### NAME OF CLAIMANT

#1 - Hensley, Victoria

Number of Acres: 28

Location:

Near Maple Springs School.

Roads:

Seven miles fair dirt road to Elkton.

Soil:

Sandy clay, fair depth and fertility, somewhat rocky in wooded portion, steep to moderate slopes, southwest exposure.

History of Tract and condition of timber: Large portion of tract cleared many years ago, grazed and cultivated since. Wooded area cut over repeatedly in past. Present stand consists of mixed oaks and some poplar and hemlocks along the stream. Tract is estimated to cut 1 M. bd. ft. per acre. (Page Co.) 28 M. bd. ft. (Rockingham County)

Improvements:

None.

Acreage and value of types:

Acreage and			Value per acre	
Types	Acreage		Total Value	
Ridge:				\$81.00
Slope:	27	0	\$3.00	
Cove:	1	0	5.00	\$86.00

Grazing Land:

Fields Restocking:

Cultivated Land:

Orchard:

Minerals:

Value of Land: \$ 86.00

Value of Improvements: \$

Value of Orchard: \$

Value of Minerals: \$

Value of Fruit: \$

Value of Timber: \$

Value of Wood: \$

Value per acre for tract: \$ 3.07

Incidental damages arising from the taking of this tract: \$

County: Page District: Shenandoah Iron Works

County: Rockingham District: Stonewall

#### #1 (Rockingham County) #643 (Page County) Hensley, Mrs. Victoria

Acreage Claimed:

Assessed 150 A.

Deed 150 A.

Value Claimed:

\$225.00

Location: Near Maple Springs school.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None known.

Soil: Sar

Sandy clay, fair depth and fertility, somewhat rocky in wooded portion, steep to moderate slopes, southwest exposure.

Roads:

Seven miles fair dirt road to Elkton.

History of tract and condition of timber: Large portion of tract cleared many years ago, grazed and cultivated since. Wooded area cut over repeatedly in past. Present stand consists of mixed oaks and some poplar and hemlocks along the stream. Tract is estimated to cut 1 M bd. ft. per acre valued at \$2.10 per M (177 M bd. ft.) (Page County) \$371.70 28 M bd. ft. valued at \$2.10 (Rockingham County) - 58.80

Improvements: (Page County)

Dwelling: 16x21\*, log and frame, porch 8x21\*, 6 rooms, brick flue, fair condition, occupied by tenant, spring water supply. - \$400.00

Barn: Log, 24x45x12\*, shingled roof, fair condition. - 250.00

Granary: Frame, 10x14x10\*, metal roof, good condition. - 60.00

Tool house: Log, 10x14x8\*, shingled roof, poor condition. 10.00

Hen house: Frame, 10x10x8\*, metal roof, good condition. 30.00

Abandoned old house: 16x18x12\*, shongled roof, poor condition. 50.00

25 apple trees forty years old in fair condition valued at \$60.00 per acre (\$ acre). - - -

\$830.00

Acreage and value of land by types: (Page County)

-		Value	Total
Type Cove	Acreage	per A.	Value 16.00
Slope	7 223	4.00	16.00
FG	173	3.00	519.00
FC	15	10.00	210.00
FR	-2	5.00	300.00
	215	,	\$1055.00

Value of land - - - 31.055.00 (Page County)
Value of improvements 830.00 " "
Value of timber - - 371.70 " "
Value of tract - - \$2.256.70 " "
Value per acre - - \$10.50 " "

County: Page

District: Shenandoah Iron Works

County: Rockingham District: Stonewall

-2-

#1 (Rockingham County) #643 (Page County) Hensley, Mrs. Victoria

Cont'd.

Acreage	and vi	alue of	land by	types:	(Rockingham	County)	
					Value		Total
Type		Acrea	ST CO		9er A. 4.00		Value
Slope		27			3.00		4.00
		28			3000	**	81.00
							07000

Value of land - - \$85.00 (Rockingham County)
" " timber - 58.80 " "
" tract - 143.80 " "
" per acre - 5.14 " "

#### SUMMARY

#### Page and Rockingham counties

Total number of acres 243 A.

Total value of tract \$2,400.50

Average value per acre - 9.88.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia

VS.

dianes soni

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others and 52,501 acres of land in Rockingham County.

Filed in the Clerk's Office Rockingham County, Va.

SEP 29 1932

Robert Santy Clerk

TO THE HON. H.W. BERTRAM, JUDGE OF SAID COURT.

The grounds of said motion are as follows:

- (1) That the price per acre allowed for said lands is manifestly inadequate and confiscatory, because said lands are worth at least \$25.00 per acre, as is shown by the affidavits attached hereto.
- (2) That the finding of said Board was based upon a mistake of law as to the nature and effect of the evidence produced before said Board by the above named parties.
- (3) That the finding of said Board is, apparently, from the statements contained in its report, based upon the physical character of the land alone, without taking into consideration, or at least without giving any effect in its final decision to, the

income-producing capacity of the land or to the fact that all such grazing lands on the Blue Ridge Mountains are being taken under these condemnation proceedings, and that like grazing lands cannot be purchased for any such amount as allowed to the said movants, all of which will more readily appear from the affidavits attached hereto.

eration, in making its allowance for compensation for the taking of said lands, the value of the minerals, especially the gold, found to be upon said lands from an assay made by the Treasury Department of a sample of the earth sent them, the report of the assay being presented to the Appraisal Board at their hearing, which report is now with the papers in this cause. Said report and the affidavits attached hereto are asked to be read in support of the above exceptions.

These grazing lands are needed by movants in connection with their farming operations, and the State Commission on Conservation and Development is hereby asked to exclude same from the Park area.

By Counsel.

God Hamahinger

eletein a none beend one breed blue to enfect; out rest

List on the contra and titled of the evidences tweel one

-mond far savne aft they based thought art at containing engine age

, ac transportance that he had been anothe state on to telescon

TED ECE

90 10 5

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia

VS.

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others and 52,501 acres of land in Rockingham County.

The affidavit of John A. Hensley, to be read in connection with the motion filed by him and Layton W. Hensley to have the finding of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners disapproved in connection with its finding No. 56.

City of Harrisonburg, to-wit:

This day John A. Hensley personally appeared before me, F. Flavia Converse, a Notary Public in and for the City aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, in my City aforesaid, and, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am the owner, along with Layton W. Hensley and the other heirs at law of Virginia V. Hensley, of a tract of land situate about five miles east of Elkton, on the western side of the Blue Ridge Mountains, in the contemplated Park area, which the Appraisal Board has reported as only 89 acres of land, while, as a matter of fact, there is at least 100 acres, and probably more, within the area. The said Appraisal Board only allowed the sum of \$12.42 per acre as the average price for said 89 acres, while, as a matter of fact, said land is worth at least \$25.00 per acre. This tract of land will graze at least 40 head of cattle for six months each and every year, which, at \$1.00 per head per month, would make a total income of \$240.00 per year, or the interest on \$4,000.00. Affiant is only asking the sum of \$2,500.00, because that is the price that he has been offered on several occasions for the property, and what he believes he could get to-day.

LAW OFFICES
GEO. S. HARNSBERGER
HARRISONBURG, VA.

County, Virginia, and must have for use in connection therewith grazing lands where stock can be kept during the summer so that he will have stock to consume the roughness on his farm during the winter. If this grazing land is taken under this condemnation proceeding, and all like grazing lands, are also taken, as contemplated in these proceedings, then it will be impossible for your affiant to replace said grazing land at the price allowed by the Appraisal Board, or even at the price affiant is now asking.

Several years ago, your affiant, together with certain other parties, sent to the assaying office of the Treasury Department a sample of certain minerals taken from the lands which are now being considered, and the the assaying office sent a report showing that the sample sent them contained gold. This report was submitted, along with the other evidence, to the appraisal Board, but the Board has not allowed any compensation therefor. It was explained to the Board at the time of the presentation of the evidence before them that this sample was taken largely from the surface of the land, without making any special effort at prospecting, and your affiant has reason to believe that gold exists on said land in a quantity that would justify commercial development.

Your affiant asks that this land be excluded from the Park area in order that the same may be retained by him.

John & Mer

Subscribed and sworn to

before me this 27th day of September,

1932.

Notary Public.

LAW OFFICES
GEO. S. HARNSBERGER
HARRISONBURG, VA.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia

vs.

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others and 52,501 acres of land in Rockingham County.

The affidavit of <u>Layley</u>, to be read in connection with the motion filed by John A. Hensley and Layton W. Hensley to have the finding of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners disapproved in connection with its finding No. 56.

State of Virginia,

City of Harrisonburg, to-wit:

This day <u>Arfaul Meanly</u> personally appeared before me, F. Flavia Converse, a Notary Public in and for the City aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, in my City aforesaid, and, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am well acquainted with the mountain grazing farm owned by the said John A. Hensley and others, situate about five miles east of Elkton, on the western slope of the Blue Ridge Mountains, in the Park area. I have been upon the land a number of times, and have seen the cattle thereon and the condition of the same when they were taken therefrom. I am of the opinion that at least 40 head of cattle can be advantageously grazed upon said land during six months of each and every year of average rainfall.

Pasture on the average is at least worth \$1.00 per head, which would make an income of \$240.00 per year to be received from said land, to say nothing of the locust posts that can be obtained therefrom, either for use on the other lands of the owners or for sale. I consider the average price of \$12.42 per acre allowed by the Appraisal Board to be absolutely an inadequate price for

said land, and belive that said land is worth at least \$25.00 per acre. That if all of the grazing lands upon the Blue Ridge Mountains are taken, as is contemplated by these condemnation proceedings, I do not believe that such grazing lands can be replaced for \$25.00 per acre, and certainly not for the sum allowed by said Appraisal Board. I would consider the price of \$12.42 per acre for said land as entirely too cheap for the same, and not in any sense the fair market value of said land under any normal condition.

Layton, W. Hensley

Subscribed and sworn to before

me this 29th day of September,

beave and beings historic via tithe isonispes from me I

DUT SURTEYS TO CAUT THEY S THE STREET

DISC BOTH BOY FROM BO OF ABOVE GAT CO. 2018 30 SECOND DO

revert some was lately in appearance and Johlance t

beatement of the rolls street cause held to

to stiffed set he appet touch out he can to:

astim ev roots of entite attento bus refered as book of as ent of

an tetucol of his wastern slove of the fille wille to the

tomin to redemin a basi and more mean aven I have them and of

form and to me Military site hos average status and seas aved one

to risk nothing out to me I smortesters heart area quil hard

Solos losse per direit ilrese reast to as ensured wit an apprese

to better the communication at the cities to the but the

1932.

No tary Public

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia

\*BV

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others and 52,561 acres, more or less, in Rockingham County, Virginia. Filed in the Clerk's Office Rockingham County, Va. NOV # 1932

Mobist Suation Elerk

Statement made by folice f. Keelly and

And for the New Market of pursuant to a decree
entered in the above entitled cause on October 25, 1932, in response to Item No. 1 of the inquiry made in said decree.

your respondents say that the acreage listed in the report of the Appraisal Board, under its No. 56, is believed
to be approximately correct, and that they do not desire to make
any objection to the acreage as there given, althour weekly

of acres allowed them to which exception was taken, but that the exceptions heretofous filed by them were to the amount allowed your respondents per acre.

John W. Kursley
Lay Counsel. Shusley
By Counsel.

Leo. S. Hambluger

In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County, Virginia

THE STATE COMMISSIONER OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF VIRGINIA

Petitioner

V.

At Law Number 1

CASSANDRA LAWSON ATKINS and others

Defendants

2 \_\_\_day of February, 1934, came VICTORIA MEADOWS HENSLEY, and on her motion leave is given her to file her application for disbursement of the sum of EIGHTY-SIX DOLLARS (\$86.00) the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for tract Number 1 and heretofore paid into court.

And it appearing to the court that VICTORIA MEADOWS HENSIAY is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to said tract of land Number 1 than any other person or persons, and that the records of this cause do not disclose any denial or dispute, by any party or or person in interest as to the title to said tract Number 1 or to the proceeds arising from the condemnation of said tract Number 1;

And it further appearing to the court that all taxes due on said tract Number 1 have been paid.

Upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the court that the said sum of EICHTY-SIX DOLLARS (\$86.00) paid into court by petitioner as just compensation for tract Number 1 be disbursed to VICTORIA MEADOWS HENSLEY.

And the Clerk of the Court is directed to transmit a certified copy of this to the Treasurer of Virginia who shall disburse said sum of EICHTY-SIX DOLLARS (\$86.00) by paying the same to W. W. Wharton, attorney, taking a receipt of the amount paid and certify such payment to the Clerk of this court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law. 20=3|1|34

Ecct two

LAW OFFICES W.W. WHARTON HARRISONBURG, VIRGINIA Virginia: In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County

THE STATE COMMISSIONER ON CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT of the STATE OF VIRGINIA

Petitioner

V. At Law Number 1

CASSANDRA LAWSON ATKINS and others, and FIFTY-TWO THOUSAND, FIVE HUNDRED AND SIXTY-ONE (52,561) acres of land, more or less.

Defendants

To The Honorable H. W. Bertram, Judge of said Court

Your petitioner, VICTORIA MEADOWS HENSLEY, Represents

That a judgment in rem has heretofore been entered in this proceeding condemning to the use of the petitioner the fee simple estate in a tract of land formerly the property of your petitioner, situated in the Blue Ridge Mountain in Stonewall District, Rockingham County, Virginia, said tract is described in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appointed herein, and shown, numbered, and delineated on the county ownership map filed herewith as tract Number 1 at the price of EIGHTY-SIX DOLLARS (\$86.00).

Your petitioner further shows to the Court that said tract of land is a part of a larger tract of land situated in Page County, Virginia, and that all taxes against said property to and including the year 1933, against said property have been paid in Page County, and certificates to that effect from the Treasurer of Page County, and Clerk of the Circuit Court of Page County, to that effect are filed herewith marked "Exhibit Certificate No. 1" and "Exhibit Certificate Number 2" also "Exhibit Number 3" a certificate from CHARLES E. ESTEP, Commissioner of Revenue of Rockingham County to the effect that said tract of also has not been accessed for taxes in Rockingham County Virginia, all of said exhibits are prayed to be read as a part hereof.

Your petitioner further shows to the Court that there are no liens on this property of any character.

That no other person or persons than your petitioner is entitled to share

in the distribution of said award:

Wherefore your petitioner prays that she may be made a party herein and be allowed to file her petition in these proceedings; that an order may be entered in this proceeding for the distribution of said award, and that your petitioner may have such other and further relief as the nature of its case may require, and will ever pray, etc.

Wilness Victoria Modew + Musley mores

STATE OF VIRGINIA.

COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM. To-wit:

This day VICTORIA MEADOWS HENSELY, the petitioner, in the above entitled matter, personally appeared before me, // Myling a Notary Public in and for the County and State aforesaid, in my County aforesaid, and being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am the petitioner in the above entitled matter. I am acquainted with the contents of the above petition, and do hereby state that the matters of fact therein set forth are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

William Victoria Meadow Number

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10 th day of February, 1934.

Exhibit no 1"

To Whom It May Concern:

I hereby certify that the records of my office show that Victoria Meadows Hensley has paid the taxes for the year 1932 and 1933 on her tract of 150 acres of land situated in Therandoah Sem W District of Page County, Virginia. Also, she has paid the taxes for the year 1932 and 1933 on 28 acres of an adjoining tract situated in Stonewall District, Rockingham County, Virginia. Said taxes on said 28 acres have been assessed by Page County and paid in said County. All taxes prior to that time if any be unpaid are matter of record in the Clerk's office of said County. Frach 20643.

Treasurer of Page County

# "Exhibit no 2"

This is to certify that there are No delinquent taxes of
record in my office to the amount of \$ NonE against the
tract of land owned by Victoria Wentley Meadow
which is
designated as Tract No. 43; Tract No; Tract No
on the County Ownership Map filed in my Office in the action
at Law pending in the name and style of the Commission on
Conservation &c., vs Ada Abbott, and others. Contamoig 150 a
Given under my hand this 17 day of July, 1934
Gine alleller Clerk
Circuit Court, Page County, Virginia
By let the fline Deputy
Clerk

"Ex list no 3"

To Whom It May Concern:

I certify that the records of my office show that no taxes have been assessed against a tract of land of TWENTY-EIGHT (28) acres in Stone-wall District, Rockingham County, Virginia, known as tract Number One, outned by Pictoria meadous Hensley.

Given under my hand this 22vd day of February, 1934.

Stattle B. Milles Dest Com.
Commissioner of Revenue of Rockingham

County, Virginia.



## Commonwealth of Virginia

TREASURER'S OFFICE RICHMOND, VA.

March 16, 1934.

This is to certify that I, J. M. Purcell, Treasurer
of Virginia have this <u>l6th</u> day of <u>March</u> in accordance
with an order of the circuit court of Rockingham
dated 3/9/34 in the cause of the State Commission
on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia
Vs. Cassandra Lawson Atkins et als
paid to W. W. Wharton, Attorney.
\$ 86.00 being in full settlement of tract # 1
in the above mentioned cause.

Treasurer of Virginia.

DEPUTY TREASURER OF VIRGINIA



#### Commonwealth of Virginia

TREASURER'S OFFICE RICHMOND, VA.

March 16, 1934.

- W. W. Wharton, Attorney,

Received of J. M. Purcell, Treasurer of

Virginia, the sum of \$86.00, in accordance

with an order of the Circuit Court of the county

of Rockingham entered on the 9th day

of March 1934, in the matter of the State

Commission on Conservation and Development v\_\_\_\_\_

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others, being

full and complete settlement for the tract of land

known in said proceeding as #\_\_\_\_\_.

Www. artony

Sign original and duplicate and return to the Treasurer of Virginia.