

County: Madison
District: Robinson

Claimant #32 - Price, E. Luther - Madison Co.

Acreage Found: 130 Assessed 247 A. Deed 247 A.

Location: Top of the Blue Ridge north of Stony Man, partly in Page County and entirely within the Park Area.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None known.

Soil: Sandy loam of fair depth and fertility. Much rock. The top of the ridge is level in some places, but most of the tract is steep. The grazing land on the east end has a good sod, but the other grazing land is rocky and brushy.

History of tract and condition of timber: The timber and bark that was merchantable has been removed.

Improvements: None.

Acreage and value of land by types:

Type	Acreage	Value per acre	Total Value
Slope	110	\$5.00	\$550.00
Wg	17	\$10.00	\$170.00
Fg	3	\$35.00	\$105.00
	<u>130</u>		<u>\$825.00</u>

Summary:

Total value of land	\$825.00
Total value of tract.	\$825.00
Less amount paid Right-of-way Skyland Road.	\$450.00
Balance due claimant.	<u>\$375.00</u>

Note: The deed provided that if any of the land described should lie west of the Shirley line it was not conveyed. This accounts for about six acres of the area. The remaining discrepancy is apparently an error in calculation.

L. W. Farmer, Sec.

County: Madison
District: Robinson

32 - Price, E. Luther - Madison Co.
(333 - Price, E. Luther - Page Co.)

Acreage Claimed: Assessed 247 A. 101 P. x Deed 247 A.
101 P. Bought
Value Claimed: " \$200.00 in 1925 \$625.
AREA: 92 A. (By survey)
Location: Top of the Blue Ridge north of Stony Man, partly in
(Madison) County and entirely within the Park area.
Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None known.

Soil: Sandy loam of fair depth and fertility. Much rock.
The top of the ridge is level in some places, but most
of the tract is steep. The grazing land on the east end
has a good sod, but the other grazing land is rocky and
brushy.

History of tract and condition of timber: The timber and bark that wa
merchtable has been removed.

Improvements: None.

Acreage and value of land by types: Madison County:

Type	Acreage	Value per acre	Total Value	Average value per acre.
Slope	110	2.00	\$220.00	
Ridge	17	1.00	17.00	\$2.24
Grazing II	3	18.00	54.00	
	<u>130</u>		<u>\$291.00</u>	

Acreage and value of land by types: Page County:

Type	Acreage	Value per acre	Total Value	Average value per acre
Slope	50	2.00	\$100.00	
Ridge	38	1.00	38.00	\$2.41
Grazing I	1	30.00	30.00	
Grazing II	3	18.00	54.00	
	<u>92</u>		<u>\$222.00</u>	

Value of land \$513.00
Value of tract 513.00
Average value per acre for tract \$2.31

x Note: The deed provided that if any of the land described should lie west of the Shirley line it was not conveyed. This accounts for about six acres of the area. The remaining discrepancy is apparently an error in calculation.

Claim of E. L. Price
In the Circuit Court of Madison County, Virginia, No. 82, At Law.
The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Petitioner, vs. W. D. Anderson, et al

247 acres
more or less, of land in Madison County, Virginia, Defendants.
The undersigned, in answer to the petition of the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, and in response to the notice of condemnation awarded upon the filing of said petition and published in accordance with the order of the Circuit Court of Madison County, Virginia, asks leave of the Court to file this as his answer to said petition and to said notice.

My name is E. L. Price
My Post Office Address is Luray, Page County, Virginia

I claim a right, title, estate or interest in a tract or parcel of land within the area sought to be condemned, containing about 247 acres, on which there are the following buildings and improvements: None

This land is located about eight miles from Luray Virginia, in the Luray Magisterial District ~~of said County~~ of Page County.

I claim the following right, title, estate or interest in the tract or parcel of land described above: (In this space claimant should say whether he is sole owner or joint owner, and if joint owner give names of the joint owners. If claimant is not sole or joint owner, he should set out exactly what right, title, estate or interest he has in or to the tract or parcel of land described above).

Sole owner

The land owners adjacent to the above described tract or parcel of land are as follows:
North C. N. Spitler and others
South Unkown
East Unkown
West Unkown

I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the year 1925 in the following manner:
At public sale of T. F. Fox heirs

I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$3,750.00. I claim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest, in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$3,750.00.

I am the owner of no acres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the proposed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$_____.

(In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information as to this claim which claimant desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by metes and bounds).

Remarks: I purchased this land at public sale for a very reasonable figure but there was no fencing nor had it been kept clean. Soon after purchase I made these improvements at my own expense, By losing this land for grazing purposes/it will prevent keeping cattle on home farm in winter. (Continue remarks if necessary on the back).

Witness my signature (or my name and mark attached hereto) this 14th day of July, 1930.

E. L. Price

STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF _____ Page _____, To-wit:

The undersigned hereby certifies that E. L. Price the above named claimant personally appeared before him and made oath that the matters and things appearing in his above answer are true to the best of his knowledge and belief, this 14th day of July, 1930.

Thomas G. Borton

Clerk of the Court, or Special Investigator or Notary Public, or Justice of the Peace.

Claim of
In the Circuit Court of
The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia
Petitioner vs
Respondent

more or less of land in
The undersigned, in answer to the petition of the State Commission on Conservation and De-
velopment of the State of Virginia, and in response to the notice of condemnation awarded
upon the filing of said petition and published in accordance with the order of the Circuit
Court of _____ County, Virginia, asks leave of the Court to file this

This contract will be null and void two years from this

My Post Office Address is _____
date _____
I claim a right, title, estate or interest in a tract or parcel of land within the area sought
to be condemned, containing about _____ acres, on which there are the following
buildings and improvements: _____

This land is located about _____ miles from _____
the _____ Magisterial District _____ of _____ County, _____ Virginia, in

I claim the following right, title, estate or interest in the tract or parcel of land de-
scribed above: (In this space claimant should say whether he is sole owner or joint owner,
and if joint owner give names of the joint owner. If claimant is not sole or joint owner,
he should set out exactly what right, title, estate or interest he has in or to the tract or
parcel of land described above.)
Sole owner _____

The land owners adjacent to the above described tract or parcel of land are as follows:
North _____
South _____
East _____
West _____

I purchased this land at public sale of T. V. Fox heirs
in the manner:
about the year _____

I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements there-
on is \$_____. I claim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest
in this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$_____.
The owner of _____ acres of land adjoining the above described tract or
land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the pro-
posed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$_____.
The space below should be set out any additional statements or information as to
the land which claimant desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a
description of the tract or parcel of land by metes and bounds.

Remarks: I purchased this land at public sale for a very reasonable
price but there was no fencing nor had it been kept clear.
After purchase I made these improvements at my own expense, by
fencing this land for grazing purposes, it will prevent keeping
cattle on home farm in winter. (Continue remarks if necessary on the back).

Witness my signature (or my name and mark attached hereto) this _____ day
of _____ 1930.

STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF _____
The undersigned hereby certifies that _____
the above named claimant personally appeared before him and made oath that the matters
and things appearing in his above answer are true to the best of his knowledge and belief,
this _____ day of _____ 1930.

Notary Public, _____
My commission expires Dec. 27, 1933.

E. J. Price
July 16-1930
John Fox Court

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA.

VS.) (ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION TO E. LUTHER PRICE, OWNER
D. F. ANDERSON, &C.

On this, the 31 day of January, 1934, came E. Luther Price, owner, and on his motion leave is hereby given him to file his application for the payment of the sum of Seven Hundred and Forty-Four (\$744.00) Dollars, the amount of the award set out in the judgment of condemnation for Tract No. 32, which said award has heretofore been paid into Court.

And it appearing from the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners heretofore filed in this cause and in the petition for judgment and condemnation entered herein on the 6th day of November, 1933, that in the opinion of petitioner the said E. Luther Price is invested with a superior or better right or claim of title in and to the said Tract of Land No. 32, and that the record in this cause does not disclose any denial or dispute by any party or persons in interest as to the title to said Tract No. 32, but that the State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, has asserted a claim against said property or the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof in the sum of \$368.00, by virtue of that certain deed executed by the said E. Luther Price to said Conservation Commission, and duly of record in the Clerk's Office of Madison County, and the said E. Luther Price is ready and willing that said sum of \$368.00 out of this award should be paid to said Commission, and it further appearing to the Court

that all taxes due or exigible upon said Tract No. 32 have been paid, upon consideration whereof, it is considered and ordered by the Court that the said sum of \$368.00 be paid to the State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, Front Royal, Virginia, out of the aforesaid sum of \$744.00 paid into Court by petitioner as just compensation for said Tract No. 32, and the sum of \$376.00, being the balance of said award, be paid to the said E. Luther Price, and that the Clerk of this Court be, and is hereby, directed to transmit a certified copy of this order to the Treasurer of Virginia, who shall pay unto the State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, Front Royal, Virginia, the said sum of \$368.00, and to Ford & Keyser, Luray, Virginia, attorneys of record for the said E. Luther Price, the sum of \$376.00, and certify such payment to the Clerk of this Court for appropriate entry thereof as required by law.

STATE OF VIRGINIA
COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE

OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF VIRGINIA

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
& DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA

VS.)(IN RE BALANCE OF AWARD TO E. LUTHER PRICE.

D. F. ANDERSON, &C.

This cause came on to be heard again this 2nd day of May, 1934, upon the proceedings heretofore had and the papers heretofore filed and was argued by counsel.

It appearing to the Court that the State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia has deposited with the Clerk of this Court a check in the sum of \$19.00 pursuant to the authority granted said Commission by an order entered herein on the 17th day of March, 1934, relating to Tract No. 32, and it further appearing that said sum of money is due to E. Luther Price on account of an excess amount retained by the said State Commission on Conservation & Development on account of the purchase by it from the said E. Luther Price of a right of way for the Skyline Drive through said Tract No. 32, and that the said E. Luther Price is now entitled to receive and have paid to him the aforesaid sum of \$19.00, all of which fully appears in the order of March 17th, 1934, as aforesaid, on consideration whereof, the Court doth adjudge and order that the Clerk of this Court be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to pay the aforesaid sum of \$19.00 to Ford & Keyser, attorneys for the said E. Luther Price.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

VS.) (IN RE BALANCE OF

AWARD TO E. LUTHER PRICE.

D. F. ANDERSON, &C.

D. F. ANDERSON, &C.

Enter

22.

BOOK 9 PAGE 226
LAW ORDER

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HARRISON COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF VIRGINIA

IN RE BALANCE OF AWARD TO E. LUTHER PRICE.

order entered herein on the 17th day of March, 1934, relating to tract No. 32, and it further appearing that said sum of money is due to E. Luther Price on account of an excess amount retained by the said State Commission on Conservation & Development on account of the purchase by or from the said E. Luther Price of a right of way for the Virginia-Tennessee railroad tract No. 32, and that the said E. Luther Price is now entitled to receive and have paid to him the amount of \$12,150.00, all of which fully appears in the report of said State Commission, on December 17th, 1933, and that the said E. Luther Price is hereby authorized and directed to pay the amount of \$12.00 to Ford & Meyer, attorneys for the said E. Luther Price.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

STATE COMMISSION ON CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE STATE OF
VIRGINIA

VS.) (PETITION OF E. LUTHER PRICE FOR AN ORDER OF
DISTRIBUTION.

D. F. ANDERSON, &C.

TO THE HONORABLE LEMUEL F. SMITH, JUDGE OF THE
CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY, VIRGINIA:

Your petitioner, E. Luther Price respectfully
represents unto Your Honor as follows:

That the aforesaid E. Luther Price is the owner in
fee simple of that certain tract or parcel of land, lying and
being situate in Madison County, Virginia, and within the bounds
of the proposed Shenandoah National Park, which is
known and designated upon the Madison County ownership map filed
in the above entitled condemnation proceedings as Tract No. 32 ,
containing 130 acres; and

That the Special Investigators and Board of Appraisal
Commissioners heretofore appointed in these proceedings awarded
your petitioner the sum of Eight Hundred and Twenty-Five (\$825.00)
Dollars as compensation and damages for the taking of the afore-
said tract of land No. 32 from which is to be deducted, however,
the sum of Eighty-One (\$81.00) Dollars the value ascertained by
said Appraisal Commissioners for the value of the land included
in the right of way heretofore deeded by your petitioner to the
Commonwealth of Virginia for the park roadway, known as the
Skyline Drive, leaving the sum of \$744.00 as the net compensation
and damages for the tract aforesaid, as will more fully appear

from their report heretofore filed in these proceedings, which said report has been duly confirmed as to the tracts of land aforesaid, and the award for the condemnation thereof ordered to be paid into the custody of the Court, pursuant to an order heretofore recently entered in this cause; and

That the petition for condemnation in this cause states that your petitioner is the apparent fee simple owner of said tract of land, and that the record in this suit does not disclose any denial or dispute of such statement or charge contained in the petition.

Your petitioner further alleges and avers that there are no liens nor encumbrances of any kind whatsoever binding the aforesaid tract or parcel of land, nor taxes due or exigible thereon.

Your petitioner further represents unto Your Honor that the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia has filed a claim against your petitioner in the sum of \$368.00, by virtue of that certain deed executed by your petitioner to said Conservation Commission, and duly of record in the Clerk's Office of Madison County, whereby the Conservation Commission paid your petitioner the sum of \$449.00 for a right of way across this land and further stipulated that said sum should be treated as a credit on the condemnation award, and your petitioner is ready and willing that said sum of \$368.00 out of this award should be paid to said Commission.

Wherefore, your petitioner prays that the Court may make an order which shall be entered in this cause directing that the aforesaid sum of \$368.00 shall be forthwith paid over to the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, and that the sum of \$376.00 shall be forthwith paid over to E. Luther Price, the landowner as aforesaid.

FORD & KEYSER
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELLORS AT LAW
LURAY, VIRGINIA

Ford & Keyser, p.g. *E. Luther Price*
By Counsel

STATE OF VIRGINIA,

COUNTY OF PAGE, TO-WIT:

W. V. Ford, being duly sworn says that he is the attorney for the petitioner named in the foregoing petition, and that he knows the contents thereof; that the facts and allegations therein contained are true, except such as are therein stated upon information and belief, and that as to such allegations he believes them to be true.

W. V. Ford

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23^d day of January, 1934.

My commission expires

Feb. 4. 1937.

Margaret Stickler Pollock
Notary Public

I was commissioned Notary
as Margaret Stickler

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY
AT MADISON, VIRGINIA

The State Commission on Conservation and Development
of the State of Virginia - - - - - Petitioner.

V. AT LAW NO. 82

D. F. Anderson and Others, and Fifty-Five Thousand
(55,000) Acres, more or less, of Land in Madison
County, Virginia - - - - - Defendants.

On the th14 day of Feb 1934, came the Petitioner by
counsel and E. Luther Price also by counsel, and exhibited the
record of this proceeding including the order for distribution
of the amount set forth in a Judgment in rem entered herein
on the 6th day of November, 1933, upon condemnation of Tract No.
32 as shown and described in the Board of Appraisal Commissioners'
Report, and showed to the Court that through oversight, Petition-
er filed a claim for payment of the sum of \$368.00 from the
said award as an amount equal to the amount which, under the
terms of a contract for the purchase of a right of way, was to
be paid over to the Petitioner as and when the said tract should
be condemned and paid for which said sum has been paid in full
to the Petitioner, Whereas, the amount to which the Petitioner
was in fact entitled under the terms of said contract was \$349.00,
it appearing that \$81.00 had already been deducted in Madison
County and \$20.00 in Page County under said contract by the Ap-
praisal Commissioners in said Counties, from the total of \$450.00
which was due under said contract: and the Petitioner prayed the
Court for leave to pay the sum of \$19.00 into the custody of
the Court for the use and benefit of the person entitled there-
to, to-wit: the owner of the said tract; the Petitioner having
stipulated to make settlement of the entire balance due under
the contract, in Madison County, and that Petitioner has stipulated
that it will withdraw any claim heretofore filed on account of the
balance in Page County.

Upon consideration whereof, leave is granted the Petitioner to pay into the custody of this Court the sum of \$19.00 for the use and benefit of the person or persons entitled to receive the award set forth in said judgment for the said Tract No. 32, and to make said payment by paying the said amount to the Clerk of this Court.

*seen
W. A. and, atty
for E. Luther Rice.*

Open communication... leave as...
right to pay into the custody of this Court the sum of \$10.00
for the use and benefit of the person or persons entitled to
the same...
No. 32, and to give said payment by paying the said amount to
the Clerk of this Court.

1934

Feb. 14 - 1934

[Faint, illegible handwriting]

[Handwritten signature]

LAW ORDER

BOOK 9 PAGE 159

7/60