December term, 1919. Mapp law.

COMMONWEALTH

VS. Indictment.

S.R. ANDES

Harry M. Strickler commonwealth's Attorney.

For a Misdemeanor.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA.

COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM TO-WIT:

In the Circuit Court of said County;

The jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia, in and for the body of the County of Rockingham, and now attending the Circuit Court of said County, at its December term, 1919, UPON THEIR OATHS PRESENT, that S.R.ANDES, within one year next prior to the finding of this indictemnt, in said County, did unlawfully manufacture, seal, offer, keep, store and expose for sale, give away, transport, dispense, solicit, advertise and receive orders for ardent spirits, against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

AND THE JURORS AFORESAID, UPON THEIR OATHS AFORESAID, DO FURTHER PRESENT, that S.R.ANDES, within one year next prior to the finding of this indictment, in said County, did unlawfully sell and give away intoxicating cider containing more than one per centum of alcohol by volume, against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

AND THE JURORS AFORESAID, UPON THEIR OATHS AFORESAID, DO FURTHER PRESENT, that S.R.ANDES, in said County, within one year next prior to the finding of this indictment, did unlawfully store ardent spirits at a place other than his home, against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

AND THE JURORS AFORSAID, UPON THEIR OATHS AFORESAID, DO
FURHTER PRESENT, that S.R.ANDES, within one year next prior to the
finding of this indictment, in said County, did unlawfully store,
sell and give away intoxicating cider at a place other than his
home, against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

This indictment is found on the testimony of Oshby Punions, M.S. Dillard, James C. Johnson, C. P. Jaw Ley., witnesses sworn in court and sent before the Grand Jury to give evidence.

The Court instructs the jury that the sord "Nome" ne used in the law here to be applied, meens the permanent residence or solding place of the accused, and if the jury believes from the evidence that the older alleged to have been sold to Runion by socased, was not Sold but was given to the said handon by the accused in the home of the suld accused, then the jury must find the said socased not said the said.

S-3.40-3.52/2 403.52/2-1/2 0.4.01 - 4.16 52 4017 - 4.16 The Court instructs the jury that the word "Home" as used in the law here to be applied, means the permanent residence or abiding place of the accused, and if the jury believes from the evidence that the cider alleged to have been sold to Runion by accused, was not Sold but was given to the said Runion by the accused in the home of the said accused, then the jury must find the said accused not guilty.

The court instracts was jury that if they believe from the syldence that the secured but in his home the cider as alleged in the indictment, yet if they further believe that said cider was simply stored by the acqueed in his home for the private use of the secured than the four the secured.

The court instructs the jury that if they believe from the evidence that the accused had in his home the cider as alleged in the indictment, yet if they further believe that said cider was simply stored by the accused in his home for the private use of the said accused then the jury must acquit the accused.

The Court instructs the jury that if they believe from the evidence in this case that the socused gave to kendy Muhion older containing more than 1 per cent alcohol, yet if they further believe that the same was given by the said accused to the said. Annion at the home of secased, then they must find the sequeed not guilty.

The Court instructs the jury that if they believe from the evidence in this case that the accused gave to Ashby Runion cider containing more than 1 per cent alcohol, yet if they further believe that the same was given by the said accused to the said Runion at the home of accused, then they must find the accused not guilty.*

S.R. ANDES

aga

COMMONWEALTH

