Rappahannock County

NAME OF CLAIMANT

#106-I -- Kendall, J. W.

Lap on Ann P. Jolliffe's Heirs.

Number of Acres: 19

Location:

From Elk Wallow Gap south along Frazier's Run and on the eastern slope of Naked Ridge, and entirely within the

Roads:

Park area.

--- Six miles of rough dirt road to Lee Highway near Sperry-

ville; thence seventeen miles to Luray, nearest shipping

Soil:

That on slope of Naked Ridge is poor and very rocky. That in Gap is of fair depth and fertility. Lower portion in hollow is of good depth and fertility. That portion east of History of Track and condition of Thistory of Track and condition of Thistory of Track and condition of Thistory. There is of fair depth and f

fertility.

History of tract and condition of timber: Tanbark removed about 30 years ago. Several portable sawmills have been in there since and all merchantable timber was removed. The trees which are left are scattered.

Improvements:

None.

Acreage and value of types:

Types	Acreage		Value per acre	Total Value
Ridge:				
Slope:	18	@	\$2.50	\$45.00
Cove:				
Grazing Land:	1	0	15.00	15.00
Fields Dostostings				\$60.00

Fields Restocking:

Cultivated Land:

Orchard:

Minerals:

Value of Land: \$60.00

Value of Improvements: \$

Value of Orchard: \$

Value of Minerals: \$

Value of Fruit: \$

Value of Timber: \$

Value of Wood: \$

Value per acre for tract: \$ 3.16

Incidental damages arising from the taking of this tract: \$ None.

N. GERK. CLERK

Claim of W. Kendall 5.7, 106-I-II
In the Circuit Court of RappahannockCounty, Virginia, No. 149, At Law.
The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner, vs. Elifton Aylor et als and 37,400 acres
more or less, of land in Rappahannock County, Virginia, Defendants. The undersigned, in answer to the petition of the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, and in response to the notice of condemnation awarded upon the filing of said petition and published in accordance with the order of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, asks leave of the Court to file this as his answer to said potition and to said notice
as his answer to said petition and to said notice. My name is
My post office address isFront Royal, Va. R.F.D.
I claim a right, title, estate or interest in a tract or parcel of land within the area sought
to be condemned, containing aboutacres, on which there are the following buildings and improvements:
This land is located aboutmiles fromVirginia, in
the Piedmont Magisterial District of said County.
I claim the following right, title, estate or interest in the tract or parcel of land described above: (In this space claimant should say whether he is sole owner or joint owner, and if joint owner give names of the joint owners. If claimant is not sole or joint owner, he should set out exactly what right, title, estate or interest he has in or to the tract or parcel of land described above.)
The land owners adjacent to the above described tract or parcel of land are as follows:
NT 11 Decrembe of
NorthDrumback
East Page county line
WestVarner & Spitler
I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the yearin the following manner:
I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ Telaim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest, in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$
I am the owner ofacres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the pro-
posed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$
itemarks.
Witness my signature (or my name and mark attached hereto) thisday ofFebruary, 1930.
of February, 1930. STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF Warren, To-wit:
The undersigned hereby certifies thatDHKendall the above named claimant personally appeared before him and made oath that the matters and things appearing in his above answer are true to the best of his knowledge and belief,
thisday of, 1930/

to misily
In the Circuit Court of Lights bandens LCounty, Virginia, No. List Law
The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Foth,
detten 100,70 hits ale te telta notailm av demin
more or less, of land in Rankenanancia County, Virginia, Defendants.
The undersigned, in answer to the petition of the State Commission on Conservation and De-
velopment of the State of Virginia, and in response to the notice of condemnation awarded upon the filing of each petition and published in accordance with the order of the Circuit
Court of _Narpelanger County, Virginia, asks leave of the Court to sile this
as his answer to said petition and to said notice.
My agains and a second of the
. Thy most office address is
thence same edition boat to foreign or part a tract or parcel of land within the press sought
to be condemned, contained along the contained and the contained are the following
z = 1
8 8 6 . ~
This land is located about 19 Juneau French Line irom Line in the Sunda betapol is has aid in
the Listandi L. Magist Stial Diefert Esuid County.
I claim the following right stitle estate or interest in the tract or parcel of land de-
stribed above: In this place claiment chould say whether he is sole owner or joint owner.
and if joint owner give notes a tife join owners. If claimant is not sole or joint owner, be should set out exactly the right, when estate or interest he has in or to the tract or
parcel of land described Sove 6
The land owners adjacent to the above described tract or parcel of land are as follows:
Print The Contract of the Cont
WestVariousinterest to this property about the year in the
WestVariousinterest to this property about the year in the
West Varces & Spitier
West Yamer & Tultiler interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this truct or parcel of land with the improvements there-
West Varcor & Spitier. I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the yearin the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there on is \$ Telshin that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest.
West Varcet & Tolition I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ Telsing that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$
West Varcest & Total or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there on is \$
West Varcor & Spiriler I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there on is \$ Telaim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I am the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or percel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the par-
West Various & Spitier In acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefor is \$ Telaim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ The owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$ posed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$
West Yourset & Tolitor property about the year in the following manner: I elaim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there on is \$ Telain that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I am the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condennation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$ (In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information is to this claim which claimsnt desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a this claim which claiment desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a
West Varcer & Toltiler I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ Telefin that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I am the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$ (In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information is to
West Yourset & Tolitor property about the year in the following manner: I elaim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there on is \$ Telain that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I am the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condennation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$ (In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information is to this claim which claimsnt desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a this claim which claiment desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a
West Various a Suitler is the property about the year in the following manner: I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereat on it is seed in the owner of the improvements thereon is seed to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is seed on the owner of the parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds).
West Various a Suitler is the property about the year in the following manner: I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereat on it is seed in the owner of the improvements thereon is seed to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is seed on the owner of the parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds).
West Various Apither. I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefor is \$ Telsin that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I am the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Paik area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$ In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information as to this claim which claimant desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds). Remarks:
West Variet a spiriter in the property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefor is \$
West Varion & Spiritor I acquired my right, title estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefor is and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ and the type optaide the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$\frac{1}{2} and the space below should be set out any additional statements or information is the this chim which claimant desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of lend by metes and bounds). Remarks: (Continue remarks if necessary an the backt)
West Vermer & Spiritor I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this truct or parcel of land with the improvements thereof on is \$2
West Variest & interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I deam that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefore in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$\frac{1}{2} and the owner of acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying optaide the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the properties of another than the properties of the properties
West Verneer and property about the year in the following manner: I deam that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefore is a series of the tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof or is a series of land with the improvements thereof is a land to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof is a land to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof is a land the owner of land with the improvements thereof is a land but lying opticate the Paik area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condensation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condensation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed finished tract or the content of the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds). (Continue remarks if necessary on the each) this lands of legicles in the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds). STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF lands of lands in the property of the tract or the content of lands at a second lands.
Vest Varies A Poitier I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year in the following manner: I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therest on is \$ Telein that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest to and in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ acres of land dijoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be diamaged by the parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be diamaged by the parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be diamaged by the parcel of land by the parcel of land by make; and if practicable he should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by metes and bounds). Witness my signature for my name and mark attached hereto) this contraction of Televiters and land and mark attached hereto) this contraction of Televiters and mark attached hereto) this contraction of Televiters and mark attached hereto) this contraction of Televiters and mark attached hereto) this contraction of the tract or my name and mark attached hereto) this contraction of the undersigned hereby certifies that contraction of the tract or my name and mark attached hereto) this contraction of the undersigned hereby certifies that contraction.
West Verneer and property about the year in the following manner: I deam that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements therefore is a series of the tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof or is a series of land with the improvements thereof is a land to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof is a land to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereof is a land the owner of land with the improvements thereof is a land but lying opticate the Paik area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condensation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condensation of lands within the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed finished tract or the content of the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds). (Continue remarks if necessary on the each) this lands of legicles in the tract or parcel of land by meter and bounds). STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF lands of lands in the property of the tract or the content of lands at a second lands.

County: Rappahannock District: Piedmont

#106-I -- Kendall, J. W. Lap on

Ann P. Jolliffe's Heirs

Acreage Claimed: Assessed: 239

Deed: 144

Value Claimed:

Assessed: \$817.00 Deed: -

19 Acres. Area:

Location:

From Elk Wallow Gap south along Frazier's Run and on the eastern slope of Naked Ridge, and entirely within the

Park area.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: On the land claimed by J. W.

Kendall lies within the two subdivisions of the Overall Survey which were assigned to Julia C. Overall and to the

Heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe.

Soil: That on slope of Naked Ridge is poor and very rocky. That

in Gap is of fair depth and fertility. Lower portion in Hollow is of good depth and fertility. That portion east of

Thornton River is of fair depth and fertility.

Roads; Six miles of rough dirt road to Lee Highway near Sperry-

ville; thence seventeen miles to L, ray, nearest shipping

point.

History of tract and condition of timber: Tanbark removed about 30 years ago. Several portable sawmills have been in there

since and all merchantable timber was removed. The trees

which are left are scattered.

None. Improvements:

Value of land by types:

		Value	Total
Type Slope	Acreage	per acre	Value
Slope	2850	\$2.50	\$45.00
Fr	1	8.00	8.00
	19		\$53.00

Total value of land \$53.00

Total value of tract \$53.00

Average value per acre \$2.80 That That the heirs of Julia C. Overall and the heirs of Ann P. Jolliffe have no right, title, claim nor interest in this land or any part thereof or the proceeds thereof upon its condemnation;

That the said heirs of J. W. Kendall, since the death of the said J. W. Kendall, during his life time, while he was the onwer of said real estate, and his predecessors in title, have had and took actual, adverse, notorious, continuous, and exclusive possession and control of the whole of the said tract or parcel of land, and that they have continued to exercise such possession and control of sa said tract or parcel, of land up to this time, and for a period of over twenty years next prior to this time;

That said mountain land is valuable grazing land, and that the amount of compensation allowed the said heirs of said J. W. Kendall, deceased, by the Appraisal Commissioners is grossly inadequate, is not a just compensation for said land and that the amount of compensation allowed them is not a fair value for said land;

That it would be inequitable and unconscionable not to allow them considerably more for said tract of land;

That kmxx they have a substantial and material defense to make in this proceeding;

That said tract of land is within the area sought to be condemned, ownership of which is elaimed by said J. W. Kendall's heirs, and with they their reference to which he had filed his objections is the same tract or parcel of land which was valued and found by the Appraisal Commissioners to be the land owned by them, as shown and delineated on said map, read together with said reports and exhibit sheets.

That they pray that they be allowed a jury trial, and also ask for the appointment of commissioners by the Court, as provided by law, and for general relief.

That their names and addresses are as follows:

Mrs. M. H. Abbott, Rileyville, Virginia,

Mr. D. H. Kendall, Washington, Va. R. F. D.,

Mr. J. K. Kendall, Washington, Va., R. F. D.

Mr. W. O. Kendall,

Respectfully submitted,

D. H. Kendall.

By Counsel.

S. L. Walter.

State of Virginia,

County of Page, to-with

S. L. Walton, Attorney for Irs. M. H. Abbott and D. H. Kendall, two of the above named respondents, named in the foregoing answer, being duly sworn, says that the facts and allegations therein contained are true, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

for Mrs. M. H. Abbott and D. H. Kendall, two of respondents.

Taken, sworn to and subscribed before me, Grover C. Miller, Clerk of the Circuit Court of the County aforesaid, in the State of Virginia, this 14th day of September 1932.

Clerk.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia,

vs. (At Law No. 149, Clift on Aylor and others and 37,400 acres of land, more or less.

Filed in the Clerk's Office of
the Circuit Court of Rappahannock
County, Virginia, this 14th day of
Sept. 1932.

Teste: MALTON & BROTHER Clerk.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

In the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia,

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner,

vs. (At Law. No. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others, and 37,400 acres of land, more or less,

Defendants.

No. 106-1, and No. 106-11.

The answer of Mrs. M. H. Abbott and D. H. Kendall to the petition filed against them and others in the Circuit Court of Rapphannock County, Virginia, by The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, as above entitled, complainant.

These respondents reserving to themselves the benefit of all just exceptions to the said petition and proceedings for condemnation, for answer thereto, or so much thereof as they are advised that it is necessary they should answer, answer and say:

That J. W. Kendall died seized and possessed of a tract of 459.14 acres of land, more or less, 7.15 acres, more or less, being situate in Page County, Virginia, and 451.99 acres, more or less, being situate in Rappahannock County, Virginia, and within the boundary lines of Rappahannock County and set out in the petition in said Rappahannock County, Virginia;

That said J. W. Kendall left as his heirs at law and next of kin the following named four children, to-wit: Mrs. M. H. Abbott, D. H. Kendall, W. O. Kendall and J. K. Kendall, who now own said tract of land;

That said heirs of said J. W. Kendall, deceased, claim the proceeds thereof upon its condemnation;

That a survey was made of said J. W. Kendall's estate land and the same is of record in the clerk's office of Rappahannock County, Va., a copy of which is hereto attached and made part of said answer, said deed being recorded in Deed Book 36, page 339, on Sept. 5, 1931;

In The Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia,

Petitioner,

vs. (At Law No. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others, and 37,400 acres of land, more or less,

(J. W. Kendall's Estate No. 106-1, No. 106-11.) Defendants.

- D. H. Kendall, W. O. Kendall, J. K. Kendall and Mrs. M. H. Abbott, heirs-at-law of J. W. Kendall, deceased, defendants in the above entitled condemnation proceedings, file the following exceptions and answer to the report of the Appraisal Commissioners, which was filed in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Va., on May 18, 1932:
 - (1) That the amount of compensation allowed them by said Appraisal Commissioners for the 19 acre tract of land, 18 acres of which was classed by said commissioners as "Slope" at \$2.50 per acre, and one acre of said land was classed by them as "grazing land"at \$15.00, and that the amount of compensation allowed them by said Appraisal Commissioners for the 484 acre tract, 418 acres of which was classed by said commissioners as "Slope" at \$2.50 per acre, and 66 acres of said land was classed by them as "grazing land" at \$15.00 per acre, with \$100. worth of minerals and \$100. worth of timber on said land that said allowances or compensation is not a just compensation for their land proposed to be taken in these proceedings; that said compensation is not a fair value for their land, and that said compensation is grossly inadequate;
 - (2) That their mountain land is a good grazing farm and is a valuable grazing farm, and a number of cattle are provided good grass on this land during the grazing season; that practically all of this land is grazing land that all of it should have been classed as grazing land and should have been valued by said Appraisar Commissioners at at least \$15.00 to \$25.00 per acre, for the entire acreage;

No. 2. J. W. Kendall's Estate.

(3) That the Appraisal Commissioners viewed said tracts of land, 19 acres and 484 acres, respectively, at a very inopportune time, during the summer or fall of 1930, when this county and section of the State of Virginia was experiencing a very severe drought and they could not view this said mountain grazing land to any advantage and get an idea of its true value and worth;

By way of answer your said defendants say that said two tracts of claim are worth at least \$15.00 to \$25.00 per acre, as a whole; that they claim title to all of said tract of 19 acres and to all of said tract of 484 acres, that their title is older than and superior to any title or claim of title of Ann P. Jolliffe's Heirs; that they and their predecessor and predecessors in title have had actual, adverse, notorious, continuous and exclusive possession of said tracts of land, 19 acres and 484 acres, respectively, for over fifty years, and that there is no lap on same as to Ann P. Jolliffe's Heirs or any one else, and that they said two own the entire/tracts and all the proceeds derived from the condemnation there-of.

Your defendants pray for the appointment of commissioners to view their said two tracts of land and make report to the Court, as provided for in the condemnation law, Acts of Assembly, 1928, for such cases.

All of which is respectfully submitted this 14thday of July, 1932.

J. L. Walton:

Defendants, By Counsel.

In the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia:

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia,

Petitioner,

vs. (At Law. No. 149,

Clifton Aylor and others, and 37,400 acres of land, Defendants. No. 106-12, J. W. Kendall Estate.

In compliance with an order entered by the Judge of the above Court, in Vacation, on the 1st day of November, 1932, your respondents, Mrs. M. H. Abbott and D. H. Kendall, by Counsel, come and say that on the 14th day of September, 1932, they filed their amended answer in the Glerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, in pursuance to the order entered by the said Court on the 30th day of August, 1932, but through the inadvertence of the representatives of your petitioner, the said The State Commissioner on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, the said amended answer of your said respondents was overlooked, and your said respondents say that they are advised that they have fully complied with the order entered on the said 30th day of August, 1932. Respectfully,

Respondents, By Counsel,

Counsel.

J.W KENDALL LAND ON
THE BLUE RIDGE JOINING PAGE COUNTY
ONE AND ONE ONE HALF MILES NORTH OF
BEAMS GAP RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY VA.

Area 459.14 ACRES

Surveyed June 1931

Beginning at fig.# 1, a pine on upper side of old road, corner to the land of Spitler, Varner, and Comer; H. V. Hudson; and Dave Kendall, thence with Dave Kendall's line N 87° 25° W 28.62 chains to a pine stump 2.5 chains from Thornton River on slope of mountain, thence N 66 W 12.50 chains to a fence post 5 yards S.E. of road, thence N 89° 20' W 19.69 chains to fig. # 2, an old dead white oak beside a chestnut oak on east side of mountain 12 yards S.E. of an old road; thence S 20° W 20.25 chains to a stone pile 0.20 chains east of a white oak and 0.19 chains S.E. of of another white oak corner to Jake Dwyer and Dave Kendall, thence (with an old survey of 1890, found in deed book S page 85, bearings corrected to this present date) with Jake Dwyer N 701 W.18:00 chains to fig. # 3, a chestnut oak and gum on the top of the Blue Ridge in the line between the counties of Page and Rappahannock, corner to Strikkler Bros. thence by the old survey of 1890, with Strickler Bros.line and also the Page Co.line N 13° E 10.75 chains to a large white oak, thence N 331 E 15.50 chains to a blazed chestnut on the west side of a path, thence N 52 E 25.00 chains to two chestnut oaks, thence N 16° E 8.50 vhains to two small pines, thence N 23° E 33.00 chains to fig.# 4, three chestnut oaks and one black oak on the top of naked mountain, thence S 54 1 E 12.25 chains to a large white oak standing near a path leading up the eastern slope of Naked Mt. in the Page Co.line, thence N 30 2 E 7.00 chains, N 132 E 10.25 chains to fig. # 5 point near fence corner and corner to the Brumback Land, thence with Brumback S 1220 E 43.50 chains to fig.# 6 a stone pile, S 7120 E 3.62 chains to a pine, S 523° E 3.75 chains to a large rock between a cluster of marked chestnut oaks, N 784 E 8.18 vhains to a stake between two marked hickories in hollow below path, thence N 7820 E 32.89 chains to fig.# 7,a chestnut oak, corner to Brumback in Kelley's line, thence with Kelly S 15 2 W 2.68

chains to a white oak (now down) in branch running from Elliber Spring, corner to Kelly and the land of Varner, Spitler, and Comer, thence with the last mentioned land (by a former survey of 1922 found in deed book 31 pg. 231, with bearings corrected to this present date) S $41\frac{1}{2}$ W 3.38 chains to a stone pile, thence S 232 W 36.75 chains to a stone pile near the top of bluff of rock (two chestnut oaks walled for in 1897), thence (by a survey of 1897 found in deed book U, pg. 44 with bearing corrected to this present date) S 42 E 12.00 chains to the point of beginning, containing 459.14 acres. 7.15 acres of this tract is in Page County.

C.W.Ewing RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY SURVEYOR

Virginia: Clerk's Office of Rappahannock Court, Sept. 5thm1931. This Survey was this day received in said office and together with the annexed certificate admitted to record at 10.00 o'clack A.M.

Teste: Elizabeth H.DEBergh, Dep. Clk.

A Copy Teste: Jas. m. Suite Cluk,

In the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia,

Petitioner,

vs. (At Law No. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others and 37,400 acres of land, more or less,

No. 106-1, J. W. Kendall'sbEstate, and, No. 106-11, J. W. Kendall's Estate.

State of Virginia,

County of Page, to-wit:

This day T. W. Abbott, of Rileyville, Page County, Virginia, personally appeared before me, the undersigned Commissioner in Chancery for the Circuit Court for the said County of Page, in the State of Virginia, and made oath before me in my said county that he is the husband of Mrs. M. H. Abbott, one of the heirs at law of said J. W. Kendall, deceased, and a child of said Kendall; that he is personally acquainted with the two tracts of land of which said J. W. Kendall died seized and possessed, one being designated and identified by the Board of Appraisal Commissioners in their report and on the map or plan of the proposed National Park area, as "No. 106-1, J. W: Kendall's Estate, containing 19 acres of land, in the proposed park area in Rappahannock County, Virginia, and the other said tract of which the said H. W. Kendall died seized and possessed being identified and designated by said Commissi oners and on said plat or map as "No. 106-11, J. W. Kendall's Estate," or as "106-11, Kendall, J. W.", and containing 484 acres in Rappahannock County, and about 13 acres in Page County, in the said proposed park area; that the heirs had a survey made of said J. W. Kendall's land as a whole, and according to said survey there are 459.14 acres in this entire tract, 452.99 acres of which said tract are within the proposed park

area in Rappahannock County, and 7.15 acres of which are within the proposed park area in Page County, Virginia; that he has known this land for about 40 years; that this tract is a valuable mountain grazing farm and said Board of Appraisal Commissioners should have classed all of said tract as "grazing land", and have allowed for same at least \$15.00. to \$25.00 per acre, instead of having only classed one acre of said 16 acre tract of land as grazing land at \$15.00 per acre, and instead of having only classed 66 acres of said 484 acre tract of land as grazing land at \$15.00 per acre; that there is also some minerals on or under said tracts of land, which are valuable, and that there is some valuable timber on said land, worth more than \$100.00, for said minerals and worth more than \$100.00 for said timber, as allowed by said Commissioners; that this is a very valuable mountain grazing farm and grazes a number of cattle or other live stock well on same; that for some years J. G. Grove has fented this land for grazing purposes and has grazed a number of cattle and or other live stock on same; that the amount allowed for said land is grossly inadequate and not a fair and just compensation for said land, that said Commissioners only allowed the sum of \$60.00 for said 19 ac e tract, and only allowed the sum of \$2235.00 for-said 484 ac e tract, timber and minerals; that this land is worth many times what said Commissioners have valued the same at; that J. W. Kendall's heirs, J. W. Kendall and his predecessors in title have had and took actual, adverse, notorious, continuous, and exclusive possession and control of the whole of said tracts of land above referred to, and that said J. W. Kendall's heirs are entitle to the proceeds derived form said condemnation of said land. Given under my hand this 27th day of July, 1933.

Commissioner in Chancery.

TW. oblatt)

In the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia,

Petitioner,

vs. (At Law No. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others and 37,400 acres of land, more or less,

Defendants.

No. 106-1, J. W. Kendall's Estate, and, No. 106-11, J. W. Kendall's Estate.

State of Virginia,

County of Page, to-wit:


This day J. K. Kendall, or Luray, Page County, Virginia, personally appeared before me, the undersigned Commissioner in Chancery for the circuit court for the said county of Page, in the State of Virginia, and made oath before me in my said county that he is a son and an heir at law of said J. W. Kendall, deceased, that heis personally adquainted with the two tracts of land of which said J. W. Kendall died seized and possessed, one being designated and identified by the Board of Appraisal Commissioners in their report and on the map or plan of the proposed park area, as "No. 106-1, J. W. Kendall's Estate", containing 19 acres of land, filed in said proceedings in Rappahannock county, Virginia, in the proposed park area in Rappahannock county, Virginia, and the other said tract of which the said J. W. Kendall died seized and possessed being identified and designated by said commissioners in their report and on said plat or map as "No. 106-11, J. W. Kendall's Estate", or as "106-11, Kendall, J. W.", . and containing 484 acres in Rappahannock county, and about 13 acres in Page County, Virginia, in the said proposed park area; that the heirs at law of said J. W. Kendall had a survey made of said J. W. Kendall's land as a whole, and according to said survey there are

459.14 acres in this entire tract, 451.99 acres of which said tract are within the proposed park area in Rappahannock county, and 7.15 acres of which are within the proposed park area in Page county, Virginia; that he has known this land for about 30 years; that this tract os a very valuable mountain grazing farm and said Board of Appraisal Commissioners should have classed all of said tract of land as as "grazing land", and have allowed from at least \$15.00 to \$25.00 per acre for all of said tract, instead of having only allowed and classed one acre of said 16 acre tract or land as grazing land at \$15.00 per acre, and instead of having only classed 66 acres of said 484 acre tract of land as grazing land at \$15.00 per acre; that there is also some minerals on or under said tracts of land, which are valuable, and that there is some timber on said land which is valuable, andmore than \$100.00 for the minerals and worth more than \$100.00 for the timber; as allowed by said Commissioners; that this is a very valuable mountain grazing farm now, and was in 1930, and also at the time of said appraisal by said Commissioners, and grazes a number of cattle and or other live stock well on same; that J. G. Grove has rented this land for grazing purposes for some years and grazes a number cattle and or other live stock on same for all of the grazing season each year; that the amount allowed forsaid land by said Commissionees is grossly inadequate and not a fair and not a just compensation for said land and other property proposed to be taken, that said Commissioners only allowed the sum of \$60.00 for said 19 acre tract, and only allowed the sum of \$2235.00 for said 484 acre tract, which includes \$100.00 for minerals and \$100.00 for timber on said larger tract; that this land is worth many times what said Commissioners have valued it at; that J. W. Kendall's heirs, J. W. Kendall and his predecessors in title have had and took actual, adverse, not orious, and continuous, and exclusive possession and Page 2.

control of the whole of said tracts of land above referred to for over thirty years, and that said J. W. Kendall's heirs are entitled to the whole of the proceeds derived from the condemnation of said land.

Given under my hand this 27th day of July, 1933.

S. L. Welton.
Commissioner in Chancery.



In the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia.

The State Commission on

Conservation and Development of
the State of Virginia, Petitioner,
vs. (At Law No. 149.

Clifton Aylor and others and
37,400 acres of land,

106-1, J. W. Kendall's Estate, and 106-11, J. W. Kendall's Estate.

AFFIDAVITS.

CLERK'S OFFICE
RAPPAHANNOCK COUNTY
July 27—
19 33

Teste: Jas. M. Settle, Clerk

WALTON & BROTHER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW LURAY, VIRGINIA

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner.

VS.

Clifton Aylor and others, and 37,400 acres, Defendants.

Answer of Mrs. M. H. Abbott and D. H. Kendall, No. 106-1, and No. 106-11., J. W. Kendall Estate.

Filed in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Rappahannock County, Virginia, this /H th, day of November, 1932:

Teste: Kan, M. Seetle. Clerk.