Commonwealth Harvey Riggleman St le Long g. Id. Shukey B J. Emsuli D.P. Ballentime Saul. le. Bover. L. D. amenhant Howthe Me Settinis la ni Frank Charles St Hean A.S. Whilevell J.B. Idestarle. Frank Derffrance John Mr. Filde Jacob P. Arengen P. M. avis En Myous.



If you find the pression me gmilly you. while say to + no more -I you find the prisonic guilly as charged ih the Andictment you will say so and assertain tis prinshment - which shace be confinement - in the penitentiany not. fess than one more than tin years. If you find the prisoner not - quille - as Charged in the indictment but quilly of Alt And Hith in it work intent to mam, distigue, disable on kill said Fitzwalte you will say so and ascertain his finishment which Shall be confinement in the peniterian not less than one nor more five years a augmentent in gail not theating loorly months on fined not - according toop -I have find the prosme nolf quilly - of Either of the following aforesaid but - quille of assault soluttery you will say so and asker lam tim prinset mont which may be give of

not-less Than \$500 a confinement- in jail en brik.

He coul - instructs the Juny that malice is presimed from the inflicture of a dangering wound by a weapon in its privious possession of the coursed. The Comt instincts the young that where step definise is plead The burden is upon the accused to prove to the satisfaction of the jury that in inflicting by reason of being in immediate danger of serious breils harm and that such acts by way of defense were reasonably recessary in adde to free timeref from sidel immediate therious brilly Lum.



The court instructs the jury that the accused is presumed to be innocent, and that the burden of proving his guilt is on the commonwealth; and that this presumption of innocence goes with the prisoner throughout the entire case and applies to evry stage thereof; and that to warrant a conviction on any charge, the commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every fact material and necessary to make up the offense. And if upon the whole evidence in the case there is any reasonable hypothesis consistent with the conclusion that the cutting was excusable or justifiable, the accused cannot be convicted.

14

The court instructs the jury that **if** if they have a reasonable doubt, from all the evidence in the case, as to any material fact neceassary or essential to prove the offense charged, or have a reasonable doubt as to his guilt, they will acquit him.

If however they believe from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty, but have reasonable doubt as to whether he is guilty of maliciously and unlawfully cutting Samuel Fitzwater with intent to maim, disfigure, disable, or kill said Fitzwater, then they can only find him guilty of unlawfully and not maliciously cutting said Fitzwater.

If the jury have a reasonable doubt as to whether he is guilty of unlawfully cutting said Fitzwater, with intent to maim, disfigure, disable, or kill said Fitzwater, or of committing assault and battery upon said Fitzwater, then they can only find him guilty of assault and battery. The court instructs the jury test the accused is presented to be innocent, and that the burden of proving his guilt is on the componwealth: and that this presumption of innocence goes with the prisoner throughout the entire case and applies to evry stage thersof: and that be varrent a conviction on any charge, the commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt every fact material and necessary to make up the offense. And if upon the whole evidence in the ease there is any reasonable hypothesis consistent with the conclusion that the cutting was excused to rjustifiable, the scenario denote be convicted.

\*

2 . 1

The court instructs the jury that if they have a reasonable doubt, from all the evidence in the case, as to any material fact necsearchy or essential to prove the offense sharged, or have a reasonable doubt as to his guilt, they will accuit him.

If however they believe from the evidence beyond a ressonable doubt that he is guilty, but have reasonable doubt as to whether he is guilty of maliciously and unlawfully sutting Semuel Fitzwater with intent to main, disfigure, disable, or kill said Fitzwater, then they can only find him guilty of unlawfully and not maliciously sutting said Fitzwater.

If the jury have a reasonable doubt as to whether he is guilty of unlewfully cutting said Fitzwater, with intent to maim, disrigure, disable, or kill said Fitzwater, or of committing assault and battery upon said Fitzwater, then they can only find him guilty of assault and bat-

. vret

## Commonwealth of Virginia, COUNTY OF ROCKINGHAM, To-wit: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY:

The jurors of the Commonwealth of Virginia, in and for the body of the County of Rockingham,

and now attending the said Court at its ... A muan

did mlawfully maliciously and feloniously cut Samuel Filzwater with intent to main, disfigure disable and kiel him the said Samuel Hilzwater

against the peace and dignity of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

Upon the evidence of Walter Filzinatic Jankey

lix May

Grand Jury to give evidence.

......Clerk.

13 gr & ch on year Anna a daget in the publichment, and monminunt nn furions Haway Raghunan, guilty of Dament Futurate, with mouth & mant derau burg Jung found - mp ommonwealth Sr2 Hater 4 rica TRUE INDICTN le mar BILL Foreman do June Hanny Re quilly & Junte and fix his Ann whiching R4 Ensuite Howen