### #152 - Richards, Benton P.

Acreage Found: 38

Assessed 80 including other trans

Location: North side of Rose River, wholly within the Park Area.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None.

Soil: Sandy loam, steep southern slope and rocky.

Roads: 7 miles to Criglersville, then 17 miles to Culpeper,

nearest R. R.

History of tract and condition of timber: Recent logging to supply local mill. Not burned in recent years. Timber estimated at 49,000 ft. valued \$2.50 per M, but being cut and removed when inspected.

# Value of land by types:

Type Acreage per acre Value Total per acre Slope \$3.00 \$114.00

### Summary:

Total value of land. Total value of tract. \$114.00

I. In. Farmer Sic,

### #152a Richards, Benton.

Acreage Found: 612

Location: North side of Rose River, partly within the Park

Area.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None.

Soil: Sandy loam, northern slope steep and rocky.

Roads: 6 miles to Criglersville, then 17 miles to Culpeper

nearest R. R.

History of tract and condition of timber: Timber culled over.

Timber 6 M. ft. at \$3.00 per M. \$18.00.

#### Value of land by types:

		Value	Total
Type Fc	Acreage	per acre	Value
Fc	5	\$10.00	\$50.00
Fg	7	\$10.00	\$70.00
Young orchard.	12	\$85.00	\$127.50
Old orchard.	ı~	\$150.00	\$150.00
Slope	47	\$3.00	\$141.00
*	615	н	\$538.50
	~		

#### Summary:

Total value of land. \$261.00
Total value of orchard. \$277.50
Total value of timber. \$18.00
Total value of tract. \$556.50

L. M. Farmer, Sec,

## # 152 Richards, Benton P.

Acreage claimed None

Assessed 80

Deed

Value claimed

(Assessed with #152a)

Location: North side of Rose River, wholly within the Park area

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: None

Soil: Sandy loam, steep southern slope and rocky

Roads: 7 miles to Criglersville then 17 miles to Culpeper, nearest R. R.

History of tract and condition of timber: Recent logging to supply local mill. Not burned in recent years. Timber is smalled at 49,000 feel Valued @ \$2,50 per m, but being out and removed when invalid.

Improvements: Timber
White oaks, 15M at \$2 per M
Red " 10" " " "
Hickory 8 " " " "
Misc. 8 " " "
Poplar 8 " " "

\$30.00 20.00 16.00 16.00

## Value of land by types:

Type

Acreage

Value per acre

Total value

Slope

38

\$1.50

\$57.00

Total value of land Total value of timber Total value of tract Average value per acre

\$57.00 82.00 139.00 3.66

# # 152a Richards, Benton

Acreage claimed None

Assessed

Deed Inherited

Assessed with 152a

Value claimed

AREA: 61 A. (Actual Survey)

Location: North side of Rose River, partly within the Park area

Insumbrances, counter claims or laps; None

Soil: Sandy loam, northern slope steep and rocky

Roads: 6 miles to Criglersville then 17 miles to Culpeper nearest R. R.

History of tract and condition of timber: Timber culled over

Improvements: No improvements

HIL. 00

Timber 6 M Ft. at (2.00) per M //6.			(\$12.00)	
Value of land by	Acreage	Value per acre	Total	
Tillable Grazing Young orchard Older orchard	5 7 15	\$9.00 5.00 50.00 125.00	\$45.00 35.00	
Slope	61計	1.50	70.50	
Total value of land Total value of orchard Total value of timber Total value of tract Average value per acre			\$150.50 200.00 12.00 362.50 5.64	

Claim of B Paulands 152-0
In the Circuit Court of County, Virginia, No, At Law.
The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, Peti-
tioner, vs
more or less, of land inCounty, Virginia, Defendants.
The undersigned, in answer to the petition of the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia, and in response to the notice of condemnation awarded upon the filing of said petition and published in accordance with the order of the Circuit
Court of County, Virginia, asks leave of the Court to file this
as his answer to said petition and to said notice.
My name is
My post office address is
I claim a right, title, estate or interest in a tract or parcel of land within the area sought
to be condemned, containing about about 20 acres, on which there are the following
buildings and improvements:
wantangs and improvements.
This land is located about 2miles fromVirginia, in
All A
the Magisterial District of said County.
I claim the following right, title, estate or interest in the tract or parcel of land described above: (In this space claimant should say whether he is sole owner or joint owner, and if joint owner give names of the joint owners. If claimant is not sole or joint owner, he should set out exactly what right, title, estate or interest he has in or to the tract or
parcel of land described above.)
Turchasel 1
The land owners adjacent to the above described tract or parcel of land are as follows:
NorthNorth
South
East
West
I acquired my right, title, estate or interest to this property about the yearin the following manner:
: as about
I claim that the total value of this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$ I claim that the total value of my right, title, estate or interest,
in and to this tract or parcel of land with the improvements thereon is \$_3570
I am the owner ofacres of land adjoining the above described tract or parcel of land but lying outside the Park area, which I claim will be damaged by the pro-
posed condemnation of lands within the Park area, to the extent of \$
(In the space below should be set out any additional statements or information as to this claim which claimant desires to make; and if practicable he should also insert here a description of the tract or parcel of land by metes and bounds).
Remarks:
(Continue remarks if necessary on the back).
Witness my signature (or my name and mark attached hereto) thisday of, 1930
STATE OF VIRGINIA, COUNTY OF, To-wit:
The undersigned hereby certifies that
and things appearing in his above answer are true to the best of his knowledge and belief,
thisday of, 1930, 1930
Cloub of the Count on Special Investigator or
Clerk of the Court, or Special Investigator or Notary Public, or Justice of the Peace.

VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, petitioner,

V.

D. F. Anderson, et als, defendants.

In Re: Distribution of proceeds of condemnation for Tract No. 11.

This day came the State Commission on Conservation & Development, by counsel, and moved the Court to enter an order directing the Treasurer of the State of Virginia, to pay to it, out of the proceeds of condemnation of Tract No. 11, the sum of \$12.50, representing the amount of a certain pledge made by Ambrose Corbin, who alaimed to be the owner of said tract, to said State Commission on Conservation & Development, as a contribution for the establishment of Shenandoah National Park and in support of its said motion, the said Commission exhibited to the Court, its motion in writing, setting forth all the facts in relation thereto, which has been heretofore filed herein.

Upon consideration whereof, it appearing to the Court, that the claim of said Commission is not based upon any lien, either on the land condemned, or on the proceeds of condemnation, and that said Commission has failed to obtain the consent of the parties entitled to the proceeds of condemnation, to the payment of its claim, it is accordingly adjudged and ordered that the motion of the Commission for the payment of said claim out of the proceeds of condemnation for said tract be and the same is hereby denied.

guter,

VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, petitioner,

D. V. Anderson, ot als, nefendants.

In Re: Distribution of proceeds of condemnation for Tract So. 11.

This day came the State Commission on Conservation & Development, by counsel, and moved the Court to enter an order directing the Pressurer of the State of Virginia, to pay to it, out of the proceeds of condemnation of Pract No. 11, the sum of \$18.50, representing the amount of a cartain pladge made by Ambrose Corbin, who dlaimed to be the owner of said tract, to said state Commission on Venservation & Development, as a contribution for the establishment of Shenendoah National Fark and in support of its said motion, the said Commission exhibited to the Court, its motion in writing, setting forth all the facts in relation thereto, which has been Méretofore filed herein.

Upon consideration whereof, it appearing to the Gourt, that the claim of said Commission is not based upon any tien, either on the land condemned, or on the proceeds of condemnedien, and that said Commission has failed to obtain the consent of the parties antitled to the proceeds of condemnetion, to the payment of the claim, it is accordingly adjudged and ordered that the motion of the Commission for the payment of said claim out of the proceeds of condemnetion for said treat be see the sense is hereby denied.

Shir?