To the liverit land of Prochengham County The Petition of the Commonwealth of tersinere, by how bound sel in This tout respectfully represents that she is aggreral by a final jud general of the boundy loud of Ruking hame undered on the 15th day of August 1864, upon the motion of Frederich Schaffer to covert an alleged incomens aprilsment of the Commy wines of the Revenue of said County for the pueding of relling ardent spents in the said countyit beautiful of the wood of the judgment complained I'm herewith perented, by which it will to per inel That The Commissione of the Receive ascertaining that in The month of lune 1863, The said Schaffer head sold a lot of about handy on said tounty without apply ing for a lucise or afsels ment; proceeded to afsels the said Schaffer with a guadenple Tax, and delivered The same to The Sheriff for collection who collected it. of the dagent bout for said lundy in The year 1864, The said Schaffer applied to The court to cornect said aprefished as comments four parties being in the level, and The sout elected. The applianent to he correct .- In The same day, but after one if The justices had left The linear, the midian weed uneved, and The land annulled the ofulsment as invened. Pelitinas aprisos The felling in nus in said last mentioned judgment 1.1 In of the Court which undered The 11 judgment having lot The level, it was not competent to whear The question 2" Tucker . 11. 464. 2" The apressment was light and proper and should not have law set alide. And In said errors the prays an appeal or wit of the to The said last mentioned judgment free L. newson ATTO. for the Committe. I falle to Wordson an Attorney finalities, in the liveret lant of Rulenjham do writing that on my of encine it is proper The judgment complained of in the presing letition should be recent by The liverent land of Rachingham John to trevel ser Non 28, 1864.

Courth ss 3 Appeal Schaffer wood 1864, Nov. Appeal allored or docketed reortinued. 1865. May rock learning 1866. May rock learn. 1867 May Dismission Mate of Virginia.

Al a lount held for Rockingham loounty at the lount sloome the 15' day of August 1861, Present Mem Mike wait mother of Sacceptifit manife mone & Dear & Rocken Gent Suites of Rederick Schaffer moved the loount to correct an allege of erromans afse fament of a license to tell andent spirits, the loount hairing heard the evidence and arguments of the litterney for the lorum on weet.

I the atterney for said Schaffer (the Commissioner of the Revenue, being

excernined on oath). refron consideration retresof the Court is of opinion that there is no error in the afrefrenent aforesoir and doth overante soid motion. Absent Isaac Wright Gent Justice

On the motion of Frederick Schaffer to reconsider the action of the fount this croughood upon his application to correct an erroneous apel ment and thereupon the Commissioner of the Revenue being examined touching the facts and the motion being argued as well lythe attorney for the Commonwealth as the attorney for said skhaffer the court out deverse and annual the orderentered this day, and doth certify that the said Trederick Schaffer was erroreously apeped with a license lax as a wholesale dealer in ardent Spirits from the 30" day of from 1863 to the 30" of April 1864, amounting to eight hundred and thirty those dollars and thirty four cents, on the ground that no afreforment should have been made. that said Schaffer had no place of business or residence in this country and having purchased the brancy for the nee of his Hotel in the fourty of Sherrandoch and was presented from removing the same to Sherrandoch bounty by reason of the arreasion or threatened envasion of the Walley by the public energy the Commispioner of the revenire afcortaining that said Schaffer had made sale of the brandy fracee ded at once to apel him with a greadrufile tax, to which opinion and action of the Court, the atterney for the commonwealth excepted in these words. Inderich Schaffer is Commonwealth. On motion to correct un erroneous afre forment if the Commepioner of the Revenue. Best remembered that on the trial of This motion. it was proven that in pure 1863 said I Schaffer sold in the town of Harrisonlung in said County to one John h Hill several Barriels of Apple Braney that said Schaffer is not now and was not in 1863. a resident of Rockingham loounty & had no place of business in said bounty and that said Schaffer was indicted for said sale of Brandy and that the Commissioner of the Revenue in Felmany 1864 afcertaining that said liquer had been sold gradufiled the license tax of said Schaffer from the 30 of fine 1863 to the DO of April 1864, announting to \$833.34 which was paid to the Sheriff. It was also proven that Schaffer stated before he sold the ligner that he bright it for his Hootel in Moodstock, but could not take it down because the presence of the enemy prevented it, and this being all the proof, the court set aside the sound expressioned as improper being of the opinion that no apreferment at all should have been made, to which opinion & action of the Court the Common wealth by her attorney in this lovert. excepts & fray that this her exceptions may be signed sealed ferrolled and the same is accordingly done. Winhik Wartmann (22 aco) I & Roller which exceptions were signed pealed and enrolled by the land, and