LP. O. D G.A. 6 6 B 6 Commonwante Jun Milian & Sheets 1 Ahan W Koong-V Charles D. Grann 3 B. France Rolstin y to A. Bear 5-Charles A. Fife 6 Vingie Le miller 7 12. 5 Atcanda 8 Guo. S. P. Driver 9 Atrigh A. Heatrido 10 Chas. D. Maplies 11 Legna Mr. Mimick 12 Same A. Gay



The Court instructs the Jury that if they believe from the evidence that the injury to Jacob A.Armentrout was caused by a rock thrown by the prisoner.and that.at the time **s** the prisoner threw the rock, he acted under a reasonable belief that Armentrout was about to shoot him or otherwise do him bodily harm, then they are instructed that the prisoner was justifiable in defending himself from the appar -ent danger by such means or force as were reasonably necessary to that end, even though the jury should believe that appearances were deceptive and that there was infact no design on the part of Armentrout to shoot the prisoner or do him bodily harm; and the prisoner was not obliged to retreat to avoid danger before using force to defend himself.

But the bare fear by the prisoner that Armentrout meant to do him bodily harmwould not of itself constitute a justification on the principle of self defense, but there must have been some act by Armentrout manacing present paril to the prisoner, or something in the attending circumstances indicative of a present purpose on the part of Armentrout to make the apprehended attack upon the prisoner.

The act so done by Armentrout.or the circumstances thus existing, must have been of such a character as to afford a reasonable ground for the prisoner's believing at the time that Armentrout intended then to kill him or do him bodily harm.

emit out is fait bus venoeist Autry that if they believe

**

Sheel somer was not obliged to retreat to avoid danger of to defend himself. Ob of dusen invitmently ind renority out ve rest and and ind herore naint

Jud, sanstab 21es 20 elgioning behnenergys oft exam of fuortnearth To effending caronnetsnoes indicative of a present purpose d mun

golideoliticut a

The Court instructs the jury, as a matter of law, in considering the case, the jury are not to go beyond the evidence to hunt up doubts nor must they entertain such doubts as are merely chimerical or conjectural. A doubt to justify an acquittal must be a reasonable doubt and it must arise from a candid and impartial investigation of all the evidence in the case, and unless it is such that were the same kind of doubt interposes in the graver transaction of life it would cause a reasonable and prudent man to hesitate and pause, it is insufficient to authorize a verdict of not guilty. if, after considering all the evidence, you can say that you have an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, you are satisfied beyond all reasona--ble doubt. On the the other hand hand, the jury must not go beyond the evidence to hunt up interforences of guilt.

Chal

The Court instructs the jury where as in this case, the prisoner's plea is self defense, then if the jury believes that the prisoner **xx** struck Jacob A.Armentrout on the head with a deadly instrument, the burden is upon the prisoner to establish said plea of self defense to the satisfaction of the jury. The Sourt instructs the jury as a matter of law , in considering the ease, the jury are not to go beyond the evidence to hunt up doubts nor must they entertain auch doubts as are merely chimerical or confectural. A doubt to justiry an acquistal must be a reasonable.

All the ovidence in the case, and unless it is such that more the same kind of doubt intorposes in the grever transaction of life it would deuge a reasonable and prudent man to healtate and pause, it is insufficient to authorize a vardiet of not guilty. If, after considering all the evidence, you can say that you have an abiding convictton of the truth of the gnarge, you are satisfied beyond all reasons ble doubt. On the ins other hand hand, the jury must not go beyond the evidence to hunt up interferences of guilt.

The Court instructs the jury where as in this case the prisonets where is sair defense, then if the jury believes that the prisoner at etruck Jucob A.Armentrout on the head with a deadly instrument. The burden is upon the prisoner to establish said ples of calf defense to the setisfaction of the jury. If you find the prisoner not guilty, you will say so and no more.

If you find the prisoner guilty of <u>mailciously</u> causing Jacob A. Armentrout great bodily injury as charged in the indictment, with intent to maim, disfigure, disable, or kill the said Jacob A. Armentrout, you will say so and ascertain his punishment which shall be confinement in the penitentiary not less than one nor more than ten years.

If you find the prisoner not guilty of maliciously but guilty of <u>unlawfully</u> causing Jacob A. Armentrout great bodily injury as charged in the indictment with intent to maim, disfigure, disable, or kill said Jacob A. Armentrout, you will say so and ascertain his punishment which shall be in your discretion confinement in the penitentiary not less than one nor more than five years or confinement in jail not exceeding twelve months and fined not exceeding \$500.00.

If you find the prisoner not quilly of either of the felonies aforesaid but quilly top assault and battery you will so on the ascertain his punishound which shall be fine of not less than \$5.00 or confinement in the county guil on both.

Feb, 4, 1907,

Entry of marrienary canarus daoop N. are than five years or confinement in * 00. 00a\$.A doost biss sut .tnemtoibul and ai hegesdo as you it hod then the indictions. ,eide their then the intent to main, disfigure, disable, continement in the not guilty, you will say so not exceeding tweive months and fined not exceeding priserrer wer dry Armentrent great bodily accored and forthing have as a distinue, disable, or kill ife aid dist tooss . mark It you that the prisoner 3h be in your .A doost gaingo SUNCO decourses JuoulueurA . A doost b iss and lease word. to matm, 22220 et Ner TH FAULT. F · Brisev

Which find the prismer guilty assauch Abarbing - and acertain This prinishment to be a file of \$25000. E. M. Minich forman Feling 4"1907



Hurst's Standard Forms, Annotated. \ No. 35.) Hurst & Company, Pulaski City, Va. Indictment. [Code, §\$3989, 3999, 4000, 4011, 4045; Hurst's Guide & Manual, pp. 537 (3), 540-5, 546, 617-18.] Commonwealth of Virginia, Sockingt COUNTY OF , TO-WIT: COURT OF SAID COUNTY: IN THE COUNTY The jurges of the Commonwealth of Virginia, in and for the body of the County of Tockingham , and now attending the said Court at its Aoromber term, in the year 1906, upon their oaths present that William L. Sheels on the 30⁴ day of October, in the year 1906, in the said County, unlaufully, maliciously and foloniously did cause to one Jacob A. Amenticul great bodily injur by striking him the said Jacob A. Armentraut to main, disfigure, disable and kiel him the said Jacob M. Armentrovelagainst the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia. Upon the evidence of Jacob armention Mus L' Kiblen play Shiflett witness sworn in open In Stor Wrig Court and sent to the Grand Jury to give evidence.

, Clerk.

tor the jun find the bissent moto quilly of the felow clugh in the indictionne but quilly of assault and batter and fix his pumichment at - a fine of two Hunged and fifty Dollars. 1300 June Jacon Com . ommonwealth S S Leels len 5 /06 A TRUE BILL. B. B. Sites Whe fly you find the prisoner not quile of wither maliciously on unlawfully assaulting Jacob K. Amsnertant with intent to main dispique aiscole on kice him the said for the A. Armentiant- but - quelle of assault- and batter upon said Jacob A. Armontrout & ascerin tis punishment to be a fine of Two Hundred " Fifi- Dollars -E. M. Minich forman