[Code, §\$3989, 3999, 4000, 4011, 4045; Hurst's Guide & Manual, pp. 537 (3), 540-5, 546, 617-18.]
Commonwealth of Virginia,
COUNTY OF Rockington, TO-WIT:
IN THE COUNTY COURT OF SAID COUNTY:
The jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia, in and for the body of the County of
Rocking Court at its , and now attending the said Court at its
Hebruay term, in the year 1903, upon their oaths present that
Perry of Sharer 34 Jame Bible
on the 21st day of duquet, in the year 1902, in the said County,
feloniously did break and enter a certain house commonly called a
Chicken house the property of Mrs. M. E. Permy backer
and not adjoining to or occupied with the dwelling house of the said
m & A-
M.E. Frangbacke with intent to commit larceny therein and
the chickens of the value of Three Dollars of the goods and
chattels of the said Miss Kale Jamy bocker in the said
Chicken Louse then being, feloniously did steal, take and carry
away against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth.
And the jurges aforesaid upon their oaths aforesaid do further present that Merry H. Shaver and Jane Bible of the 2/4 day
of Ougust in the year, 1903, in the said county feloniously did enter without breaking, in the night time of that day a certain house, commonly called a Chicken Parse. the property of Ima M. E. Promybocken and not adjoining to or occupied with the dwelling house of the said one.
the property of _ ma m. E. Francheska
Promy lecter with intent to commit larceny therein and sightime chickens of the value of the said miss Kale Promy back in the said
then being, reioniously
did steal, take and carry away
The second of th
against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.
20.7
All the second of the second o
Upon the evidence of

Court and sent to the Grand Jury to give evidence.

Clerk.

& Parmy Joshu No. 35. Commonwealth Perry H. Shavar any Jama Bible Ges. W. Hedrick Foreman.

[Code, \$\$3989, 3999, 4000, 4011, 4045; Hurst's Guide & Manual, pp. 537 (3), 540-5, 546, 617-18.]

Commonwealth of Virginia,
COUNTY OF Rocking Lan, TO-WIT:
IN THE COUNTY COURT OF SAID COUNTY:
The jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia, in and for the body of the County of
, and now attending the said Court at its
term, in the year 1903, upon their oaths present that
on the 3th day of January, in the year 1900, in the said County,
on the 3 day of January, in the year 1903, in the said County,
feloniously did becak and enter a certain house commonly called a
chicken house the property of chance Barlin and not adjoining
to or occupied with the dwelling house of the said danc Barlon
with intent to commit larceny therein and Sme chickens of the
value of Jwo Dollars of the goods and chattels of the
in the said chicken house then being,
feloniously did steal, take and carry away against the peade and
dignity of the Commonwealth.
And the jurors aforesaid upon their oaths aforesaid do further
present that Cerry H. Shaver and Jane BiBle on the XXXX day of Januar 1903 in the said County feloniously did enter without breaking, in
the night time of that day a certain house commonly called a chicken house, the property of day and not adjoining to
or occupied with the dwelling house of the said Isaac Barlowith intent to commit larceny therein and seem chickens of the
value of Jwo Dollars of the goods and chattels of the said may Etta Jane in the said chicken house then being,
feloniously did steal, take and carry away Myainsixxx
against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.
Upon the evidence of
witness sworn in open
Court and sent to the Grand Jury to give evidence.
, Clerk.

Commonwealth A TRUE BILL. The Jury are further instructed that the prisoner is presumed to be innocent until he is proven to be guilty beyond every reasonable doubt.

The Jury are further instructed that the prisoner is presumed to be innocent until he is proven to be guilty beyond every reasonable doubt.

If you find the prisoner not quilly you were say so + no more If you find the prisoner quilly of house breaking in manner them as charged in the indictment - you will Der so rescertain his pernishment which shall be Confinement in the peniteritiany not-less Than one nor more Than him years or, in your discretion confinement-in jail not the first horizon months + fined not - exceed ing five hundred thellars. If you find the prisoner not quilly of house breaking in marmer offern or charged in the irrelictmentbut girlly of petit larceny you will say so & ascertin his prinishment- which shall be confine ment in the Country Jal net less Than fifteen days mor more than six months or by fine of not-less than five dollars me more Than are kundred dellars or both

on may 11 1903.

The Court instructs the jury that the law presumes the accused to be inccent until she is proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if there is upon the minds of the jury any reasonable doubt of the guilt of the accused the law makes it their duty to acquit her, and that mere suspicion or probability of her guilt, however strong is not sufficient to convict, nor is it sufficient if the greater weight, or preponderance of evidence supports the charge in the indictment, but to warrant her conviction herguilt must be proved so clearly that there is no reasonable theory consistent with the evidence upon which she can be innocent.

The Court instructs the jury that unless they believe from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the chickens sold to Myers by the accused have been identitied as the chickens of Miss Kate Pennybacker they must acquit the accused. The Ciurt instructs the just that the law presumes the accused to be incoent until she is proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and if there is upon the minds of the just any reasonable are doubt of the guilt of the argued the law unites it their the sequit for, and that mere suspicion or probability of the guilt, however strong is not sufficient to convict, nor a strong is not sufficient, or any and that in the indiction to convict, nor a substitution of a supports the charge in the indiction to sarrant as a sarvice the charge in the indiction to sarrant as a carvictor hereguilt such be proved so early that the sarrant as no reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant as no reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and the reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and the reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the convictor of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the sarrant and so reconstitution side of the sarrant and sarrant and

The Court instructs the just that which the Cross of the chickens and the evidence beyond a reasonable downt that the chickens cold to lyers by the accused here been instructed as the chickens of the Rate Pennybacter they must requift the evented.

The Court instructs the jury that in order to convict the accused of the crime alleged against her in the indictment every material fact necessary to wantick constitute the crime must be proved beyond a reasonable doubt, and if the jury have a material fact, or element, necessary to constitute the crime it is their duty to give the accused the benefit of such doubt and acquit her.

The Court instructs the jury that the possession of of property recently stolen is a circumstance which the jury have a right to consider along with other circumstances tending to show the guilt of the accused, but that the mere exclusive possession property recently stolen is not even prima facie evidence of house breaking as charged in the indictment.

-under the sounded of the crime alleged against in the in the inviet the sounded of the crime alleged against her in the inthe crime wast bearied lack necessary to anxwirin constitute of
the crime wast be viewed boyond a reasonable doubt, and if the
four have a xwxixx reasonable doubt woom a material dact, or

the crime and the bearings of a contract of an amaterial dact.

IN THE NAME (F THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,
	TO THE SHERIFF OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTYGREETING:
You are hereby o	nmanded to summon Elizabeth Ford, Geo W Reedy
IIIn Teo.	r. Reedy
to appear before the	Judge of the County Court of Rockingham County, at the Court-House, at 10
o'clock, a. m., on the	2 day of the May Court next, being the 19 day of
May Pen	1903, to testify and the truth to say in behalf of the Commonwealth against
who stands charged	with and indicted for flory
	shall not omit under the penalty of £100. And have then and there this Writ.
Witness, JOSEI	H S. MESSERLEY, Clerk of our said Court, at the Court-House, the
18 day of	noy 1903. and in the 127 th year of the Commonwealth.
	& Meserley, Clerk.
	Form 68—Berlin.

Commonwealth Persy It Shaver Jane Bibl Elizabeth Forde On Jersen Sed. M. Reedy on Do 2 Day of May Dorne being May 19, 1903. 4 D Lambre

IN THE NAME OF THE C	OMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA,	
You are hereby commanded	to summon Battie Bible Dan	cel Belle Dand
Sdorra Beri Sho	walter John Hell Showalter	Shell Helt Ferry
Chil Keedy Jacob	Rataleff Mary J. Hause	Ella Staure
U		
to appear before the Judge of the	he County Court of Rockingham County,	at the Court-House, on the
day of the Copic	Court next, being the 20 a	ay of Chul
	say on behalf of Jane Bille	
in a certain matter of contr	oversy in our said Court, depending o	
	*****	Plaintiff,
And this they shall in no	wise omit, under the penalty of £100. A	nd have then and there this
Will. Wilness, JOSEPH S.	MESSERLEY, Clerk of our said Cou	rt, at the Court-House, the
15 day of aful	1905, and in the 27th	
	1 Im	esserley, Clerk.
	U	Form 55 Berlin

Jane Bible Retter Blile Daniel Bible -David Howar Beig Showaller John Will Showalter -To let day of Chil let. leving april 120, 19 03