COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, to-wit:

In the Circuit Court of said County:

The grand jurors in and for the body of said county of Rockingham and now attending said Court at its December term, 1929, upon their oaths do present that Marvin Crist, on or about November, 1929, in said County of Rockingham, did unlawfully operate an automobile while under the influence of ardent spirits, against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

This indictment is found on the testimony of Lizzie Tristle and Mrs. Phil Branner, witnesses sworn in Court and sent before the grand jury to give evidence.

00

Commonwealth

v) Indictment Marvin Crist- Cup

Misdemeanor

December term, 1929

A True Bill:

Sitter 22"

D. W. Earman Commonwealth's Attorney

WL quill Jury find the 83 marri buit, wh perman

Commonwealth of Virginia, Rockingham County, To-Wit: day of BE IT REMEMBERED, that on the , principal and .... surety, who justified to his sufficiency, came before me , of the said County of Rockingham, and acknowledged themselves to be indebted to the Commonwealth of Virginia each in the sum of Dollars, (\$ 500 to be levied of their respective goods and chattels, lands and tenements, for the use of the Commonwealth of Virginia rendered, and they each severally waived their homestead exemption to their recognizance; yet upon this condition: That if the said Marvin Cuest shall personally appear before the Circuit Court of Rockingham County, at the Courthouse of said County, on the 4 day of the unaly 193n Dereof, being the day of ...., and at such other time or times to which the proceedings may be continued or further heard, and before any court or judge hereafter having or holding any proceedings in connection with the said charge, and then and there answer the Commonwealth of Virginia concerning a certain whereof the said Marca Cuel mustermand stands charged, and be bound under said recognizance until the charge is finally disposed of or until it is declared void by order of a competent court, then the above recognizance shall be null and void; otherwise to remain in full force and effect. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto affix my signature this..... day of 4, 19 was COMMISSIONER)

Commonwealth of Virginia, Rockingham County, To-Wit:

0

00.00

who justified to have sufficiently came before mo

ods and chattels, lands and tenements, for the use of the Common they each severally waived their homestead exemption to their re-

shall personally appear before there county, at the Conthouse of said County, on the day of the day of the contende, being the day of the solution of the proceedings may be continued or further out oo dge bereafter having or bolding any proceedings in connection with en and there answer the Commonwealth of Virginia concerning a certain whereof the said

charged, and he bound under said recognizance until the charge is finally disposed of or until it is declared void by order of a competent court, then the above recognizance shall be null and void; other wise to remain in full force and effect.

BATE COMMISSIONER)

R.A. miller gut w- Brunk 6.10, Zin Koylow

## In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County,

......this day presented in Court an account against the Court

of Rockingham for the sum of \$\_\_\_\_\_, for Service\_\_\_\_\_

which being sworn to, was examined and approved by the Court, and ordered to be certified to the Treasurer of this county for payment.

Form 192-Berlin.

The court **the instructs** the jury that the law presumes the accused to be innocent until he is proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if there is upon the minds of the jury any reasonable doubt of the guilt of the accused, the law makes it their duty to acquit him, and that mere suspicion or probability of his guilt, however strong, is not sufficient to convict, nor is it sufficient if the greater weight or preponderance of evidence supports the charge in the indictment. But to warrant his conviction, his guilt must be proved so clearly, and the evidence thereof must be so strong, as to exclude every reasonable hypothesis of his innocence.

We The fury find the accused t ai 2. G. Kaylor TOD' DIE BUITE MEE DE DIDAGE DO FLORE MANDATGEROS CHARACT of pis guilt, however strong, is not sufficient to copvict, nor their duty to acquit him, and that mere suspicion or probability reasonable doubt, and if there is upon the minds of the jury any The court maximum instructs the jury that the law presumes

DEC 1929 #666 COMMONWEALTH VS. )Misdr. (Pro.) MARVIN CRIST ~4 22 D.H. alchion R.A. Miller John W. Phunk O.D. Flory Q. J. Kaylor Q.J. Kaylor

