NAME OF CLAIMANT

#364 - Lam, Elmer

Number of Acres: 13

- Location: Top Blue Ridge Mountain. One mile south of Swift Run Gap.
- Roads: One mile fair mountain road to Swift Run Gap and eight miles hard surface road to Elkton.
- Soil: Sandy clay of a fair depth and fertility. Rocky over a part of the area.
- History of Tract and condition of timber: All the merchantable timber has been removed from this tract. Cleared land has been cleared many years and has been grazed and cultivated extensively since.

Improvements:

None.

Acreage and value of	types:			
Types	Acreage		Value per acre	Total Value
Ridge:				
Slope:				
Cove:				
Grazing Land:	7	Q	\$25.00	\$175.00
Fields Restocking:	6	@	15.00	90.00
Cultivated Land:				H
Orchard:				
Minerals:				····
Value of Land: \$ 265	5.00			
Value of Improvemen	its: \$			
Value of Orchard: \$				
Value of Minerals: \$			Total amount	\$265.00
Value of Fruit: \$		·		
Value of Timber: \$				
Value of Wood: \$				
Value per acre for tra	act: \$ 2038			

Jeo When clerk. (9

Incidental damages arising from the taking of this tract: \$ NONE.

Gounty: Nockingham District: Stonewall

County: Greene District: Stanardsville

#364 - Lam, Elmer - Rockingham Co. #184 - Lam, Elmer - Greene County.

cours

A

¥.

creage Claimed:	75	Assessed: 75		Deed: 75
alue Claimed:	\$500.00	Assessed: \$475.	00	Decd: 01000.00 in 1922.
		Area: - Rockingh		ala di sala ter cartile 👰

Greene 78 A.

location: Top Blue Ridge Mountain. One mile south of Swift Run Gap.

Incumbrances, counter claims or laps: Deed for this tract calls for 75 Acres more or less, but from ties obtained it is found to contain 81 acres in both counties.

Soil: Sandy clay of a fair depth and fertility. Rocky over a part of the area.

Reads: One mile fair mountain road to Swift Run Gap and eight miles hard surface road to Elkton.

History of tract and condition of timber: All the merchantable timber has been removed from this tract. Cleared land has been cleared many years and has been grazed and cultivated extensively since.

Improvements: Dwelling: Log, 15x18', 4 rooms, shingle roof, 14 story, stone flues, poor condition, occupied by owner, spring, valued at ----\$150.00 Stable: Log, 12x16x7', shingle roof, poor condition ---15.00 Smoke house: Frame, 10x16x12*, shingle roof, (shed lox10', (hen house)) fair condition ---35.00 Stable: Frame, 13x16x6*, shingle roof, poor Bondition ---5.00 Stable: Frame, 12x16x8', shingle roof, poor condition ---10.00 Dwelling: Log, 16x20', 4 rooms, porch Szl2', shingle roof, stonprfilugearddigtoprendogofinish, fair condition, occupied by tenant, spring water supply, pillar 175.00 foundation ----Stable, corn crib and sheds: Log and frame, 21x12', shingle roof, fair condition ---20,00 Hen house: Log, 6x13x?', shingle roof, poor condition ---10.00 420.00

a may: Rockingham District: Stonewall

County: Greene District;

Stanardaville

#364 - Lam, Elmer - RockinghamCo. #184 - Lam, Elmer - Greene County.

Value of land b	<u>V types:</u> (Rockingha		
<u>Type</u> F.R.	Acreage	Value per acre	Total Value
Grazing	7	\$6.00 25.00	Value 836.00 175.00
	13		JE11.00

Total Value of land \$211.00

Yalue of land 1 Type Slope F.R. Tillable Grazing	<u>Acreage</u> 31 11 17 <u>19</u> 78	Value <u>per acre</u> 02.50 6.00 12.00 15.00	Total Value 877.50 66.00 204.00 285.00 652.50
Total Value of Total Value of Total Value of Total Value of	improvements 420.00 fruit trees 78.00		

Summary of acreage and value by counties.

Total value of improvements 420.00	0843.50
Total value of fruit trees 78.00	420.00
\$1341.50 \$211.00	78.00

Average value per acre for entire tract \$14.74 NOTE-This need not be filed until the record discloses that the awards have been paid into the custody of the Courts.

Virginia: In the Circuit Court of Rockingham County

The State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia . . PETITIONER. V. At Law No. 1829

Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others, and Fifty-Two Thousand, Five Hundred and

Sixty-One (52,561) Acres of land, more or less DEFENDANTS. Comes now the undersigned and shows to the Court:

That a judgment in rem has heretofore been entered in this proceeding condemning to the use of the

Petitioner the fee simple estate in the tract(s) of land numbered as follows: Tract No. _364____:

Tract No. _____: Tract No. _____;

and described in the report of the Board of Appraisal Commissioners appointed herein and shown, numbered, and delineated on the County Ownership Map filed therewith, upon payment into the custody of the Court of the sum(s) set out in the said judgment as constituting the award(s) therefor, as follows:

-----;

That the report of the said Board sets forth that the following named persons claim, or appear to have a claim to an interest in the said tract(s) of land or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof:

Elmer Lam

. 2.

That the Petitioner has paid into the custody of the Court the said sum(s) set out in said judgment as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) of land;

That your undersigned, on the date of the said judgment in rem condemning the said tract(s) of land, owned or was (were) entitled to the following interest in the said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof :--

By reason of contribution pledge, obligating the owner, Elmer Lam, to give 1 acre of his land within the Park Area to the Park Project.

That no other person or persons than the undersigned are entitled to share in the distribution of the said award(s) except the following named persons whose interest in said tract(s) or in the proceeds arising from the condemnation thereof on the date of entry of said judgment was as follows:

Wherefore, your undersigned pray(s) that [he (they) be made a party (parties) herein under the provisions of Section 21 of the Public Park Condemnation Act, and that] an order be entered for the distribution of said sum(s) set forth in said judgment in rem as constituting the award(s) for the fee simple estate in the said tract(s) condemned as aforesaid, and for the payment to the undersigned of the said award(s) or of as much thereof as the Court may find that the undersigned is (are) entitled to

receive, and which the undersigned aver(s) is as follows: Tract No. 364 \$_6.00 : Tract

No. ______ \$ _____: Tract No. ______ \$____; The undersigned further aver(s) that: (Leave this space blank unless there is some other pertinent matter to be brought specially to the attention of the court)

N	A	N	1	E	
	-				

P. O. ADDRESS

STATE COMMIS	SSION ON CONSERV	VATION	
& DEVELOPMET		1	
-06-140-414203+1420	1711	7	
BY BILL	tt / MM	tiall	
	and a star and a star and the set of the set		

NOTE—A supply of this blank form has been placed in the Clerk's office for the convenience of interested parties. No one is required to use this form, as the form is not prescribed by law, and claimants can either change or modify it as they deem necessary, or present their motions in any form they may de-sire which meets with the approval of the Court. This blank form may not and probably will not cover all cases. It has been printed merely as a suggestion of a form of a motion which may be used, subject to the approval of the court in each case.

STATE OF VIRGINIA) SS COUNTY OF WARREN)

Personally appeared before me the undersigned Notary Public in my said State and County, E. K. Stokes, who being duly sworn, deposed and said that she is an employee of the State Commission on Conservation in immediate charge of the records of the Shenandoah National Park Division thereof having to do with claims of the Commission for distributive shares of condemnation awards in the Shenandoah National Park condemnation proceedings pending in the Circuit Courts of Virginia, by reason of contracts and agreements entered into with the owners of lands sought to be condemned in these proceedings, and that the within claim is just and correct.

Witness my signature this 8th day of January, 1934.

NOTARY PUBLIC Notary

My Commission Expires Sep. 8, 1934



JOHN M. PURCELL

Commonwealth of Virginia

TREASURER'S OFFICE RICHMOND, VA.

April 21, 1934

This is to certify that I, J. M. Purcell, Treasurer of Virginia have this <u>21</u> day of <u>April</u> in accordance with an order of the circuit court of <u>Rockingham County</u> dated <u>4/16/34</u> in the cause of the State Commission on Conservation and Development of the State of Virginia vs. <u>Cassandra Lawson Atkins and others</u> paid to <u>R. A. Breeden, Stanardsville, ^Virginia</u> \$265.00 being in full settlement of tract <u># 364</u> in the above mentioned cause.

easurer



JOHN M. PURCELL TREASURER OF VIRGINIA

Commonwealth of Hirginia TREASURER'S OFFICE

RICHMOND, VA.

April 21, 1934

R. A. Breeden

Stanardsville, Virginia

Received of J. M. Purcell, Treasurer of Virginia, the sum of <u>\$265.00</u>, in accordance with an order of the Circuit Court of the county of<u>Rockingham</u> entered on the <u>16th</u> day of<u>April</u> 1934, in the matter of the State Commission on Conservation and Development v<u>Cassandra Lawson Atkins</u> and others, being full and complete settlement for the tract of land known in said proceeding as <u>#364</u>.

A. G. Breeden

Sign original and duplicate and return to the Treasurer of Virginia. VIRGINIA. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ROCKINGHAM COUNTY.

The State Commission on Conservation & Development of the State of Virginia, - - - - - - Petitioner, v.

Cassandra Lawson Atkins, etfals, defendants.

In Re: Distribution of proceeds of condemnation for tract No.364.

This day came the State Commission on Conservation & Development by counsel, and moved the Court to enter an order directing the Treasurer of the State of Virginia, to pay to it, out of the proceeds of condemnation of Tract No. 364, the sum of of \$6.00 representing the amount of a certain pledge made by Elmer Lam, who claimed to be the owner of said tract to said Commission on Conservation & Development as a contribution for the establishment of Shenandoah National Park, and in support of its said motion, the said Commission exhibited to the Court, its motion in writing setting forth all the facts in relation thereto, which has been heretofore filed herein.

Upon consideration whereof, it appearing to the Court, that the claim of said Commission is not based upon any lien either on the land condemned or on the proceeds of condemnation, and that said Commission has failed to obtain the consent of the parties entitled to the proceeds of condemnation to the payment of its claim, it is accordingly adjudged and ordered that the motion of the Commission for the payment of said claim out of the proceeds of condemnation for said tract, be and the same is Euforos 6/20/35 hereby denied.