whereupon it being made to appear to the Court that the defendant has been duly served with process to answer the plaintiff's action and has had reasonable notice thereof and he still failing to appear, plead, answer or demur;

Upon motion of the plaintiff and upon the evidence submitted by it, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the said defendant, D.P. Curtis, possession of the land mentioned in the plaintiff's writ; and that the Clerk of this Court do issue a writ of possession for said lands and place the same in the hands of the sheriff of this County, who shall execute the same;

And it is further considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant, its costs by it in this behalf expended.

State Commission on Conservation & Development
of the State of Virginia, - - - - - Plaintiff,

v. UNLAWFUL DETAINER

Jonas M. Atkins, - - - - - Defendant.

This day came the plaintiff by counsel and the defendant being solemnly called, came not.

Whereupon it being made to appear to the Court that the defendant has been duly served with process to answer the plaintiff's action and has had reasonable notice thereof and he still failing to appear, plead, answer or demur;

Upon motion of the plaintiff and upon the evidence submitted by it, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the said defendant, Jonas M. Atkins, possession of the land mentioned in the plaintiff's writ; and that the Clerk of this Court do issue a writ of possession for said lands and place the same in the hands of the sheriff of this County, who shall execute the same;

And it is further considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant, its costs by it in this behalf expended.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an indictment for felony

Willie Smith

Willie Smith who stands indicted for a felony, was this day led to the bar of this Court in the custody of the Superintendent of the Penitentiary, and thereupon came the attorney for the Commonwealth, and said defendant being put upon his arraignment pleaded guilty to said indictment in person; and thereupon the Court, upon said plea of guilty tendered in person by the accused as aforesaid, and with the consent of the attorney for the commonwealth, which said consent is hereby entered of record, proceeded to hear and determine the case without the intervention of a jury; and the Court having heard the testimony of witnesses for the Commonwealth as well as the statement of the accused, doth ascertain the term of imprisonment of said defendant Willie Smith at TWO YEARS in the Penitentiary of this State, the same to begin when the term of imprisonment now being served by him shall have expured; and accordingly doth sentence him the said Willie Smith to confinement in the Penitentiary of the State of Virginia for the period of TWO YEARS, however the Court doth suspend said sentence during the good behavior of the accused, and on the condition that he be of good behavior and does not violate any of the rules or regulations of the Penitentiary or any Camp where he shall be confined for labor; and it appearing to the Court that said defendant is now and was at the time of the commission of the offence for which he was indicted and tried, a member of Convict Camp No. 22, now engaged upon work upon the public roads of this County, and as such in charge of Sergeant R.G.Holt representing the Superintendent of the Penitentiary of the State of Virginia, said defendant Willie Smith is remanded to the care and custody of said Sergeant, to be by him treated in all respects as the other convicts under his charge and custody; and it is further ordered that a copy of this order be certified to the Superintendent of the Penitentiary of this State; and the said Sergeant R.G.Holt appearing in open court the body of the said Willie Smith is delivered to him. And it is further ordered that the Commonwealth recover of said defendant her costs by her in this behalf expended.

2 Copies

Upon the Court's own motion, it is ordered that petitioner have prepared and placed in the record a map showing:

- (1) Entire location of old road and locations of outlets of any by-roads which enter it.
- (2) Division line between Mrs. V.I.Jacobs and Ivor Jacobs and acreage of each of the parties contained in boundaries of new road.
- (3) Ownership of lands on each side of new road.
- (4) Location of buildings of Mrs. Va. Jacobs land with reference to new road.
- (5) Map should show scale used.

And this cause is continued and set for trial on Thursday November 22, 1934.

The following witnesses for the defendants were duly recognized in open court in the sum of \$100.00 each for their appearance before the court on Thursday November 22, 1934, to-wit: J.J.Silvey, T.J.Finks, L.E.Hackley, A.H.Roseberry and Hugh Bywaters.

State Highway Commissioner v. A.M.Dearing and
State Highway Commissioner v. Ida Williams et als

and ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC

State Commission on Conservation and Development
for the State of Virginia v. Clifton Aylor et als

It appearing to the Court that the July term of this Court was adjourned on the 6th day of September, 1934, and that subsequent to that date several orders were entered by this Court as recess orders, to-wit:

An order was entered on the 10th day of October, 1934, re State Highway Commissioner v. A. M. Dearing, of record in this volumn at page 302, it being entered as a recess order; an order was entered on the 10th day of October, 1934, re State Highway Commissioner v. Ida Williams et als, of record in this volume at page 303, it being entered as a recess order; an order was entered on the 30th day of October, 1934, re State Commissioner on Conservation and Development for the State of Virginia v. Clifton Aylor et als, or record in this volume at page 304, it being entered as a recess order; an order was entered on the 30th day of October, 1934, re re State Commission on Conservation and Development for the State of Virginia v. Clifton Aylor et als, of record in this volume at page 305, it being entered as a recess order;

An order was entered on the 30th day of October, 1934, re State Commission on Conservation and Development for the State of Virginia v Clifton Aylor et als, of record in this volume at page 306, it being entered as a recess order; an order was entered on the 30th day of October, 1934, re State Commission on Conservation and Development for the State of Virginia v. Clifton Aylor et als, of record in this volume at page 307, it being entered as a recess order, and an order was entered on the 30th day of October, 1934, re State Commission on Conservation and Development for the State of Virginia v. Clifton Aylor et als, of record in this volume at page 307, it being entered as a recess order;

Upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered, nunc pro tunc, that the foregoing orders, designated above, be entered as vacation orders.

J. R. Alexander, Judge

AT A CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, AT THE COURTHOUSE OF SAID COURT, OF SAID COUNTY, ON MONDAY THE 14TH DAY OF JANUARY, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIVE, AND IN THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY-NINTH YEAR OF OUR COMMONWEALTH.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PHILLIP WILLIAMS, JUDGE OF THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT.

It is certified by the undersigned Judge of the 17th Judicial Circuit that he presided in this Court at the request of the Honorable J.R.H.Alexander, who is incapacitated from presiding by reason of sickness.

Phillip Williams, Judge

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA, IN RECESS.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development) In re:
of the State of Virginia) distribution
vs.) of award for
Clifton Aylor and others) Tract # 11-I.

ORDER

On this 1 day of February, 1935, came John K.Marlowe by counsel, and exhibited to the Court the report of Special Commissioner W.F.Moffett, which was rendered in obedience to an order entered in this cause on the 14th day of May, 1934, wherein it was reported that John K.Marlowe was the owner, on the date of the condemnation thereof, of the absolute fee simple title in and to Tract #11-I as shown on the County Ownership Map filed in these proceedings, and that the cost of the said reference should be paid by Virginia E.Bailey and Phyllis Gloria Bailey;

And, it appearing to the Court that said report has been filed in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County on the 15 day of January, 1935, that being more than ten days before the entrance of this order, and that there are no exceptions thereto, it is therefore, ordered that said report be and the same hereby is confirmed.

Thereupon, evidence was heard and considered upon the claim of John K.Marlowe to the proceeds of the award for Tract #11-I; from which, as well as from the record of these proceedings, submitted in evidence, it appears, without denial or dispute in the record or otherwise, that the said John K.Marlowe is vested with the superior or better right or claim of title as above indicated, in and to the property condemned; and that he is entitled to be paid the amount of compensation awarded therefor; and it is further ordered that John K.Marlowe pay the costs of the said reference, and that he recover the same, that is, the sum of \$32.75 of Virginia E.Bailey.

Therefore, it is ordered and adjudged, that the award for the condemnation of this property, amounting to the sum of Six Hundred Dollars (\$600.00), be distributed as follows:

1. To James M.Settle, Clerk, the sum of Thirty-two and 75/100 Dollars (\$32.75) as payment of the costs of the said reference.
2. To John K.Marlowe the remainder of the award amounting to the sum of Five Hundred Sixty Seven and 25/100 Dollars (\$567.25).

It is further ordered, that, from the funds held by him subject to the

orders of this Court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia shall make disbursement of this distribution, by checks made payable to the persons named, which he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, who shall deliver the same to the payees, and require proper receipts therefor, to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is ordered that the Clerk of this Court shall certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be his authority for making the distribution herein directed.

Clerk's Note: The following endorsement appears on the back of this order,
"Enter Alex".

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

It appearing from a paper writing from H.F.Keyser, Sheriff of Rappahannock County, Virginia, that said Sheriff has appointed Francis W.Slaughter as his deputy, and requested the Court's consent to said appointment;

Upon consideration, the undersigned, Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, hereby consents to such appointment, and the said appointee shall qualify as such before the clerk of the circuit court of said County, by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing order upon the current common law order book of his court as a recess order.

Given under my hand at Leesburg, Virginia, this 11th day of February, 1935.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Feb. 12, 1935.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

This day Francis W.Slaughter, who was by an order of the Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, entered on Feb. 11, 1935, in recess, appointed a deputy sheriff for Rappahannock county, Virginia, which said appointment was approved by said Judge, appeared before me, Julia S.Eastham, Deputy Clerk for said County, and duly qualified as such by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law, which said oaths are ordered to be filed.

Given under my hand this 12th day of February, 1935.

Julia S. Eastham, Deputy Clerk.

VIRGINIA: CLERK'S OFFICE OF RAPPAHANNOCK CIRCUIT COURT, FEBRUARY 25, 1935.

Jas.M.Lillard, who was by an order entered on February 13, 1935 by the Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, in recess of said court, appointed a Special Policeman for the County of Rappahannock for the term of One Year from his qualification, this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said office, and duly qualified as such by entering into and acknowledging a bond in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00), with W.C.Campbell and Wm.F.Moffett, as his sureties (who justified on oath as to their sufficiency) conditioned and payable according to law, and which said bond is ordered to be recorded by said Clerk, and also by taking and subscribing the oath prescribed by law, which oath is ordered to be filed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

V.

CLIFTON AYLOR, ET ALS.

On this ²⁵th day of February, 1935, came D.H.Kendall, W.O.Kendall, J.K.Kendall and Mrs. M.H.Abbott, the four heirs at law and next of kin of J.W.Kendall, deceased, owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to file their application for the payment of the sum of \$2195.00, the aggregate amount of the awards set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts Nos. 106-1 for the sum of \$60.00, and for Tract No. 106-11 for the sum of \$2135.00, respectively, which said awards have heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners and the report of the Board of Arbitration heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 14th day of March, 1934, that said Tract No. 106-1 is a lap upon the lands of Ann P. Jolliffe's heirs, and that Tract No. 106-11 is a lap upon the lands of Julia C.Overall's heirs, but that the said Ann P.Jolliff's Heirs have filed a written disclaimer of any claim to or interest in said Tract No. 106-1 or to the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, but that the said Julia C.Overall's heirs have filed a written disclaimer of any claim to or interest in said Tract No. 106-11 or the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, and that the record in this case does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tracts Nos. 106-1 and 106-11; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said Tracts Nos. 106-1 and 106-11 have have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$2195.00, the aggregate amount paid for Tract No. 106-1, ^{\$60.00} and for Tract No. 106-11, \$2135.00, respectively, the amounts paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tracts Nos. 106-1 and 106-11 be paid to said D.H.Kendall, W.O.Kendall, J.K.Kendall and Mrs. M.H.Abbott, the four children and heirs at law of said J.W.Kendall, deceased, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto S.L.Walton, Luray, Virginia, Attorney of record for said D.H.Kendall, W.O.Kendall, J.K.Kendall and Mrs. M.H.Abbott, the said aggregate sum of \$2195.00, being the amounts of the awards

set out in judgment of condemnation for said Tracts Nos. 106-1, \$60.00, and for 106-11, \$2135.00, respectively, and certify such payments to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

V.

CLIFTON AYLOR, ET ALS.

On this, the 25th day of February, 1935, came E.G.Brumback and J.F.Brumback, two of the six heirs at law and J.F.Brumback, Executor of the estate of J.B.Brumback, deceased, owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to file their application for the payment of the sum of \$1200.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 70-1, (which has been inadvertently listed by the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners as belonging to E.G.Brumback), which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners and the report of the Board of Arbitrators heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 14th day of March, 1934, that said Tract No. 70-1 is a lap upon the lands of Julia C.Overall's Heirs, but that the said Julia C.Overall's Heirs have filed a written disclaimer of any claim to or interest in said Tract No. 70-1 or the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 70-1; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said Tract No. 70-1 have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$1200.00, the amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 70-1, be paid to said J.F. Brumback, Executor of the estate of said J.B.Brumback, deceased, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto S.L.Walton, Luray, Virginia, Attorney of record for said J.F.Brumback, Executor of said J.B.Brumback, deceased, the said sum of \$1200.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 70-1, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

THE STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

V.

CLIFTON AYLOR, ET ALS.

On this, the 25th day of February, 1935, came E.G.Brumback, owner, and on his motion leave is hereby given him to file his petition and application for the payment of the sum of \$1110.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 71-1, (which had been inadvertently listed by the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal Commissioners as belonging to the J.B.Brumback estate), which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners and the report of the Board of Arbitrators heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for condemnation and judgment entered herein on the 14th day of March, 1934, that said Tract No. 71-1 is a lap on the lands of Julia C.Overall's Heirs, but that said Julia C.Overall's Heirs have filed a written disclaimer of any claim to or interest in said Tract No. 71-1 or the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 71-1; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due and exigible upon said Tract No. 71-1 have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$1110.00, the amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 71-1, be paid to said E.G. Brumback, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto S.L.Walton, Luray, Virginia, Attorney of record for said E.G.Brumback, the said sum of \$1110.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 71-1, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock:

I, E.W.Atkins, do hereby acknowledge myself to be justly indebted to, and do hereby confess judgment in favor of The Luray Supply Company, Inc., in the sum of EIGHTY FIVE AND 00/100 DOLLARS (\$85.00), with interest thereon from August 15th, 1934, until paid, and the costs of this proceeding, hereby waiving the benefit of my Homestead Exemption as to the same.

Given under my hand and seal this 1st day of March, 1935.

E.W.Atkins (SEAL)

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock:

The foregoing judgment was duly confessed before me in my said office on the 1st. day of March, nineteen hundred and thirty-five, at 3:45 o'clock P.M., and has been duly entered of record in Common Law Order Book "H", page 330.

Teste: *Jas. M. Settle*, Clerk.

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock,

I, E.W.Atkins, hereby acknowledge myself to be justly indebted to, and do hereby confess judgment in favor of Merchants Grocery Company, Inc., in the sum of One Hundred Twenty and 06/100 Dollars (\$120.06), with interest thereon from the 6th day of March, nineteen hundred and thirty-five, until paid, and the costs of this proceeding, and 10% attorney's fees for collection as provided in note sued on, hereby waiving the benefit of my homestead exemptions as to the same.

Given under my hand, this 6th day of March, nineteen hundred and thirty-five.

E.W.Atkins

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of the County of Rappahannock.

The foregoing judgment was duly confessed before me in my said office on the 6th day of March, nineteen hundred and thirty-five, at 9:30 o'clock A.M., and has been duly entered of record in common law order book number "H", page 330.

Teste: *Jas. M. Settle*, Clerk.

E.H.Hutton, trading as Hutton & Payne,

v. Upon a notice of motion for judgment

Eastham R.Eva.

This day came the parties by their attorneys; and the defendant, by counsel, withdrew her former plea of nil debit; and the defendant though solemnly called came not; and it appearing that the defendant has had legal notice of this motion and that the notice alleges that the note sued on has been reported for taxation for each year of which the plaintiff was the owner thereof, and no jury being required, it is considered by the court that the plaintiff, E.H.Hutton, trading as Hutton & Payne, recover of the defendant R.Eva Eastham the sum of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-SEVEN DOLLARS AND FORTY-NINE CENTS (\$127.49) with interest thereon from June 1st, 1933, until paid, together with the costs of this proceeding; Upon an instrument waiving the homestead.

The following fiduciary reports made by Botts Strother, Commissioner of Accounts of this Court, having been filed in the Clerk's Office of said Court for a period of thirty days or more, it is certified that the Court made a personal examination of said reports, and no errors appearing in any one of them, and no exceptions being filed thereto, it is ordered that said reports be and the same are hereby confirmed and ordered to be recorded:

W.A.Yowell, Admr. of Harriet Thomas, dec'd.
G.B.Putnam, Admr. of E.M.Putnam, dec'd.
Lucy G.Browning, Exrix of Edgar R.Browning, dec'd.
Adelaide Pringle Fund - Episcopal Church
The Broomfield Rectory Fund- " "
Ira L.Brown, Admx. Lewis Brown, dec'd.
W.E.Lillard, Exor. of Jno. F.Lillard, dec'd.
R.E.Manuel, Trustee for H.F.Manuel
Grace L.Jenkins, Gdn for Wm. K.Smith (Infant)
C.R.Wood, Admr. of B.J.Wood, dec'd.
Ertha V.Hawkins, Gdn. for Amos A.Hawkins, et als
John Williams, Admr. J.Lovell Williams, dec'd.
Geo.W.Scott, Gdn. for Marie Scott
F.S.McCandlish, Trustee's acct. Thos. J.Finka deed of trust
E.E.Johnson, Trustee for William Noakes Est.
Anna Noakes, Admx. of William Noakes, dec'd.

The following accounts against the Commonwealth were this day presented to the Court and being personally examined by the Court and found to be correct, the same are hereby approved and allowed, and it is ordered that said accounts be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the Public Treasury, to-wit:

Mrs. L.H.Hitt, Jailor	Board of Prisoners	\$116.00
E.W.Brown, Jail Physician	Attending " in Jail	31.00
John R.Lawrence, Constable	Criminal fees	4.88
H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Court attendance	2.00
Francis Slaughter, D.S.	" "	2.00

J. S. Alexander, Judge.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO DAVID H. HERSHBERGER
AND THE HEIRS-AT-LAW OF D.B.HERSHBERGER, DECEASED. TRACT NO. 110-11.

CLIFTON AYLOR, ETC.

On this, the 15th day of March, 1935, came David H.Hershberger, and the heirs-at-law of D.B.Hershberger, deceased, viz: E.N.Hershberger, W.P.Hershberger, Frank Hershberger, J.D.Hershberger, and Anna M.Hershberger, by their attorney, J.Everett Will, who heretofore by leave of Court filed their joint petition for the payment to them of the sum of Two Hundred Fifty-five (\$255.00) dollars, the

amount of the award set out in the judgment for condemnation for Tract No. 110-11, which said award has heretofore been paid unto the Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein, that in the opinion of petitioners, the said David H. Hersberger and the heirs at law of D.B. Hersberger, deceased, are invested with a fee simple title in and to said tract of land No. 110-11, subject to a conflicting claim resulting from a lap on the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased, and it further appearing to the Court that the said heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe, deceased, make no claim to the said tract of land or the funds derived from the condemnation thereof, and therefore, the said David H. Hersberger and the heirs at law of D.B. Hersberger, deceased, are entitled to receive the sum of Two Hundred Fifty-five (\$255.00) Dollars, in the following proportions, to-wit: David H. Hersberger, $\frac{1}{2}$; E.N. Hersberger, $\frac{1}{10}$; W.P. Hersberger $\frac{1}{10}$; Frank Hersberger $\frac{1}{10}$; J.D. Hersberger $\frac{1}{10}$; and Anna M. Hersberger $\frac{1}{10}$; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible upon said tract No. 110-11, have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is adjudged, ordered and decreed by the Court that the said sum of Two Hundred Fifty-five (\$255.00) Dollars, paid unto the Court by petitioners as just compensation for said tract No. 110-11, be paid out and disbursed as follows, to-wit: To J. Everett Will, Luray, Page County, Virginia, attorney of record for David H. Hersberger and the heirs at law of D.B. Hersberger, deceased, viz: E.N. Hersberger, W.P. Hersberger, Frank Hersberger, J.D. Hersberger and Anna E. Hersberger, as their interests hereinabove appear, and the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said J. Everett Will, attorney for the above mentioned petitioners, the sum of Two Hundred Fifty-five (\$255.00) Dollars, the amount of award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said tract No. 110-11, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

Given under my hand in Recess this March 15, 1935.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, March 16, 1935.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: *Jas. M. Settle*, Clerk.

The following endorsement appears on the margin of the foregoing order- "Seen & approved
W.V. Ford, atty for heirs of
Ann P. Jolliffe. March 13, 1935."

IN RE: PETITION J.J.BARRON, ET ALS, FOR CONDEMNATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ROAD ACROSS VIRGINIA I. JACOBS AND IVA JACOBS.

This matter came on for hearing on the 13th day of May, 1935, and was submitted to the jury and the jury entered its verdict awarding Mrs. Virginia I. Jacobs five hundred and seventy-one dollars, (\$571.00), covering damages, the value of the land and the amount allowed for gates and fence, and also allowed Iva Jacobs three hundred and eighty-three dollars (\$383.00), covering the damages and value of land and the up keep of fences and gates, whereupon the defendants, Virginia I. Jacobs and Iver Jacobs by counsel moved the court to set aside the verdict as contrary to the law and evidence, and the court having taken the motion under advisement, and Virginia I. Jacobs and Iva Jacobs through counsel, having suggested to the court that if that portion of the present road beginning at the north end of the proposed new road leading south-westernly through the lands of Virginia I. Jacobs to the first crossing of the run would be closed upon the completion of the proposed new road, that Virginia I. Jacobs and Iva Jacobs would withdraw their motion to set aside the verdict of the jury and consent to the findings of the jury; and the court being of the opinion from the evidence that the north end of the present road beginning at the entersection of the proposed road and running in a south-westernly direction to the first ford, where the present road first crosses what is known as White Oak Run should be abandoned and closed upon the completion of the proposed new road, doth adjudge and order that upon the completion of the proposed new road set out and described in this cause across the lands of Virginia I. Jacobs and Iva Jacobs, that the north end of the present road leading from the entersection of the proposed new road with the present road to the first ford where the road crosses what is known as White Oak Run be abandoned and closed, and the court doth further order that the present road leading from said crossing or ford of White Oak Run to where it now entersects with the proposed new road be left open and continued as it is now located for the use and benefit of such persons living on such road as may require use of the same; and the court doth further ratify and sustain the findings of the jury as to the amount of damages allowed Virginia I. Jacobs and Iva Jacobs.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia ----- Petitioner,

v.

Clifton Aylor, and others, and 37,400 acres of land, more or less ----- Defendants.

On this 13th day of May, 1935, came J.T. Kelly, by counsel and the State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, by counsel, and moved the Court to distribute the proceeds of a condemnation of Tracts 59-I, \$607.00); 59-III (284.50); Tract No. 59-IV (\$8.00) and 59-V (67.00) pursuant to the application in writing of said J.T. Kelly, and of said Commission heretofore filed herein;

Thereupon evidence was heard and considered upon the said applications, and it appearing that although the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed herein, recited that the J.C. Overall heirs might be entitled to some claim to the proceeds of condemnation of Tract 59-I; that the heirs of Mary Anne Roy might be entitled to claim some portion of the proceeds of condemnation of tract 59-III; that the heirs of John J. Miller, deceased, might be entitled to claim the

proceeds of condemnation of tract No. 59-IV and that the heirs of Julia C. Overall, deceased, might be entitled to claim some portion of the proceeds of condemnation of trat No. 59-V, yet no person except the above named applicants have made or filed any claim to the proceeds of condemnation of any of said tracts since the judgment of condemnation which was entered on November 13th, 1933;

And it now appearing from the evidence that the said J.T. Kelly had the better claim of title to the lands at the time they were condemned and by reason thereof has a better claim to the proceeds of condemnation thereof;

And it further appearing that the claim of the State Commission on Conservation & Development is founded upon a promise by said J.T. Kelly to donate lands to Shenandoah National Park and that the same is in no way a lien upon the funds for distribution; but if further appearing that said J.T. Kelly is willing to pay out of the funds to be distributed, the sum of \$250.00 to said Commission, in full discharge of their claim;

And it further appearing that the Commission by its Attorney, in open Court states that he accepts for the Commission, the said sum of \$250.00 in full of its said claim;

Therefore, it is adjudged and ordered that the award for the condemnation of said tracts be distributed as follows:

To said State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, \$250.00; and to said J.T. Kelly the residue, amounting to the sum of \$716.50.

It is further ordered that from the fund held by him, subject to the orders of this Court, in this proceeding, the Treasurer of Virginia, shall make disbursement of this distribution by a check made payable to said J.T. Kelly and to said State Commission on Conservation & Development in the amounts above stated, which checks he shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, who shall deliver the same to the parties, and require a proper receipt therefor to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be his authority for making the distribution herein directed .

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA

VS.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C

ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO W.V.FORD,
ATTORNEY FOR THE ESTATE OF A.G.
BAILEY IN THE CHANCERY CAUSE OF
CURTIS VS. BLAYDES.

On this, the 13th day of May, 1935, came W.V. Ford, associate counsel for the estate of A.G. Bailey in the chancery cause of Curtis vs. Blaydes now pending in the Circuit Court of Spotsylvania County, Virginia, who had been designated by said Court by a decree entered therein on the 10th day of April, 1935, for the purpose of filing a petition and having distribution made of the award for the real estate belonging to the estate of A.G. Bailey, deceased, and on his motion leave is hereby given him to file his petition and application for the distribution of the sum of Twenty-Eight (\$28.00) Dollars, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 55, which said sum has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered therein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner the said A.G. Bailey is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to said Tract No. 55 and/or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tract No. 55, and further that there are no taxes due nor exigible upon said tract of land, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$28.00 paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said tract No. 55 be paid to W.V. Ford, Attorney for the estate of A.G. Bailey in the chancery cause of Curtis vs. Blaydes, and the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto W.V. Ford, Luray, Virginia, Attorney for the estate of A.G. Bailey in the chancery cause of Curtis vs. Blaydes the sum of \$28.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 55, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

Exd.

Ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to H.C. Seal the sum of ^{2.72} ~~\$3.22~~ for his per diem and mileage due him as a witness for the commonwealth, which said amount shall be repaid to said Treasurer out of the State Treasury.

The following accounts against the commonwealth were this presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the court and found to be correct, are allowed, and the same are ordered to be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the public Treasury, to-wit:

Mrs. L.H.Hitt, Jailor	Board of Prisoners etc.	\$227.05
- H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Court attendance	2.00
F.W.Slaughter, D.S.	same	2.00

Susan VanSant	vs	M.N.Durrer	M for J
John VanSant	vs	Do	M for J
Lois VanSant	vs	Do	M for J
Nethers	vs	Thornhill's admr	M for J
Walter & c	vs	Dearing &c	Issue out of Chy
State Highway Comr	vs	Robinson	Petition &c
Edmunds, Spec. Recr	vs	Kinsey et al	Appeal
Johnson	vs	Smith	Appeal

Upon motion, all the foregoing actions are continued to the first day of the next term of this court.

J.R.H. Alexander, Judge

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSIONER

V. ORDER

KATHLEEN ROBINSON, Ida L. Robinson,
 MATTIE B. ROBINSON, VIRGINIA R. FRISTOE
 AND L.J. FRISTOE, HER HUSBAND, CORNELIA
 R. PANCOST AND L.J. PANCOST, HER HUSBAND,
 ANNIE R. HUYETT AND H.H. HUYETT, HER
 HUSBAND, GEORGIA HUYETT AND J.B. HUYETT,
 HER HUSBAND, ANNIE W. REAMY, W.O. REAMY, JR.,
 AND W.H. ROBINSON, JR., THE LAST THREE BEING INFANTS.

It appearing to the Court that more than ten days' notice of the State Highway Commissioner's intention to apply to Judge J.R.H. Alexander, Judge

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

Town of Washington, Virginia,
Order Appointing Commissioners
Bessie J. Huff, et als.

It appearing to the Court that the proper notice of the intention of the Town of Washington, Virginia, to apply to this Court for the appointment of Commissioners to ascertain what will be a just compensation for the land and other property proposed to be condemned in these proceedings for the uses and purposes ~~of~~ the Town of Washington, Virginia, and in the construction, re-construction, maintenance and repair of a water works system for said Town, through the lands of the defendants as set forth in the petition filed in this cause, and to award damages, if any, resulting to the adjacent, or other property of the owners, or to the property of any other person beyond the peculiar benefits that will accrue to such properties, respectively, from the construction, re-construction, maintenance and repair of said water works system of said Town and the use thereof by the general public of said Town, has been given to Bessie J. Huff, one of the owners of said land, and to W.O. Dabney, a competent attorney at law practicing before the bar of this court, and the duly appointed Guardian ad Litem, of the three infant defendants, to-wit; Thomas Huff, Frank Huff and Allie P. Huff, the other part owners of said land, by written notice, which is returned and filed in this cause according to law, and the answer of W.O. Dabney, Guardian ad Litem duly filed, and that on the 29 day of May, 1935, the Town of Washington, Virginia, filed in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County Virginia, a petition, Plat, Statement and Memorandum in compliance with the provisions of law for such cases made and provided, and that the land and other property sought to be condemned in these proceedings is necessary and wanted for the uses and purposes of the Town of Washington, Virginia, and in the construction, re-construction, maintenance and repair of said water works system through the lands of the said owners as aforesaid; that the land and other property proposed to be condemned lies within the County of Rappahannock, Virginia;

The Court doth adjudge, order and decree that this cause be docketed, and doth appoint Jno. A. Keyser, Wade H. Massie, Jr., C.E. Johnson, J. Frank Jones and J.E. Keyser five disinterested freeholders, residing in said County of Rappahannock, Virginia, any three or more of whom may act, for the purpose of ascertaining a just compensation for such lands or other property and awarding the damages, if any, resulting to the adjacent or other property of the owners, or to the property of any other person beyond the peculiar benefits that will accrue to such properties, respectively, from the construction, re-construction, maintenance and repair of said water works system, and the use thereof to the general public of the said Town;

But before the Commissioners shall act under this order they shall be duly sworn according to law;

And the Court doth designate the 31st day of May, 1935, at 11 o'clock a.m., for said Commissioners to meet for such purposes.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing recess order in the proper order book of his Court.

Given under my hand in recess this 29 day of May, 1935.

J.R.H. Alexander, Judge.

G.H.Hudson \$3.49; Charles Spicer \$3.15; Lewis C.Corbin \$2.47; S.R.Shackelford \$2.98; T.H.Utz \$3.15; Sam Compton \$3.23; Fred L.Mitchell \$3.49; W.Jack Bruce \$2.47; Landon Luttrell \$3.32; A.Lee Bowersett \$2.98; J.G.Revercomb \$3.40; D.C.Updike \$2.13, and E.W.Gore \$2.89, the sum set opposite the name of each for their per diem attendance and mileage at this present term as jurors for the trial of criminal prosecutions, which said amounts shall be certified to the State Comptroller and be repaid to the said Treasurer out of the State Treasury.

The following accounts against the commonwealth were this day presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the court, are allowed, and it is ordered that said accounts be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the Public Treasury, viz:

Mrs. L.H.Hitt, Jailor	Board of Prisoners, etc.	\$217.75
Jas.M.Lillard, Special Police-	Summoning witnesses etc.	102.68
F.W.Slaughter, D.S.	" " "	51.42
Jno. A.Compton, J.P.	Criminal fees	8.00
H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Ex. Writ of Venire Facias	5.00
H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Court attendance	4.00
L.H.Hitt, D.S.	same	4.00

Ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to Will Hitt \$10.20; Irma Hitt \$10.20; Dr. J.G.Brown \$1.36, and to Dr. Jno. P.Snead \$0.94, the sum set opposite the name of each for their per diem attendance and mileage as witnesses in the trial of felony prosecutions, which said amounts shall be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the public treasury.

Nethers	vs	Thornhill's admr.	M for J
Walters	vs	Dearing	Issue out of Chy
F.E.Edmunds, Sp. Recr.	vs.	J.F.Kinsey et als	Appeal
Johnson	vs	Smith	Appeal
Walters	vs	Keyser, admr. et als.	Appeal

Upon motion, it is ordered that the foregoing actions be continued until the first day of the next term of this court.

Susan Van Sant	vs	M.N.Durrer
John " "	vs	same
Lois " "	vs	same

Upon motion, it is ordered that a rule issue against the plaintiffs in these actions, to show cause, if any they can, why the same should not be dismissed at their costs on account of their failure to prosecute the same. And notice shall be served on the attorneys of record for the plaintiffs herein.

Letter to attys of record in the this or case 12/16/35

J.R.H. Alexander, Judge

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, ON MONDAY THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-FIVE.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J.R.H.ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO C.C. BROY ET ALS.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C

On this the 18th day of November, 1935, came C.C. Broy, Cecil Norton Broy and Anne Norton Broy, owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to

file their application for the payment to them of the sum of \$150.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment for condemnation for Tract No. 260, and the further sum of \$150.00 for Lot No. 19 as set out in Tract No. 261, (being one-half of the award on said Tract No. 261,) set out in judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 260, which said awards have heretofore been paid into court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the petitioner the said C.C. Broy is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to said tract No. 260, and/or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and it further appearing to the Court, that notwithstanding the fact that the commissioners by their report filed in said cause, awarded the sum of \$300.00 for Tract No. 261, (which tract appears to be made up of two lots, numbered 19 and 20, and each lot being valued at \$150.00 each), it appears from the record in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, that said Lot No. 19, included as aforesaid in Tract No. 261, is owned jointly and absolutely by C.C. Broy and Anne Norton Broy, as will appear by reference to Deed Book 33, page 758 of the said Clerk's Office, and that theretofore the said C.C. Broy, Cecil N. Broy and Anne Norton Broy are entitled to the award of \$150.00 for said Lot No. 19 of Tract No. 261, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or person in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 260, nor to Lot No. 19 of Tract No. 261, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the court that the said sum of \$150.00, paid into court by petitioner as just compensation for Tract No. 260, and the said sum of \$150.00, paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for Lot No. 19 of Tract No. 261, shall be paid to Jas. M. Settle, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Washington, Virginia, who is hereby directed to forthwith pay to C.C. Broy, Cecil N. Broy and Anne Norton Broy, the said sum of \$150.00, the amount of the award for Tract No. 260, and he shall hold the said sum of \$150.00 the amount of the award for Lot No. 19 of Tract No. 261, until he shall have received a proper release from A.M. Priest and Jessie P. Priest, releasing said Lot No. 19 of Tract No. 261 from any right or claim which they may or could have against the same. After such a release is obtained, then the said Clerk shall pay the said sum of \$150.00 to the said C.C. Broy, Cecil N. Broy and Anne Norton Broy.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be the authority of the said Treasurer of Virginia for making the distribution herein directed.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO HEIRS OF
J.E. BROY, DEC'D., AND J.E. BROY, TRUSTEE, DEC'D.

CLIFTON AYLOR, & C .

On this the 18th day of November, 1935, came the heirs of J.E. Broy, deceased, and J.E. Broy, Trustee, deceased, joint owners, and on their motion leave is

hereby given them to file their petition for the payment of the sum of Seven Hundred and Twenty-two and no/100 (\$722.00) Dollars, the total amount of the awards set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tracts Nos. 269 and 179, which said sum has heretofore been paid into court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for Judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of petitioner the said heirs of J.E. Broy, deceased, and J.E. Broy, trustee, deceased, are invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said tracts of land Nos. 269 and 179, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tracts Nos. 269 and 179, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the said heirs are therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said two tracts of land, and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$722.00, paid into court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tracts Nos. 269 and 179, being the aggregate amount allowed for said two tracts of land, be paid unto the said heirs of the said J.E. Broy, deceased, and J.E. Broy, trustee, deceased in accordance with the respective share of each as set out in their said application, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Wm. F. Moffett, Washington, Rappahannock County, Virginia, attorney for the said heirs of J.E. Broy, deceased and J.E. Broy, trustee, deceased, the said sum of \$722.00, the aggregate amount of the awards set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tracts Nos. 269 and 179, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law. And the said Wm. F. Moffett, attorney, shall distribute to the said heirs, such sum or sums as may be due each respectively as set forth in the application aforesaid.

This day came the United States Fidelity and Guaranty Co., by its attorney, and moved the Court to be releived of its suretyship on the bond of Lavinia S. Atkins, Administratrix of the estate of Homer C. Atkins, deceased.

And it appearing to the Court that the said Administratrix has had due notice of this motion and does not resist the same, it is adjudged that the said movant be and it is hereby releived of any and all liability in future arising by reason of its said suretyship, and the said administratrix is directed to forthwith settle before the Commissioner of Accounts an account of her actings and doings as such administratrix and that she be forthwith required to furnish new surety on her said bond.

Raymond Payne, Plaintiff

vs: Appeal Warrant

Joseph Hitt

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and upon the motion of the plaintiff, by counsel, this cause is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff without prejudice.

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County,
November 19th, 1935.

R.S.Farish and T.A.Deatherage, who were at the General Election held
on November 5th, 1935, duly elected Justices of the Peace for Jackson Magisterial
District of said County, for the term of office commencing Jan. 1, 1936, and ex-
piring Dec. 31, 1939, this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said
Court, in said office, and duly qualified as such by taking and subscribing the
oaths prescribed by law, which said oaths are ordered to be filed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

XX

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Nov. 25,
1935.

J.Hill O'Bannon, who was at the General Election held on November 5th,
1935, duly elected Supervisor for Stonewall Magisterial District of said County,
for the term of four years, commencing Jan. 1, 1936, and expiring Dec. 31, 1939,
this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said office,
and qualified as such by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law, and
together with Rena A.O'Bannon, as his surety, entered into bond in the penalty
of \$1000.00, conditioned and payable as the law directs. Which said oaths are
ordered to be filed, and said bond ordered to be recorded.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO CULPEPER NATIONAL BANK

CLIFTON AYLER, &C.

On this the 14th day of December, 1935, came the Culpeper National Bank of
Culpeper, Virginia, by Wm.D.Cannon, assistant cashier, and on its motion leave is
hereby given it to file its application, in vacation, for the payment of the sum
of \$72.50, it being the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemna-
tion for the timber right for Tract No. 201-A, and which said award has heretofore
been paid into court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners
heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation
entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of petition-
er the said Culpeper National Bank of Culpeper, Virginia is vested with a supe-
rior or better right of title in and to the said award for the timber on said
Tract No. 201-A, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial
or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title to the timber on
said Tract No. 201-A, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof,
and the said Bank is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the
condemnation of the timber on said Tract No. 201-A, and it further appearing to
the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon considera-
tion whereof, it is considered and ordered by the court that said sum of \$72.50,
paid into court by petitioner as just compensation for the timber on said Tract
No. 201-A, be paid unto the said Culpeper National Bank of Culpeper, Culpeper,
Virginia, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and is hereby directed to trans-
mit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay
unto Culpeper National Bank of Culpeper, Culpeper, Virginia, the said sum of

\$72.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for the timber on said Tract No. 201-A, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing vacation order in the current common law order book of said court.

Given under my hand this 14th day of December, 1935.

J.R.H.Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Dec. 17th, 1935.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO BENJAMIN WOODARD'S HEIRS.

CLIFTON AYLOR & C.

On this the 14th day of December, 1935, came Aletha L.Woodard, Mabel Woodard, Reginald Woodard, Mrs. Page Woodard Fowler and Mrs.Henrietta Woodard Boxell, the sole heirs at law of Benjamin Woodard, deceased, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to file their application, in vacation, for the payment to them of the sum of \$121.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 121, which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of petitioner the said Benjamin Woodard (who has departed this life) was invested with a superior or better right of title in and to the said tract of land No. 121, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 121, or to the proceeds arising therefrom, and is therefore entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; and it further appearing to the Court that the said Benjamin Woodard has departed this life, intestate, leaving as his sole heirs at law, the said Aletha L.Woodard, Mabel Woodard, Reginald Woodard, Mrs. Page Woodard Fowler and Mrs. Henrietta Woodard Boxell, and who are entitled to receive the said award in the proportions as set forth in their application this day filed; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible on said tract of land have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered^{and ordered}/by the Court that the said sum of \$121.50, paid into court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 121, be paid unto the said heirs at law of the said Benjamin Woodard, deceased, as their interest may appear, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order of the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Washington, Virginia, the said sum of \$121.50, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 121, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law. And the Clerk of this Court shall disburse said fund to the said heirs at law as their respective interest appear upon the application this day filed by

them, and make a report to the Court of such disbursement.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing order upon the current common law order book of his court as a vacation order.

Given under my hand, at Leesburg, Virginia, this 14th day of December, 1935.

J.R.H.Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, Dec. 17th, 1935.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: Jas.M.Settle, Clerk.

XX

VIRGINIA: In the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, November 29th, 1935.

Aylette Buckner, Jr, who was at the General Election held on November 5th, 1935, duly elected Supervisor for Hawthorne Magisterial District of said County, for the term of four years, commencing January 1, 1936, and expiring Dec. 31, 1939, this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said Office, and qualified as such by taking and subscribing the oaths prescribed by law, and together with J.S.Buckner, as his surety, entered into and acknowledged a bond in the penalty of \$1000.00, conditioned and payable as the law directs. Which said oaths are ordered to be filed, and said bond is ordered to be recorded.

Teste: *Jas. M. Settle*, Clerk.

XX

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA,

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO A.M.PRIEST, ET AL.

CLIFTON AYLOR &C.

On this the 24 day of December, 1935, came A.M.Priest and Jessie Priest, owners, and on their motion leave is hereby given them to file their application, in vacation, for the payment to them of the sum of \$150.00, it being the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Lot No. 20 of Tract No. 261, and which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of petitioner the said A.M. Priest and Jessie Priest are vested with a superior or better right of title in and to the said award of \$150.00 for Lot No. 20 of Tract No. 261, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Lot No. 20 of Tract No. 261, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the said A.M.Priest and Jessie Priest, joint owners, are entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$150.00, paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Lot No. 20 of Tract No. 261 be paid unto the said A.M.Priest and Jessie Priest, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby

this order on the Common Law Order Book of his Court, as a vacation order and will likewise record a copy of the same in the current deed book of his office and index it in the name of the parties and will also make a suitable notation on the margin of the deed book opposite the record of the said deed of trust showing the entry of this order.

Given under my hand in vacation at Leesburg, Virginia, this April 25th, 1936, at twelve o'clock noon.

J.R.H.Alexander, Judge, Circuit Court
of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

VIRGINIA:

Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, April 27th, 1936.

The foregoing vacation order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Tests: *James M. Scott*, Clerk.

XX

AT A CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, AT THE COURTHOUSE OF SAID COURT, OF SAID COUNTY, ON MONDAY THE 11TH DAY OF MAY, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SIX, AND IN THE ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTIETH YEAR OF OUR COMMONWEALTH

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J.R.H.ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

The State Commission on Conservation and
Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner,

v.

Clifton Aylor and others and 37,400 acres of land
more or less, in Rappahannock County, Va. Defendants.

On this 11th day of May, 1936, W.C.Armstrong, made application to the Court for payment to him out of the award for the condemnation of the property designated in the proceedings as Tract No. 171, it is ordered that said application be filed in the record.

Whereupon evidence was heard and submitted upon said application, from which, as well as from the record in the proceedings submitted as evidence, it appears, without denial or dispute in the record or otherwise, that the applicant at the time of condemnation of said Tract No. 171 held a lien on the undivided interest therein of J.B.Dodson, Jr., by virtue of a certain judgment in favor of the applicant against said J.B.Dodson, Jr., in the sum of \$250.00, with interest thereon from February 15th, 1929, and \$4.25 costs, recovered in this Court on said February 15th, 1929, and docketed in the Clerk's Office of this Court in Lien Docket No. D, page 101, and which judgment amounting as of this date to the sum of \$362.25 as set forth in said application, is unsatisfied, and that by reason thereof he is entitled to be paid said sum of \$362.25 out of the proceeds of condemnation for said tract remaining undistributed.

Therefore, it is adjudged, and ordered that the Treasurer of Virginia out of the proceeds of condemnation for said Tract No. 171 in his hands undistributed do pay to said W.C.Armstrong, in satisfaction of his said claim, the said sum of \$362.25 and shall forward his check for same to the Clerk of this Court, who shall deliver the same to the payee and at the same time take a receipt from the payee therefor.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be his authority for making the distribution herein directed.

The following fiduciary reports made by Botts Strother, Commissioner of Accounts of this Court, having been filed in the Clerk's Office of said Court for a period of thirty days or more, it is certified that the Court made a personal examination of said reports, and no errors appearing in any one of them, and no exceptions being filed thereto, it is ordered that said reports be and the same are hereby confirmed and ordered to be recorded:

Burnett Miller, Trustee in a deed of Trust from T.E.Hudson et ux
Geo.W.Settle, Trustee in a deed of trust from James Smoot et ux
Jno.P.Snead, Admr. of John P.Snead, dec'd.
E.L.Gay, Admr. of J.E.Best, deceased.

IN RE CLOSING CLERK'S OFFICE ON SATURDAYS:

Pursuant to Section 3388 of the Code of Virginia, as amended by Chapter 8 of the Acts of the General Assembly, approved February 10, 1936, the Judge of this Court doth hereby authorize the Clerk of this Court to close the Clerk's Office of Rappahannock County, Virginia, on Saturdays at one o'clock, post meridian. It appearing to the Judge that the Board of Supervisors of said County, by its order entered of record on May 7, 1936, has given its consent and approval to said Clerk and authorized the closing of said office at 1:00 o'clock P.M. on Saturdays.

It is further ordered and adjudged that this order shall become operative on and after June 19, 1936.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an appeal

Jno.A.Keyser

This day came the Commonwealth by her attorney, and came also the defendant pursuant to his recognizance, and by agreement this prosecution is set for trial on this date; and thereupon the defendant waived his right of trial by jury, and with the consent of the attorney for the commonwealth, submitted all matters in this case to the final determination of the Court; whereupon it is considered by the Court, after hearing the evidence adduced and argument of counsel, that the demurrer of the defendant should be sustained, and it is accordingly ordered that said demurrer be sustained; it is further ordered that the defendant go thereof without day.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an appeal

Aylette Buckner

Upon motion of the defendant, by counsel, this prosecution is continued to the first day of the next term and set for trial on Tuesday, July 14, 1936.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an appeal

George Woodard

Upon motion of the defendant, by counsel, this prosecution is continued to the first day of the next term and set for trial on Tuesday, July 14, 1936.

discharged.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the said Aylette Buckner is guilty as charged in the said warrant, and that he pay to the Commonwealth a fine of \$10.00, and pay the costs of this prosecution in accordance with the verdict of the jury.

Commonwealth of Virginia

vs: Upon an appeal from a judgment from the Trial Justice

George Woodard

This day came the said George Woodard in his own proper person, and W.F. Moffett, who prosecutes for the Commonwealth in this behalf, and thereupon came a jury to-wit: Jas.B.Deatherage, J.G.Revercomb, H.W.Cannon, Jas.O.Jones and Jno. R. Gore, who, being sworn to well and truly try the issue joined and a true verdict give according to the evidence, upon their oaths do say, " We the Jury each & every one find the Defendant not guilty, signed J.B.Deatherage, foreman". And thereupon said jury was discharged.

Whereupon it is considered by the court that the said George Woodard is not guilty as charged, and that he go thereof without day.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
& DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS.

CLIFTON AYLOR, &C

ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO C.J.
) (MILLER AND JAMES W. HUFFMAN &
CHARLES N. SPITLER

On this, the 14th day of July, 1936, again came C.J. Miller and James W. Huffman & Charles N. Spitler, who heretofore by leave of Court filed their respective applications for the payment to them of the sum of Eight Hundred Seventy-Six (\$876.00 Dollars, the residue of the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 199-III, which said sum has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered therein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that said Tract No. 199-III is a lap or inter-lock between the lands of the said James W. Huffman and Charles N. Spitler on the one part, and the lands of C.J. Miller, Louise Miller Price and the Heirs of W.L. Taylor, Deceased, on the other part; and that since the date of filing of the aforesaid petition for judgment and condemnation the said C.J. Miller has acquired all the interest of the said Louise Miller Price and the Heirs of W.L. Taylor, Deceased, in and to said tract or parcel of land by deed duly of record in the Clerk's Office of Rappahannock County, Virginia; that the said C.J. Miller and James W. Huffman and Charles N. Spitler have mutually agreed between themselves by way of compromise to divide the aforesaid sum of \$876.00 between themselves as follows, to-wit: the sum of Five Hundred Fifty one (\$551.00) Dollars to the said C.J. Miller, and the sum of Three Hundred Twenty-Five (\$325.00) Dollars to the said James W. Huffman and Charles N. Spitler, jointly; and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party or person in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 199-III, and that they are therefore

entitled to receive the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said Tract No. 199-III; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or exigible thereon have been paid, upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$876.00 paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said tract No. 199-III, being the residue of the award for said tract, the said original award for said tract having been the sum of \$936.00, from which has been deducted however the sum of Sixty (\$60.00) Dollars, the amount paid by said Conservation Commission to said C.J. Miller for the right of way for the Sky-line Drive, through said tract or parcel of land, leaving said net amount of \$876.00, be paid out and disbursed as follows, to-wit: the sum of \$551.00 to the said C.J. Miller, and the sum of \$325.00 to the said James W. Huffman and Charles N. Spitler jointly, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto W.V. Ford, Luray, Page County, Virginia, attorney of record for the said James W. Huffman and Charles N. Spitler, the said sum of \$325.00, and unto W.F. Moffett, Washington, Rappahannock County, Virginia, attorney of record for the said C.J. Miller, the said sum of \$551.00, the amount of the residue of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 199-III, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

The following accounts against the Commonwealth were this day presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the Court, are allowed, and it is ordered that said accounts be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the public Treasury, viz:

Mrs. L.H. Hitt, Jailor	Board of Prisoners	\$239.50
H.F. Keyser, Sheriff	Court Attendance	4.00
F.W. Slaughter, D.S.	" "	4.00
H.F. Keyser, Sheriff	Ex.Writ of Venire Facias	5.00

It is ordered that the Treasurer of this county do pay to Jas.B.Deatherage \$3.70; Stan J.Weaver \$4.00; P.F.Brown \$3.20; E.J.Singleton \$3.20; A.J.Slaughter \$2.50; Guy O.Dulaney \$4.10; J.M.Rowzie \$3.70; E.W.Gore \$3.30; A.F.Burke \$3.80; J.Edward Coates \$4.10; Hubert Jenkins \$3.70; Jas.O.Jones \$3.20; Ira S.Compton \$3.30; J.G.Revercomb \$4.10; T.C.Lea \$2.50; W.H.Cannon \$2.90; Jno.R.Gore \$3.30, the amount set opposite the name of each for their per diem attendance and mileage at this present term as jurors for the trial of criminal prosecutions, which said amounts shall be refunded to said Treasurer by the State Comptroller.

J.R.H. Alexander Judge

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, ON THURSDAY THE 17TH DAY
JULY, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SIX.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J.R.H.ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

IN RE: APPLICATION OF W.M.NORTH to have his name entered on the Voting List.

This day came W.M. North by Counsel, a voter of Wakefield Magisterial District who applied to the Court to have corrected the list of persons who have paid not later than six months prior to the election to be held in November, 1936 their

determination of the court, without the intervention of a jury;

Whereupon it is considered by the court upon a hearing of the case that the said Willard Butler and William Sloan are guilty as charged in the said indictment;

And it being demanded of the said defendant Willard Butler and the defendant William Sloan if anything for themselves they had or knew why the court should not now proceed to pronounce judgment against them, and nothing being offered or alleged in delay thereof, it is considered by the court that the defendant Willard Butler be taken hence to the county jail and from thence as soon as practicable by the proper authorities to the public jail house or Penitentiary at Richmond and delivered into the custody of the Superintendent thereof, to be kept by him as a member of the State Convict Road force in accordance with law and subject to work on the public roads for the term of TWENTY YEARS, but the said Willard Butler shall be given credit for the time already spent in jail awaiting trial, which the court certifies to be 277 days; and it is further considered by the court that the defendant William Sloan be taken hence to the county jail and from thence as soon as practicable by the proper authorities to the public jail house or Penitentiary at Richmond and delivered into the custody of the Superintendent thereof, to be kept by him as a member of the State Convict Road force in accordance with law and subject to work on the public roads for the term of EIGHTEEN YEARS, but the said William Sloan shall be given credit for the time already spent in jail awaiting trial, which the court certifies to be 279 days. It is further considered by the Court that the commonwealth recover of the said defendants her costs by her in this behalf expended. And the prisoners are remanded to jail.

J. R. H. Alexander, Judge.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON TUESDAY THE 11TH DAY OF
MAY, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SEVEN.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J.R.H.ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

IN RE: Appointment of a Committee for Bessie Mike, insane. ORDER

It appearing to the Court from a certified copy of an order entered by M.A.Compton, a Justice of the Peace of and for the County of Rappahannock, in the State of Virginia, at Washington, Virginia, on the 9th day of April, 1937, that Bessie Mike has been adjudged by the said M.A.Compton, Justice of the Peace, to be an insane person, and has been delivered to the care and custody of the Sheriff of the County of Rappahannock, and that the said Bessie Mike is an inhabitant of the County of Rappahannock; And it further appearing to the Court that Brue Wood Grant has entered into bond with surety in a penalty deemed sufficient to this Court; On motion of Brue Wood Grant, brother of Bessie Mike, by counsel, the Court doth appoint the said Brue Wood Grant as Committee of the said Bessie Mike.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION AND
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA, --- Petitioner

VS: ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION

CLIFTON AYLOR & ETC.

On this the 11th day of May, 1937, came Louise O'Bannon, sole heir at law of P. H. O'Bannon, deceased, and on her motion leave is given her to file her applica-

tion for the payment to her of the sum of \$105.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 187, and heretofore paid into Court, and it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners filed in this proceeding and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 13th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of the Petitioner, P. H. O'Bannon was invested with a superior or better right of claim of title in and to said Tract No. 187; and it now appearing to the Court that the said P. H. O'Bannon has departed this life, intestate, and left surviving him as his ole heir at law the said Louise O'Bannon, and who is therefore entitled to receive the whole of said award; and it further appearing from the record in this cause that there is no dispute or denial by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 187, or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the above named party is entitled to receive said award for said Tract; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due upon said Tract No. 187 have been paid; upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that said sum of \$105.00 paid into Court by Petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 187 shall be paid unto the said Louise O'Bannon.

And it further appearing to the Court that the said Louise O'Bannon is the proper party to receive the award aforesaid, it is adjudged and ordered that from the funds held by him subject to the orders of this Court in these proceedings, the Treasurer of Virginia, shall make disbursement by a check made payable to Louise O'Bannon, which shall transmit to the Clerk of this Court, and require a proper receipt therefor, to be filed with the record of these proceedings.

It is further ordered that the Clerk of this Court do certify a copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, which shall be the authority of the said Treasurer for making the distribution herein directed.

J. W. Alexander, Judge.

The following accounts against the Commonwealth were this day presented to the Court, and being personally examined by the court and found correct, it is ordered that said accounts be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the Public Treasury, viz;

H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Summoning witnesses	\$ 3.20
H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Executing writ of Venire Facias	5.00
H.F.Keyser, sheriff	Court attendance	4.00
L.H.Hitt, Deputy Sheriff	Summoning witnesses	5.20
J.W.Tobin, " "	Court attendance	4.00
Mrs. L.H.Hitt, Jailor	Board of Prisoners, etc.	233.50

It is ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to A.J.Slaughter \$2.50; Lee Poe \$3.70; E.M.Green \$3.10; Taylor Updike \$2.50; Jno.R.Moore, Jr. \$2.90; and Charles H.Estes \$3.20, the sum set opposite the name of each for per diem attendance and mileage at this present term as jurors for the trial of criminal prosecutions, which said amounts shall be certified to the State Comptroller and be repaid to said County Treasurer out of the State Treasury.

IN RE: APPOINTMENT OF TRUSTEES OF THE PROPERTY OF BROMFIELD PARISH IN RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY.

It appearing to the Court from extracts of the minutes of the meetings of the Congregations of Emanuel and Trinity Churches, Bromfield Parish, held on April 18th, 1937, that D.D.Miller, W.M.Stuart, E.M.Green, W.A.Miller, W.L.Yancey, W.Meade Fletcher, Harry A.Trescott and Robert M.Menefee, were duly elected

to settle her account as such before the Commissioner of Accounts of Rappahannock County, Va.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, is directed to enter the foregoing Recess order in the proper order book of his Court.

Given under my hand in Recess at Warrenton, Virginia, this the 24 day of May, 1937.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT, May 24, 1937.

The foregoing recess order was this day received in the said office and entered upon the Current Common Law Order Book as therein directed.

Teste: *Jan. M. Settle* Clerk

NOTE: The following endorsement appears on the back of this order :- (We hereby consent to the hearing of this cause by the Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, in Recess at Warrenton, Virginia, on the 24 day of May, 1937.

Junius Fletcher
Maggie Fletcher
Botts Strother, Guardian ad
Litem for infant defendants .

IN THE MATTER OF THE SALE OF THE HULL SCHOOL PROPERTY

WHEREAS, the County School Board of Rappahannock County, Virginia, has filed a petition with the Judge of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, and which petition requests an order from the Court authorizing the sale and conveyance of the Hull School house and lot, situated in Piedmont Magisterial District, Rappahannock County, Virginia, to the United States of America for the sum of \$100.00, and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of Sections 678 and 2723 of the Code the real and personal school property of the County may be sold at public or private sale and may be conveyed by the County School Board, provided such sale and conveyance is approved and ratified by an order of the Circuit Court of said County or by the Judge thereof in vacation entered of record;

Upon consideration whereof, the County School Board of Rappahannock County, Virginia is hereby authorized to sell at private sale and convey by deed with Special Warranty of title the said Hull School property to the United States of America for the sum of \$100.00; to be paid to the said County School Board of Rappahannock County, Virginia, and deposited with the Treasurer of said County, and credited to the County School Fund.

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, will enter the foregoing order upon the Current Law Order Book of his Court as a Recess order.

Given under my hand, in Recess, at Warrenton, Virginia this 10 day of June, 1937.

J R H Alexander, Judge.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, June 10, 1937.

The foregoing Recess order was this day received in the said office and entered as therein directed.

Teste: *Jan. M. Settle* Clerk.

Florence Timbers, mother and heir apparent to the said James E. Timbers, insane, that Smith Timbers be appointed Trustee for the said James E. Timbers; wherefor it is considered and ordered that Smith Timbers be and he is hereby appointed Trustee for James E. Timbers, insane, and it is further ordered that the said Smith Timbers enter into bond in the penalty of \$100.00, conditioned according to law, with surety to be approved by the Clerk of this Court.

It appearing to the Court that it was necessary for L. H. Hitt, Deputy Sheriff of Rappahannock County, Virginia, to travel 140 miles in going to Quantico, Virginia, and return Walter Latham to the Jail of this County, the said Walter Latham being charged with a felony, the Court doth allow the said L. H. Hitt, deputy as aforesaid, the sum of \$11.20, which said amount shall be certified to the State Comptroller for payment out of the Public Treasury.

It is ordered that the Treasurer of this County do pay to Nellie Frances Stover \$1.70; T.J.Finks 1.70; Ed Holmes 1.80; Charles Green 1.80; William Bywaters 2.10; C.T.Kines 1.50; Irva Poe 3.40; Homer Garrison 1.80; Clifton Green 1.70; R.Lee Miller 1.50; Joe Hitt 1.50; Dr. C.H.Armentrout 1.00; Mancy Cook 1.00; Charlie Grigsby 2.20; Willie Grigsby 2.20; David Williams 2.80; Kate Williams 2.80; Will Jackson 1.50; Lucy Jackson 1.50; Oscar Jackson 1.50 and Smith Jackson 1.50, the sum set opposite the name of each for per diem attendance and mileage due each for attendance upon the court as witnesses for the commonwealth in criminal prosecutions, which said amounts shall be certified to the State Comptroller and repaid to said County Treasurer out of the State Treasury.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development
of the State of Virginia,

v. ORDER

Clifton Aylor, et als.

This day came Jas.A.Estes, by counsel, and on his motion leave is hereby given him to file his petition and application for the payment of the sum of \$224.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 103-a, and the further sum of \$180.00, the amount set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 103-I, which said awards have heretofore paid into Court.

And it appearing to the Court from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners and the report of the Board of Arbitration heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for condemnation and judgment entered herein on the 14th day of March, 1934, that said Tract No. 103-I is a lap on the lands of Julia C.Overall's Heirs, but that said Julia C.Overall's Heirs have filed a written disclaimer of any claim to or interest in said Tract No. 103-I or to the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any other party in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 103-I; and it further appearing from the record that the said Jas. A.Estes is the owner, in fee simple of said Tract No. 103-a and is entitled to the proceeds derived from the condemnation thereof, there being no dispute as to the ownership of said Tract No. 103-a or to the proceeds derived therefrom; and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due and exigible upon said

Tracts No. 103-I and 103-a have been paid, upon consideration whereof it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$180.00, the amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 103-I, and the said sum of \$224.00, the amount paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 103-a, be paid to said Jas.A.Estes and that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto Wm.F.Moffett, Washington, Virginia, Attorney of record for said Jas.A.Estes, the said sum of \$180.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for said Tract No. 103-I, and the further sum of \$224.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation and the report of the Board of Arbitration for said Tract No. 103-a, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof, as required by law.

VIRGINIA: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Circuit Court, February 14th. 1938.

B.M.Miller and Henry A.Brown, who were by an order of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, entered January 11, 1938, appointed as Jury Commissioners for Rappahannock County for the year ending Feb. 15, 1939, this day appeared before me, Jas.M.Settle, Clerk of said Court, in said office and duly qualified as such by taking and subscribing the several oaths prescribed by law, which said oaths are ordered to be filed.

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk.

CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK ON SATURDAY, MARCH 12th.,
NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTY-EIGHT.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J.R.H.ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

It is ordered that this Court do now stand adjourned until the first day of the next term thereof as fixed by law.

J.R.H. Alexander, Judge.

XX

AT A CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF RAPPAHANNOCK, AT THE COURT HOUSE OF SAID COURT, OF SAID COUNTY, ON MONDAY THE 14TH DAY OF MARCH, IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD, ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-EIGHT, AND IN THE ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTY-SECOND YEAR OF OUR COMMONWEALTH.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE J. R. H. ALEXANDER, JUDGE.

Commonwealth of Virginia vs Leo Howell Appeal- Misdemeanor
Commonwealth of Virginia vs Dewey Hudson Appeal- Misdemeanor

Upon motion of the Attorney for the Commonwealth, it is ordered that the above prosecutions be dismissed.

S.G.Davis vs Ira S.Compton Motion for Judgment

Upon motion of the plaintiff, by counsel, it is ordered this case be dismissed agreed.