

VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY.  
December 18th, 1934.

State Commission on Conservation & Development  
of the State of Virginia, - - - - - Plaintiff,

v.

UNLAWFUL DETAINER.

A. C. Richards, - - - - - Defendant.

This day came the plaintiff by counsel and the  
defendant being solemnly called, came not.

Whereupon it being made to appear to the Court that  
the defendant has been duly served with process to answer the plaintiff's  
action and has had reasonable notice thereof and he still failing to appear,  
plead, answer or demur;

Upon motion of the plaintiff and upon the evidence  
submitted by it, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover  
of the said defendant, A. C. Richards, possession of the land mentioned in  
the plaintiff's writ; and that the Clerk of this Court do issue a writ of pos-  
session for said lands and place the same in the hands of the Sheriff of this  
County, who shall execute the same;

And it is further considered by the Court that the  
plaintiff do recover of the defendant its costs by it in this behalf expended.

Enter  
L. S.



VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MADISON COUNTY.  
December 18th, 1934.

State Commission on Conservation & Development  
of the State of Virginia, - - - - - Plaintiff,

v.

UNLAWFUL DETAINER.

Roy Woodward, - - - - - Defendant.

This day came the plaintiff by counsel and the defendant  
being solemnly called, came not.

Whereupon it being made to appear to the Court that the  
defendant has been duly served with process to answer the plaintiff's action  
and has had reasonable notice thereof and he still failing to appear, plead,  
answer or demur;

Upon motion of the plaintiff and upon the evidence  
submitted by it, it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover  
of the said defendant, Roy Woodward, possession of the land mentioned in the  
plaintiff's writ; and that the Clerk of this Court do issue a writ of possession  
for said lands and place the same in the hands of the Sheriff of this County,  
who shall execute the same;

And it is further considered by the Court that the plaintiff  
do recover of the defendant its costs by it in this behalf expended.

Enter  
L. J. H.

