NAME OF CLAIMANT

#73 - Lam, Harvey

Number of Acres: 41 g /gravingi ,noi ,nenotia , senii moind . 'Ala'

Location: South and near public road and east of Thoroughfare Gap.

Entirely within the Park area.

Roads: Seven miles to Elkton over county road.

Soil: Slope

History of Tract and condition of timber: The woodland has been culled over, but there still remains some timber on the tract.

Improvements: See other side.

Acreage and value of types:

Types	Acreage	Value per acre		Total Value	
Ridge:					
Slope:	15	@	\$3.00	\$45.00	
Cove:					

Grazing Land:

Fields Restocking:

Cultivated Land:	25	@	30.00	\$795.00
Orchard:	41	@	60.00	60,00

Minerals:

Value of Land: \$ 795.00 595.00

Value of Improvements: \$ 595.00

Value of Orchard: \$ 60.00

Value of Minerals: \$

Value of Fruit: \$

Value of Timber: \$

Value of Wood: \$ 50.00 \$1548.00

Value per acre for tract: \$ 37.75

Incidental damages arising from the taking of this tract: \$ None

Sto. W. FEN CLERK

101

NAME OF CLAIMANT

Reads: Seven miles to Eikton over county road.

Soil: Slope

History of Tract and condition of timber: The woodland has been culled over, but there still remains some timber on the tract.

Improvements: See other side.

Acreage and value of types:

Types Acreage Value per acre Total Value

Ridge:

Slope: -- 15 @ \$5.00 \$45.00

(070:

Grazing Land:

rields itestacking:

Cultivated Land: -- 25 @ 30.00 Y50.00

Orchard:___ 1 @ 60.00 80.00

Minoralge

Value of Land: 8 795.00 595.00

Value of Improvements: \$ 595.00

Value of Orchard: 8 60.90 48.0

Value of Minerals: \$

Value of Fruit: S

Value of Timber: \$

Value of Wood: \$ 50.00

Value per acre for tract: \$ 37.75

incidental damages arising from the taking of this tract: \$ lione

81548,00

County: Rockingham District: Stonewall

#73 - Lam, Harvey

Acreage Claimed:

Assessed 41-57 acres Deed Acquired 41-57 160

Value Claimed:

\$320.00

acres 1919 for

\$1.00 etc.

Location:

South and near public road and east of Thoroughfare Gap.

Entirely within the Park area.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps:

Soil:

Slope.

Roads:

Seven miles to Elkton over county road.

History of tract and condition of timber: The woodland has been culled over, but there still remains some timber on the tract.

Improvements:

Dwelling: Log & frame, 16x14', 5 rooms, porch 7x14', brick flues, kitchen, log, 13x17x12, 2 rooms, 2 story, 3 ceiled, good condition, occupied by owner, spring, pillars, no cellar. \$525.00 Stable with shed: 14x16x10', frame . 50.00 Corn house: Log, 8x10x8', board roof, fair condition. 15.00 Spring house: 8x10x8', poor condition 10.00 Hen house: 8x10x8', 10.00 610.00

Timber: 16,000 bd. ft. @ \$4.00 per M. ---- \$64.00 Fuel wood: 80 cords @ 50g per cord ---- 40.00

Total -- 104.00

Value of land by types:

Type Slope	Acreage 15	Value per acre	Total Value 45.00
Fo	25	25.00	625.00
Orchard	1		
	97		670.00

Total v	ralue	of	orchard	35	50.00
Total v	ralie	of	land	67	70.00
			improvements	61	10.00
Total v				10	4.00
Total v	ralue	of	traot	143	4.00
Average	valu	e p	er acre		54.97

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia

Petitioner

v. #1829

Cassandra Lawson Atkins, et als, etc.

Defendants

On this, the 2/ day of December, 1933, came

Harvey Lam , and on his motion leave is given him to file
his application for the payment to him of the sum of \$1548.00 ,
the amount of the award set out in the award of condemnation for
Tract No. 73 , heretofore paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal
Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause, and in the petition for
judgment and condemnation entered herein on the _____ day of November,
1953, that in the opinion of petitioner, the said __Harvey Lam

is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and
to the said Tract of land No. 73 ___, and that the record of this
cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or person
in interest as to the title to the said Tract No. __73 __, or to the
proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof, and that the said

Harvey Lam ______ is entitled to receive the proceeds arising
from the condemnation of said Tract No. _73 __, and it further appearing to the Court that all taxes due or taxable upon said Tract No.73
have been paid:

Upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$1548.00 paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for Tract No. 73 be paid unto the said Harvey Lam; and the Clerk of this Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the said Harvey Lam the said sum of \$1548.00, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 73, taking from the said Harvey Lam, a receipt therefor and certifying such payment to the Clerk of this court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

Enter: 14W1

20/50

STATE OF VIRGINIA

COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, to-wit:

I, J. Robert Switzer, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rockingham County do hereby certify that the records in my office do not show any delinquent taxes against the tract of 41 acres 1 rood and 17 poles of land in Stonewall District, assessed in the name of Harvey Lam.

Given under my hand this 20th day of December 1933.

Clerk of the Circuit Court of Rockingham County, Virginia.

M. H. HARRISON

TREASURER OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY

HARRISONBURG, VIRGINIA

To Whom It May Concern:

December 1933.

I hereby certify that the records of my office show that Harvey Lam has paid the taxes for the years 1932 and 1933 on his tract of 41-1-17 acres of land situate in Stonewall District in Rockingham County.

All taxes prior to that time, if any be unpaid, are a matter of record in the Clerk's Office of said County.

Given under my hand this 20th day of

My Hannison Treasurer of Rockingham County.

-This need not be filed until the record discloses that the awards have been paid into the custody of the Courts. Virginia: In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia . . PETITIONER. V. At Law No. 1829 Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others, and Fifty-Two Thousand, Five Hundred and Sixty-One (52,561) Acres of land, more or less DEFENDANTS. Comes now the undersigned and shows to the Court: That a judgment in rem has heretofore been entered in this proceeding condemning to the use of the Petitioner the fee simple estate in the tract(s) of land numbered as follows: Tract No. /_3___: Tract No. ____; and described in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appointed herein and shown, numbered, and delineated on the County Ownership Map filed therewith, upon payment into the custody of the Court of the sum(s) set out in the said judgment as constituting the award(s) therefor, as follows: Award on Tract No. 73____ \$1548.00; on Tract No. _____ \$___; on Tract No. ____; That the report of the said Board sets forth that the following named persons claim, or appear to have a claim to an interest in the said tract(s) of land or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; myself orly That the Petitioner has paid into the custody of the Court the said sum(s) set out in said judgment as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) of land; That your undersigned, on the date of the said judgment in rem condemning the said tract(s) of land, owned or was (were) entitled to the following interest in the said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof:per swyll That no other person or persons than the undersigned are entitled to share in the distribution of the said award(s) except the following named persons whose interest in said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof on the date of entry of said judgment was as follows: Wherefore, your undersigned pray(s) that [he (they) be made a party (parties) herein under the provisions of Section 21 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, and that] an order be entered for the distribution of said sum(s) set forth in said judgment in rem as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) condemned as aforesaid, and for the payment to the undersigned of the said award(s) or of as much thereof as the Court may find that the undersigned is (are) entitled to receive, and which the undersigned aver(s) is as follows: Tract No. ______\$1548.00Tract No. _____ \$ ____: Tract No. _____; The undersigned further aver(s) that: (Leave this space blank unless there is some other pertinent matter to be brought specially to the attention of the court) NAME VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, to-wit:
This day personally appeared before me Harvey Lam and made oath STATE OF that the statements contained in the above petition so far as made on his own information are true and so far as made upon information of others he believes them to be true. Given under my hand this 20th day of December 1933.

Note—A supply of this blank form has been placed in the Clerk's office for the convenience of interested parties. No one is required to use this form, as the form is not prescribed by law, and claimants can either change or modify it as they deem necessary, or present their motions in any form they may desire which meets with the approval of the Court. This blank form may not and probably will not cover all cases. It has been printed merely as a suggestion of a form of a motion which may be used, subject to the approval of the court in each case. to the approval of the court in each case.



Commonwealth of Virginia

TREASURER'S OFFICE RICHMOND, VA.

December 27, 1934

Treasurer of Virginia.

This is to certify that I, J. M. Purcell, Treasurer
of Virginia have this 27 day of December in accordance
with an order of the circuit court of Rockingham County
dated 12/21/33 in the cause of the State Commission
on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia
vsCassandra Lawson Atkins
paid to Harvey Lam, R. F. D. 3 Elkton, Virginia
\$1,548.00 being in full settlement of tract # 73
in the above mentioned cause.

261



Commonwealth of Virginia

TREASURER'S OFFICE RICHMOND, VA.

December 27, 1933

Harvey Lam

R. F. D. 3, Elkton, Virginia

Received of J. M. Purcell, Treasurer of

Virginia, the sum of \$\frac{1.548.00}{1.548.00}\$, in accordance

with an order of the Circuit Court of the county

of Rockingham entered on the 21st day

of December 193_3, in the matter of the State

Commission on Conservation and Development v_____

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others, being

full and complete settlement for the tract of land

known in said proceeding as #_73____.

Hayner of und

Sign original and duplicate and return to the Treasurer of Virginia.

Note—This need not be filed until the record discloses that the awards have been paid into the custody of the Courts. Virginia: In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia . . PETITIONER. Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others, and Fifty-Two Thousand, Five Hundred and Sixty-One (52,561) Acres of land, more or less DEFENDANTS. Comes now the undersigned and shows to the Court: That a judgment in rem has heretofore been entered in this proceeding condemning to the use of the Petitioner the fee simple estate in the tract(s) of land numbered as follows: Tract No. 73.....: Tract No. _____; and described in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appointed herein and shown, numbered, and delineated on the County Ownership Map filed therewith, upon payment into the custody of the Court of the sum(s) set out in the said judgment as constituting the award(s) therefor, as follows: Award on Tract No. ________ \$ _1548 . OQ on Tract No. _______ \$ _____; on Tract No. That the report of the said Board sets forth that the following named persons claim, or appear to have a claim to an interest in the said tract(s) of land or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof; Harvey Lam That the Petitioner has paid into the custody of the Court the said sum(s) set out in said judgment as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) of land; That your undersigned, on the date of the said judgment in rem condemning the said tract(s) of land, owned or was (were) entitled to the following interest in the said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof:-By reason of contribution pledge, obligating the owner, Harvey Lam, to give 5 acres of his land within the Park Area to the Park Project. That no other person or persons than the undersigned are entitled to share in the distribution of the said award(s) except the following named persons whose interest in said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof on the date of entry of said judgment was as follows: Wherefore, your undersigned pray(s) that [he (they) be made a party (parties) herein under the provisions of Section 21 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, and that] an order be entered for the distribution of said sum(s) set forth in said judgment in rem as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) condemned as aforesaid, and for the payment to the undersigned of the said award(s) or of as much thereof as the Court may find that the undersigned is (are) entitled to receive, and which the undersigned aver(s) is as follows: Tract No. ___73_____ \$15.00_: Tract The undersigned further aver(s) that: (Leave this space blank unless there is some other pertinent matter to be brought specially to the attention of the court) P. O. ADDRESS NAME STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION Note—A supply of this blank form has been placed in the Clerk's office for the convenience of interested parties. No one is required to use this form, as the form is not prescribed by law, and claimants can either change or modify it as they deem necessary, or present their motions in any form they may desire which meets with the approval of the Court. This blank form may not and probably will not cover all cases. It has been printed merely as a suggestion of a form of a motion which may be used, subject to the approval of the court in each case.

69

STATE OF VIRGINIA) COUNTY OF WARREN Personally appeared before me the undersigned Notary Public in my said State and County, E. K. Stokes, who being duly sworn, deposed and said that she is an employee of the State Commission on Conservation and Development in immediate charge of the records of the Shenandoah National Park Division thereof having to do with claims of the Commission for distributive shares of condemnation awards in the Shenandoah National Park condemnation proceedings pending in the Circuit Courts of Virginia, by reason of contracts and agreements entered into with the owners of lands sought to be condemned in these proceedings, and that the within claim is just and cor-Witness my signature this 8th day of January, 1934. NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires Sep. 8, 1934 receive, and which the undersigned aver(s) is as follows: Tract No. 73 \$15,00 : Tract

VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner,

V.

Cassandra Lawson Atkins, et als, defendants.

In Re: Distribution of proceeds of condemnation for Tract No.73.

This day came the State Commission on Conservation & Development, by Counsel, and moved the Court to enter an order directing the Treasurer of the State of Virginia, to pay to it, out of the proceeds of condemnation of Tract No. 73, the sum of \$15.00, representing the amount of a certain pledge made by Harvey Lam, who claimed to be the owner of said tract, to said State Commission on Conservation & Development, as a contribution for the establishment of Shenandoah National Park, and in support of its said motion, the said Commission exhibited to the Court, its motion in writing, setting forth all the facts in relation thereto, which has been heretofore filed herein.

Upon consideration whereof, it appearing to the Court, that the claim of said Commission is not based upon any lien either on the land condemned or on the proceeds of condemnation and that said Commission has failed to obtain the consent of the parties entitled to the proceeds of condemnation, to the payment of its claim, it is accordingly, adjudged and ordered that the motion of the Commission for the payment of said claim out of the proceeds of condemnation for said tract be and the same is hereby denied.

Ent pour

7367

6/20/35